SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION COMPANY

MEETING 2007 -2 20 9:56 ORIGINAL

9:30 A.M. April 18, 2007

H. Lee Dennison Building Hauppauge, New York

BEFOR E:

3

MICHAEL KAUFMAN, Vice Chairman

ACCURATE COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC 631-331-3753

APPEARANCES:

JIM BAGG

ź

GLORIA RUSSO

CHRISTINE DE SALVO, Secretary

STEVE BROWN

ENRICO G. NARDONE

RICHARD MARLIN

DEWITT DAVIES, Chief Environmental Analyst

LAURETTA FISHER

VIVIAN VILORIE-FISHER

ZEB YOUNGMAN

MARYANN SPENCER

DANIEL PICHNEY

JOY SQUIRES

PROCEEDINGS

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. My name is Michael Kaufman.

I am the Vice Chairman of the Commission. The Chairman of the Commission Larry Swanson is a little bit under the weather; he will not be here today, so I'll be running the meeting.

As I said a moment ago, we will be flipping the agendas around a little bit to accommodate certain people.

First off, I would like to recognize Jim Bagg, at the moment he has some correspondence that he needs to enter into record.

MR. BAGG: I -- I sent out two things that are in your packet, one is a memorandum from Anthony Ceglio, Airport Management --Manager for Gabreski Airport, noting that anything on Long Island Jet would be deferred to future CEQ meetings.

In addition there's a memorandum from Walter Dawydiak. Okay, that's the Division of Environmental Quality, and the Health Department also stating that additional information [inaudible]. He spoke with the Health Department regarding the Long Island Jet Project, and that there are future indications which will be deferred as well. So we will not be entertaining Long Island Jet today.

One other thing in your packet is a description of the CR 80 Montauk Highway and Station Road to Doane Avenue, Storm Water Project. The EAF did not really contain any kind of description, and so this is a description and someone from the Committee will be here to present this project today.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I'm going to defer approval of the minutes for a couple of minutes here and I'll recognize Dewitt Davies, to give a description on the scoping outline and public hearing for the Shellfish Aquaculture Lease Program.

MR. DAVIES: Thank you Mr. Chairman, thanks for the council of yesterday.

I just want to take a few minutes to brief you on an upcoming event which I

believe is an exhibit in your package entitled "Shellfish Aquaculture Lease Program in Peconic and Gardiners Bays, The Draft Scoping Document" in conjuction with the Aquaculture Lease Advisory Commission, will be conducting a public hearing on this "Draft Scoping Document".

It will be held on Thursday May 3, 2007, at 7 p.m. at the Suffolk Community College, Eastern Campus; Shinnecock Building, Room 101, on Speonk-Riverhead Road in Riverhead. So essentially we have distributed this document to involved and interested parties, for their information.

I distributed a copy toady to the CEQ, and this is in response to a previous meeting where the Council here, heard the need to address this -- the question of significance of this particular project, and subsequent action resulted in legislature adopting it. Positive declaration for this project, which was something we had intended to have happen all -- all along.

There is a committee that is involved in the conduction of this particular project, in turn the drafts won't be done until -- the third page in, there's a list here of the committee members. This particular group "The Aquaculture Lease Program Advisory Committee", has been meeting on a regular basis now, and is in charge of conducting the day-to-day review of the documents that are being prepared by the consultants of the project, and by the staff of the "Department of Planning", which is charged with funding this particular Capital Budget Project for the County.

At the previous meeting, Mr. Kaufman, and Mr. Nardone indicated that they would be representing the Council of Environmental Quality Preservation Public Hearing on May 3rd. I'll extend the invitation to anybody else here who would like to attend that, and comment on the proceedings as they unfold. This is for your reading. We will be giving a presentation on the program at that public hearing, and there will be a comment period after, for people to submit any comments. Just like to point out a couple of things, (pause). Turn to page 10, there's a heading -- a paragraph entitled Mitigation. It talks about the kinds of things that will happen here with respect to what we will evaluate. In terms of -- of describing a program that will be acceptable to all parties involved out in the Peconic Gardiners Bay system.

The Mitigation involved limiting the lease number, limiting the type of lease, limiting lease size, limit the density of shellfish in that particular area for example. Restrictions on harvesting methods, buffers around leases and environmental resources so that we don't contain things like (SAV) beds; the requirement for environmental monitoring plans, performance bonds; require the testing of shellfish that would be used in these particular cultivation systems. There's a potential for transient gear

aquaculture, as well as plot rotation. These are things that are involved in the actual contract of Aquaculture Operations, that can be considered in this process to determine the best mix of approaches that would be equivocal to such specific areas in Peconic Gardiners Bay systems.

I will close here with a brief description of the alternatives that are being considered. There are basically two major alternatives. One is do nothing. That means there would not be a leasing program established in this area. And the current operations which exist now will continue under the modes of operation that they operate under, and that is essentially on some of the oyster grant lands. As well as temporary assignments issued by the State of New York. So there is aquaculture going on out there right now, it's just not as if it's not happening, it's happening under the old system. There are a number of disadvantages associated with that system.

The other welfare of this, to establish a leasing program, and when you look at that alternative there are many variants that can be associated with it. One is a minimum lease program, where we're --again just looking at those existing activities, converting whatever --whatever motive access exists now into a leasing structure. But there would be no additional areas open for the -- aquaculture activities.

And the other alternative would be to look at a different set of alternatives that could be applied to the actual lease program itself. And some of those I mentioned before, in terms of the different lease sizes, duration, types of aquaculture techniques that could be employed, location for certain activities. All these -- there again, variants that we will look at in terms of how to actually structure that program. These will be subjects of the DGEIS documentation, and program formulation that is now underway. So like any -- I want you to attend this meeting, hear the

deliberations. The program time line is for us to complete a -- a draft program document by the end of August of 2008. That -- that program document would be subject to -- that policy will be due in, adoption by the County Legislature -- County Executive's Office at that time after that period before it can be completed. So it will be sent back over to Mike if you want to receive this document.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I've actually two quick questions at this time. One, on page 7 you state that the meeting is going to be held on May 3rd and a draft scope open to -- remain open for comments until the 17th?

MR. DAVIES: It takes the committee a while to anticipate how much public controversy there is over this. We held two kickoff meetings in January and February;they were well attended. There's a balance of opinion. I think that the people who are cautious about their support see that there are ways that we can make this

particular project work. Some people may be against it because they don't know the details of the program, because we haven't established them yet.

However there are many people who are optimistic and have voiced that feeling at our meetings. This is -- it's -- it's -it's a project that involves a lot of social and economic consideration for the point of view of the activities that exist in this particular body of water. But there is an active aquaculture industry out there. At its meeting held a week or so Monday night, where, approximately 30 people will conduct aquaculture operations that our staff, and consultant on the project discussed their particular concerns, in terms of how this program can proceed. So we are making every attempt to go out and meet with these individuals, meet with the groups, meet with the bay men, local governments, etc. Find out what their concerns are and they will be addressed.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All right. The reason I'm asking the question is the comment period ends the 17th. Is there any way for us to open that up a little bit? Let's say maybe to a week or two, to allow full public commentary to develop?

MR. DAVIES: We have a schedule, if it -- it certainly -- you know -- we could determine that with the chairman of ALPAC committee. I would suggest that perhaps as a result of the public hearing we can determine that, that's a pressing need to do something.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Yes. Maybe do that after the hearing.

MR. DAVIES: That's correct, there are -- you know we're going to have this meeting it's -- it's -- it's -- the comments go on for hours. We can make adjustments at that point. We do have a rather tight schedule on this project. There are certain penalties, if you will, if the County does not proceed with this program. If they do not adopt the program, and -- and at least --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: So it can't be opened.

MR. DAVIES: If -- if we have a dead line the County will lose title to 110,000 acres of property.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Is there a question over here?

[inaudible cross-talk]

COURT REPORTER: You're going to have to speak up.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Everyone we have to speak up for the --

[inaudible cross-talk]

MR. DAVIES: Yes. Take a look at page seven, "Public Comments on the Draft Scope". You'll see a paragraph there, it's May 3, 2007, 7 p.m., at the Suffolk County Community College, Eastern Campus, Room 101, and the address is there. So, again please feel free to come and attend and comment, and we look forward to your participation. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. Any other questions?

SPEAKER: I'm a member of the group and I have to congratulate [inaudible] meetings the public hearings, past and of present. I -- I would say a great cross-section of stakeholders. I would think if two of the meetings -- I will be there at the May 3rd meeting and I believe it's been very -- it seems to have been very well publicized, and if it -- if any members of the public had attended the meeting -- I think many of their concerns have been addressed, and I think allayed, I should say. And there -there were concerns from the professionals, there was people debating them, and -- and they -- they don't seem to be as concerned as they thought they would have been by the plans.

So, my feeling is that we probably won't have to extend it, because I think that there has already been so much conversation. But you never know what's going to happen at a public meeting. So congratulations to the members, because they've done a great job.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any other questions from members? Okay. Thank you Dewitt.

MR. DAVIES: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I guess we'll move on. The next person -- next stuff that we're going to deal with are acquisitions -yes it looks like all acquisitions. That would be Lauretta Fischer.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you, Council.

Good morning. I have several acquisitions for you to review today on the map of Master List II. So you should be able to clear these rather rapidly. Clear these rather rapidly.

> The first thing on your agenda is the Noyack County Greenbelt Addition the Franco Property. This is a 9.4-acre parcel in the Town of Southampton, in the Southport SGPA area, and the area that the County has been targeting for ground water protection. We're

[inaudible cross-talk]

MS. FISCHER: That information is being redacted.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Ms. Fischer, you'll have to speak up.

MS. FISCHER: Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMANN: Any other questions from members? If not I want to entertain a motion.

SPEAKER: I make a motion.

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I have a motion? Okay. motion by Ms. Russo. Second by Legislator Fischer. All in favor? Opposed? Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you. The next parcel on your agenda is the Westmoreland Farms Property in the Town of Shelter Island. This is a donation to the County. A beautiful piece of property on -- in West Neck Bay Parker Area, pond with tidal wetlands surrounding it. We also have four other properties from this individual, and he offered to donate this to the County without -- with no -- at no cost. With what's on our Master List II it's a 5.95-acre lot. Are there any questions? VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions? Then I'll entertain a motion.

SPEAKER: I make a motion [inaudible] VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Do I hear a second?

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All right, I'll call the votes.

Everyone in favor?

Opposed? Abstentions?

Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank You.

Next thing on your list is the property on Acabonac (phonetic) Harbor in the Town of Easthampton, Dodge Family Property an acre lot along Acabonac Harbor. This has been a target area of the County and the Town and the Nature Preserve. And it's a beautiful piece of property with about -- say about 100 feet of frontage on Acabonac Harbor.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions from members? Okay. I'll entertain a motion.

LEGISLATOR FISCHER: I make a motion. SPEAKER: I'll second it by Legislator Fischer.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I'll call in the vote. All in favor? Opposed? Abstentions? Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you. The next one on your list is along the Carlls River in the Town of Babylon, the Soliman, and Pizzo Property. This is a small -- about onetenth of an acre parcel, in an area that the County has been targeting on Master List II. An important wetland, that is associated with the Carlls River. This is a western tributary area of the Carlls River in a -- a Wyandanch area, Northern Town of Babylon.

We're acquiring this under the old Drinking Water 12.5E, 1A Section. For West End Towns, it's not the Pine Barrens.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions? From members? Okay. I'll entertain a motion.

SPEAKER: I make a motion.

MR. NARDONE: I'll grant second.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: By Mr. Nardone. All in favor? Abstentions? Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you.

The next property is another donation. And this is either two [inaudible] the Carmans River corridor adjacent to significant holdings by the County, in the Town of Brookhaven, in the Middle Island Yaphank area. This -- these two properties were actually part of an acquisition of last year, and we are following through and accepting these donations, as well as other pieces that we've acquired from Rose Breslin. They're approximately a third of an acre altogether, the two parcels.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions from members?

Okay. I'll entertain a motion.

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Second, by Mr. Nardone. All in vote? All in favor? Opposed?

Abstentions?

Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you.

The next two are in the Mastic/Shirley Conservation Area. The first one is Parbus Property, this is approximately another tenth of an acre and -- in our Conservation Area, [inaudible] Mastic, Town of Brookhaven. It was on our Master List and we're adding to our holdings hopefully in this area.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions from members?

I'll entertain a motion.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I make a motion.

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Second, by Enrico Nardone.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Calling votes.

All in favor? All opposed? Abstentions? Motion carries.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you.

And the next one again is in the Mastic/Shirley Area. The Persico Property, this is a point -- approximately a point two a tenth of an acre parcel again, Master List II. They're picking up -- as you can see on the map properties in this area here.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. I'm glad to see that they all are conforming with the Master List.

I'll entertain a motion -- any questions from members?

I'll entertain a motion.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I'll make a motion.

[inaudible]

MR. NARDONE: Grant a second.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: A second by Mr. Nardone. Calling the vote.

All in favor?

Opposed?

Abstentions?

Motion carried.

MS. FISCHER: Thank you very much. Have a good day.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: We're going to move on to the minutes, which I chose not to deal with a moment or two ago. Have any members read the minutes? I have one member who has read the minutes. I also have read the minutes, so that's two members. Anyone else read them? All right. I'll entertain a motion to accept the minutes from last month. From -- I'm sorry, from whichever month it was.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: That was February's minutes? I think it was.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Guys, I've got February's. Okay. These are February Minutes. Make a motion to accept them?

> MR. NARDONE: I make a motion. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: By Mr. Nardone. Second, anyone? By Ms. Spencer. Okay. All in favor?

Motion carries. Okay.

We're going to next go on to dealing with the improvements to the Vector Control Building. Our attorney wasn't here to [inaudible]. Ralph, would you sign in please.

MR. BORKOWSKI: Ralph Borkowski, Suffolk County Department of Public Works. We're just seeking a planning referral for the renovation of the Vector Control Building. It's going to entail, interiors -- renovation of interior space.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Why don't you stand over there Ralph? Anyone presenting by the way, I'd appreciate it if you would stand about where Ralph is, so that the stenographer can hear you.

MR. BORKOWSKI: We were just planning to renovate in this interior space, HVAC plumbing, electrical work.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Quick question for you, Ralph. If I remember correctly there are some laboratories in there, is that correct?

MR. BORKOWSKI: That is correct, yes. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Is this HVAC renovation going to be an upgrade to this building?

MR. BORKOWSKI: I can't answer that at this time. That will come out in the planning process. So once we come back to you, after we have our plans then you'll be able to trust us.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Does anyone have any questions, board members, people from the audience? Okay. This looks like a touchy thing. Can I have a motion from someone? Motion?

MR. NARDONE: Type II action.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. I have a motion from Mr. Nardone. Do I have a second? I have Ms. Youngman. Sorry

[inaudible]

MS. FISCHER: I'll second the motion. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Second by Legislator Fischer. I'll call the votes. All in favor? Any oppositions? Abstentions? Motion carries.

SPEAKER: It's -- it's a County wide office building.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: It doesn't work that way. Okay. We're going to deal next with Sewer District 8-Strathmore Ridge CP 8152-Town of Brookhaven. Is there anyone here to present?

Just -- just identify yourself for the record please.

MR. WARREN: Ron Warren from [inaudible] and Sanitation.

COURT REPORTER: Is your last name WARREN?

MR. WARREN: That's my first name.

[inaudible].

COURT REPORTER: That's your first name?

MR. WARREN: Last name.

COURT REPORTER: That is your last name?

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Just make sure you speak up please.

MR. WARREN: The -- we're -- we're working -- trying to complete the project that was started I think in 1993, connecting the sewer district to Whispering Pines treatment plant. We never finished the demolition due to funding, and equipment and scheduling. So we're attempting to finish this project right now. We're assuming that the original application is still valid. The final concrete removal underground tanks and burial and completing the whole removal. We removed all the upper structures, but we haven't gotten the concrete out because it was a much more extensive part of that job. We haven't finished.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions from members?

SPEAKER: I have a question. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Go ahead. SPEAKER: CEQ reviewed this before? MR. WARREN: Yes. I think it was -- it was in 1993 because --

SPEAKER: Unknown said action, negative declaration?

MR. WARREN: Yes. I think so, but --

SPEAKER: And this was coded under the original project?

MR. WARREN: Correct. We're assuming there is no -- no need to make another application.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I would -- I would say not if CEQ recommendations -generally called -- good, until rescinded or overruled, and I don't think this has been overruled or rescinded in any way, shape or form.

Quick question, are there any hazardous materials that are going to be removed?

MR. WARREN: Like I said, it's just going to be concrete tanks and then the burial of tanks. You know, it's going to be on the excavation, there's no -- no hazardous materials.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All right. Ron, one other question. Any Department of Health supervision, or Department of Health review of this?

MR. WARREN: I think it was reviewed in the -- when the project was in the first

steps. Starting -- the initial project was completed.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Is there going to be any review at the -- when work is undertaken? Or monitoring or observation or anything like that?

MR. WARREN: We -- we could -- we could do that, I -- I just didn't -- I didn't think it was necessary at that time. There wasn't any -- there wasn't any hazardous material on the site. And --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Yes. I know. Okay. So I will simply make a request that if you come across anything that is hazardous, that you will contact the Department of Health to make sure that the rest of it, in terms of concrete removal et cetera -- I don't think that's a problem and the CEQ has previously voted on this one. I'll entertain a --

SPEAKER: No further action.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: No further action at this point in time. Thanks for coming in and informing us.

MR. WARREN: I was also here for the Yaphank expansion.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Fire away.

MR. WARREN: This is an application -we want to expand the equipment at Yaphank Treatment Plant, Yaphank County Center. Existing -- the existing equipment is -- is as you can see on this aerial, is right next to the -- where the expansion should be done.

It does not affect any of the outside of the plant perimeter or fencing, it's all within the plant grounds and there's no real affect on the environment, as far as we can tell.

SPEAKER: What is the footprint of the expansion?

MR. WARREN: I thinks it's about -- the existing is about 100 by 50 I'd say this is outside the [inaudible].

SPEAKER: So 500 square feet? MR. WARREN: That's maximum. That would be for excavation and you know ...

SPEAKER: Less than 4,000 square feet; right?

MR. WARREN: There's no building, it's all tankage, you know under the concrete [inaudible].

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I'll entertain questions from any of the members.

SPEAKER: Mr. Warren, could you just explain the existing filtration. Is it working properly? So you wanted to make it bigger. Do you need bigger?

MR. WARREN: The existing [inaudible] filter has always been very close to permit -- permit limits. So we've -- we've had some difficulty this past winter where we had freezing problems, so we got closer to the limits and in fact exceeded at times, so we feel this is necessary to try and make sure that [inaudible].

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Joy Squires.

MS. SQUIRES: Are you still taking rejects from the Town Landfill?

MR. WARREN: We don't take rejects at this facility. At one time the Town had

built containers with the expectation that they'll be installed at the Yaphank Plant, but that's not going to happen.

SPEAKER: It happened.

MR. WARREN: There's been plans for years that was -- that is why we haven't done this work in the past. To expand this facility -- so that -- you know when we start accepting the Scavenger Waste Facility, that would never happen. So we never did this work, so we just feel that you could always put an offer - many years trying -- We've been living with -- very close to the limits, and feel that we can't wait any more for an expansion facility or whatever happens in chambers we're not even sure that's even going to happen.

MS. SQUIRES: The CEQ hadn't received a proposal for Scavenger Waste Facility in Yaphank. Upon [inaudible]of a facility and the impact statement was never accepted so, if it's revised it will be resubmitted. At this point in time nothing has come back so, the Scavenger Waste Facility [inaudible].

MR. WARREN: This has nothing to do with [inaudible] this has to do with [inaudible].

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any other questions from members? Okay. I'll entertain a motion. I will recognize Mr. Nardone, for making a motion for a Type II Action. Do I have a second?

MS. RUSSO: I second that. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: By Ms. Russo. All in vote. All in favor? Objections? Abstentions? Motion carries. Thank you very much. Thanks Mr.

Warren.

Juggle a little bit. All right I think the next thing on here on here is the Proposed Drainage Improvements on CR 80, Montauk Highway at Doane Avenue - Town of Brookhaven. For the members edification, we did get the little attachment. MR. DAWSON: Good morning. Jeff Dawson, Department of Public Works.

COURT REPORTER: Please spell your last name.

MR. DAWSON: DAWSON.

I apologize for not having the easel to raise this up to view, but if you turn to attachment two in your packet, the same plan, without the benefit of an aerial. This location is on Montauk Highway, CR 80, Bellport just west of Station Road. We have an existing drainage system comprised of [inaudible] leaching basins and catch basins, that are insufficiently sized to accommodate frequent storms, especially as late.

And I'm not sure you can see this on the aerial, but the **[inaudible]** street called Bayview Ave is located to the right side of the attachment to. And it's recently been acquired by the adjacent property owner. That is also the -- the location of the low point within CR Montauk Highway -- CR 80, Montauk Highway watershed.

So being that the drainage system is under sized, there's frequent flooding that occurs here. And the only place the water has to go is up on to this gentleman's property.

What we're proposing to do is install pipe, drainage structures and the recharge basin in such a way that flooding will not occur. The purpose of the recharge basin is the need to intercept any water that can be drained -- the existing drainage system cannot accommodate, and allow it to infiltrate into the ground. And in addition to the retention basin itself, there's going to be four leaching basins -- precast leaching basins installed in the bottom of the recharge basin. All will further assist to provide infiltration area for the floodwaters.

The current parcel is owned by Suffolk County, currently it's -- it's likely still heavily wooded, the trees in the area are not in very good shape. However we are going to leave a buffer between the roadway and retention basin -- recharge basin

rather, as well as a buffer between the structure to the East of the parcel and the recharge basin. This basin has been sized to accommodate a two inch storm. And that's without any infiltration calculations included. So it will be actually larger than a two inch storm when you do accommodate -- when you do account for infiltration.

Then again once the -- once the site is constructed it'll be planted, the slopes will be seeded and matted so that erosion will be controlled. And upon completion of the project there will be no flooding problems, which is a good thing. Do you have any question?

SPEAKER: How did this area fair, during this past weekend storm we had.

MR. DAWSON: I -- I -- I would anticipate that it didn't do very well, along with every other drain on the south shore. I had personally looked at this same drain during the storm, but I had gone out last week -- early last week, and there was

a puddle at these -- at these basins and I don't think -- I don't recall if it had been raining prior to that.

SPEAKER: I have another question. Suffolk County had been looking at a number of filtration - filtration systems in order to protect our -- our waterways. Do you know of any of the newly developed -- well recently developed filtration systems [inaudible] this project?

MR. DAWSON: Not a part of this project. The reason being at this location, it's a localized low point on Montauk Highway. The high point is just West of Station Road on the East Side. And the high point on the West Side is -- the name of the road is escaping me. But 1,600 feet stretch of Montauk Highway that's comprised of the watershed. Within that -- those limits there are no surface waters that -- except runoff from Montauk Highway.

So at this point this project is strictly a flooding control problem and we're not really concerned with quantity
control. However it -- the basin quality control. The basin that we're constructing is actually recognized by the DEC as an infiltration practice. It's an approved [inaudible] that does have the effect of removing the pollutants.

Especially in this case when you have 32 feet to ground water, from the ground surface. So that provides a large natural sand filter that will serve to remove the pollutants before it hits the groundwater.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: So the concept here basically is to shuttle water over to this particular basin and put in four more basins inside there, if I'm understanding this correctly.

MR. DAWSON: Right.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. At least on my diagram it has number two, there are several arrows in here which I cannot figure out. I was looking at this -- I was looking at the elevations and I could not figure out what we were talking about or which diagram represents it.

MR. DAWSON: Sorry, are you --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Going up the road, then going towards the LIRR, then going towards the house.

MR. DAWSON: Okay. Those are section marks, Section A-A, the arrow's represented by the North, South A-A. That in the section showing on the set of plans from which this sheet came from. Seeing with the B-B that's --

> VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Adjusted --MR. DAWSON: Right.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Do you have enough positive retention here to settle the water over? I was looking at the elevations and that was a question I had in terms of the piping.

MR. DAWSON: Yes. If you look -- if you look at the -- that's a very good question, the -- the lower railing --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All my questions are good.

MR. DAWSON: We -- we typically would like --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Some better than others.

Mr. DAWSON: -- what we typically like to do is to put the -- the flood facility as close to the low point as possible. Which in this case is by the middle leaching basin on the right -- on the North Side of the road. The rim is at 40, that's the low point. And you'll see the recharge basin bottom [inaudible] is 39. That's the same elevation at the rims of the -- of the base of the recharge leaching basin [inaudible].

So we do have a foot of capacity before the water does flow -- over flow on the street. However the recharge basin -- the leaching basin bottom are going to be 12 feet below elevation 39, brings us to 27. So in effect we have 13 feet of free board or safe zone capacity before the water will actually come out of that basin.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: You're confident that the -- the capacity is reached that it will pitch correctly towards the water basin?

MR. DAWSON: Yes, absolutely. The -the infilitration system will hold water up to a certain point, and then after that point it will be allowed to flow to that basin.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Your answer leads me up to my next question. You're designing for a 2 inch storm -- Larry Swanson normally would say why not more, why -- I'll ask a question - why two inches? Is there some sort of a requirement out there?

MR. DAWSON: DEC's treatment storm depth -- the -- the depth of the storm that they would like to see treated is 1.3 inches. We just like to go a little bit higher than that if we can. In this particular case, because of the limited size of the parcel, we really couldn't have done anything more, short of applying for a Land Acquisition from the adjacent parcel, which has a different owner. There would be --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: It's by storage capacity is what you're saying?

MR. DAWSON: We're limited by available land. And this is a serious flooding problem area, flood problem area. And in order to expedite the project, we just use the land that's available to us. It was already owned by us, actually.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any other questions from members?

SPEAKER: What kind of maintenance will the leaching structures in the basin -- the recharge basin require?

MR. DAWSON: They'll probably require the same amount of maintenance as the typical leaching basin in a roadway. And once these are **[inaudible]** they become part of DPW's routine and the maintenance program.

Actually we -- our maintenance division is purchasing three new vacuum trucks that will assist in keeping up with the demand.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any other questions? Okay. So I will entertain a motion. A motion by Mr. Nardone.

Do I have a second?

Second by Legislator Fischer.

All in favor?

Opposed?

Abstentions.

Motion carries.

SPEAKER: I have a question. All these now [inaudible] water project require DEC permits?

MR. DAWSON: Not all of them, any [inaudible] greater than or equal to one acre of soil, will require a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan. That's under Phase II, which the folks from Cornell will explain in a -- in the --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: So it's definitely --

MR. DAWSON: This particular one will not be -- because the parcel size is a quarter of an acre, any additional disturbance still remains underneath the 1 acre threshold. They're good questions. Thank you, Council.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I did think I -- just one second -- I thought I saw Bob

Delucca running around someplace, but I might have been mistaken.

Mr. Atkinson, you had a question?

MR. ATKINSON: A general question.

Your agenda is skipping around a bit --Public Portion -- I just want to speak about the storm waters, that's all.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Not a problem. I have not forgotten it.

Okay. Mr. Atkinson brings up a good point. Let's deal with the Public Portion. That's the portion of this meeting where the public is allowed to speak.

Gentlemen, we have a limit of three minutes. And I recognize Mr. Atkinson, speaking of storm water.

MR. ATKINSON: Thank you very much. Before it hits.

MR. WARREN: [inaudible] what's going on to tell you the truth. I -- I --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: That's not the question.

MR. ATKINSON: Peconic Bay [inaudible], and yesterday we were - attended the

Legislative Committee for the Department of Public Works. It was an excellent presentation on what the County's doing to abate stormwater [inaudible]. We think their doing a very good job generally.

And there's just one issue that we would like -- we brought up last year, that we would like to bring up again. Which is the situation where some of these Legacy Mosquito Control Ditches are acting as stormwater conveyances. And in the past the maintenance of these ditches resolved -- for that specific purpose. And sometimes they're associated with County Facilities. For example, at Cupsogue County Park, the applications to maintain those ditches [inaudible] that these are important to handle up land stormwater.

So even though many of these ditches are no longer going to be maintained there are legacy problems at -- this has been addressed subjectively in response to comments from - brought this up last year. But it's really confusing about the responsibility, and that's what these responses and comments -- addresses whether the Town's responsible or the County's responsible.

In the same way that the County's done an excellent job of identifying all of its outfalls, we would also like the County to go ahead and identify which areas where these mosquito control ditches exist. And some -- frankly really find mosquito control ditches like [inaudible] in East Hampton. Where these are essentially storm water systems -- to go ahead and identify them and worry about the responsibility subsequently --

SPEAKER: Speaking of, where are the storm water issues associated with ditches rather than [inaudible] pipe -- pipe outfalls. That will go a long way towards addressing this problem. And in that regard I noticed that all these outfalls have been identified -- pipe outfalls have been identified and are in GIS format.

And I'm assuming that, that would be a [inaudible] type of software? [inaudible] That these can be made available also on the website, to the public, and not everybody has access to buying software but it helps. If you could [inaudible] you know for the public [inaudible]. Planning [inaudible] format so that, you know, ordinary public can access it without the \$1,000 software [inaudible].

And that's it, I just want to say that I think County is doing a great job this year identifying these other types of Storm Water Conveyances that has not yet been identified. And don't worry about responsibility later and debate. Because a lot of them are case sensitive, you can't use the same kinds of technologies. But ponding might be available in certain places.

Like in Cupsogue, take some of that parking lot, which runs right down to the water essentially. That within ten to 15 feet ditches begin. You know you might want to set upon the depth. But each one's case sensitive, and the first thing you do is identify the areas of concern. Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Thank you. You bring up a very interesting point, and this is something we'll look into.

Anybody else from the public?

Okay. I will close the Public Portion of the meeting.

And let's see, we have two things left on the agenda. Actually three, but the first of them is the Salt Water Prevention Plan Swamp stuff. I think we ought to have restored services. I'd like to take the Salt Water Prevention stuff first.

Addison Hasbrook is here, and he'll speak on that. And if there are no objections from the members I think I'd like to do that.

Addison?

MR. HASBROOK: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: By the way, I think most members who -- all members should

have gotten a copy of the documents that were sent out. I have two.

MR. HASBROOK: I have extra copies of the annual report with me today if anyone would like one? Anybody else? Anybody else?

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All right. Let the record -- off the record.

(Discussion held off the record.)

I'll let the record reflect I brought you and Jim Bagg a copy of the documents supplied by Cornell Cooperative Extension. And that should serve as our public record.

MR. HASBROOK: Before I get started I'm going to be passing out some souvenirs for you, to take. One is a brochure on storm water, one that's information literally for kids. The other is storm water -- I should be wearing my lapel. And the other is storm drain markers. So please help yourself to these.

As for the permit requirements for Suffolk County/State Stormwater Program, the County has to hold a public hearing on its

Stormwater Management Program Annual Report. Which is the annual report, as it's made available, in order to receive public comment.

So I want to thank the CEQ, and Vice Chair and Acting Chair today of Mike Kaufman, for allowing us to again this year use a CEQ meeting as a forum for public hearings -- I mean annual report. But our current extension is on the contract with Suffolk County DPW, to implement the Storm Water Management Programs for Suffolk County. And that's for all Suffolk County roads and parcels.

I'd also like to introduce some of the Cornell Staff that's working on this project as well; Angel Divas, Martin Ferrell, [inaudible] and Jeff Dawson from DPW as our primary contact. It's DPW [inaudible] would just like everybody for all their help.

MR. HASBROOK: All right, I'm going to go over the annual report, and then Lauren is going to give us some updates on another item we need to cover today. What I'm going to do is just give an overview of program highlights from the past year, kind of grouped together by the Minimum Control Measures that are specified by EPA and the DEC in this program. There's six minimum control measures we have to address.

The first one is Public Education and Outreach. A new stormwater presentation -a new stormwater auditorium presentation for schools and camps was introduced and implemented this year. And that's our Storm and Waters Program where our educator dresses up as a stormwater detective, and has a skit that he goes through. It involves the students actively participating in this -- in this skit. We also video tape it for the kids afterwards.

This year, a total of 149 youth classes reaching over 3,500 children were conducted in Suffolk County. In addition, 3 seniors classes reaching 74 adults, and 9 civic association meetings reaching over 300 adults were conducted. And out of these

classes 55 percent of the youth classes, 25 percent of the seniors classes, and 60 percent of the civic group classes were conducted in 303(d) or TMDL watersheds, or in areas adjacent to these watersheds.

Plans have been created to convert a small building at the Suffolk County Farm in Yaphank, to a demonstration building showing public visitors the best management practices to reduce stormwater --

COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, slow it down, slow it down.

MR. HASBROOK: You have to speed up.

COURT REPORTER: No, slow it down because I can't even hear or understand what you're saying.

MR. HASBROOK: We'll compromise, you speed up a little bit, I'll slow down a little bit.

COURT REPORTER: Okay. You got it. MR. HASBROOK: The best management practice system should show and include a green roof system, rain gutters connected to a rain barrel system, a rain garden, and pet waste management, and a small pathway demonstrating the use of permeable surfaces, so people could use them right at home.

Ten thousand additional copies of both the "Where Does the Rain Go?" brochure which is one of the ones that I passed around, as well as the "Stormwater Kids page" -- the other one that was passed around -- were printed again this year and are being distributed through all of our outreach efforts.

Also 7,000 additional catch basin markers which -- the other item I passed around, are -- were produced again this year, and are being installed on all County's catch basins. Okay. Most of those say -- you can see -- "do not drop drains to bay [inaudible]" and it has our logo on it. We did have several hundred also manufactured that says, you know can these no longer say "drain to lake", [inaudible] we have several hundred that say "drain to lake", for those areas that are appropriate to lake discharges such as Lake Ronkonkoma.

Stormwater workshop attended by 150 individuals was held at the Nassau-Suffolk Landscape Gardeners Association Conference to educate people under those businesses about the importance of addressing stormwater runoff. The activities of that day, normally conducted in the regular business hours -- those activities can impact stormwater issues.

The County website continues to be updated and attracts a lot of online activity. For your interest, their url for that is <u>WWW.Suffolkstormwater</u>, one word, Suffolkstormwater.com.

And the total maximum daily loads are now in place for the Peconic Estuary. That requires that the County's Phase II program prioritize activities in these TMDL watersheds in the upcoming year.

Minimum Control Measure two with public participation and involvement. Within that, Suffolk County DPW has increased its Adopta-Highway program sponsorship, increased it by 12 this year. Its 112 sponsors now conduct regular highway litter clean-ups, covering approximately 112 miles of County roads, a radio PSA script for pet waste that we created and will be here soon on local radio stations.

The stormwater Citizens Advisement Committee was active in various aspects of the stormwater management program and during the year will continue to do so. For example, they reviewed and provided comments on the year three annual report; they reviewed new additions to the stormwater website, suggested the creation of additional pages; they provided feedback on some draft education program ideas, and also the radio PSA that we developed. They -they reviewed plans for the Stormwater educational building display at the Suffolk County Farm, and participated in the duck naming contest.

And I would like to thank Gloria Russo, key member, for her participation in the CAC. We greatly appreciate your efforts. SPEAKER: What's the duck's name?

MR. HASBROOK: Stormy. Appropriate. SPEAKER: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: (Inaudible) issues with the big duck of the County? Don't answer that.

MR. HASBROOK: We haven't encountered that.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any questions from members?

MR. HASBROOK: I still have a couple more.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I'm sorry.

MR. HASBROOK: Bu you can interrupt me any time.

Minimum Control Measure three Illicit Discharge Detection, and Elimination. All outfall -- all outfalls on County roads and properties have been surveyed for -- for outfalls. The final set of points is being integrated into the Informational Management Systems, that's with GIS coverage. In addition, a surveying firm has been contracted to GPS all catch basins on Suffolk County Roads. And this is 90

percent complete, while 10 percent to be completed probably by the end of this month.

We are focusing our outfall monitoring and sampling efforts to the applicable Peconic Estuary TMDL watersheds and to 303(d)-listed waterbodies throughout the County. All outfalls which discharge into a 303(d) waterbody or TMDL will be field visited at least three times to determine if dry weather flows exist, and to test any dry weather flows for elicit connections.

A draft local law has been created or is in the process of being created with the assistance of DPW, Department of Health Services, Department of Energy and Environment, and the Suffolk County legal department staff. This new local law, we're hoping will be adopted by the legislature by the end of this year. And that's one of the items that's required by DEC in this department.

SPEAKER: Would you say [inaudible] MR. HASBROOK: That had not yet been available --

SPEAKER: [inaudible]

MR. HASBROOK: Yes. It's going to be a local law to address illegal discharge detection and elimination for the County's stormwater conveyance system. You know, we're working with the various departments and the County Attorney's office right now for the appropriate language that needs to be included on the drift of what the DEC requires. And the appropriate language relatively does not exist. And we resolved, you know, what departments are going to be responsible for what components of that.

So, DPW is going to be responsible for some components, Department of Health Services for other components, and Department of Energy and Environment for other components of that.

SPEAKER: Thank you.

Ľ

MR. HASBROOK: So we will -- we've been able to pretty much resolve who's responsible for what. We'll be bringing this to legislature before July. We'll

also get back to the CEQ as well, with this draft offer [inaudible].

On the Construction Site Runoff Control/Post-Construction Runoff Control, the template and sample Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans have been developed to be used for projects created -- conducted rather by Suffolk County DPW staff, as well projects contracted out to independent businesses. And Lauren's going to take a minute of your time to talk about the, you know Pollution Preventive Plan.

Along with these documents, applicable staff will be provided a list of Stateapproved BMP's to choose from. This will ensure that whatever -- whenever possible, proper BMP's are being incorporated into our projects, conducted by the County.

DPW engineers and other staff are trained to adhere to the BMPs outlined in the New York Contractors Erosion and Sediment Control Field Notebook, on Suffolk County construction projects where applicable.

And then, finally under Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping. A good housekeeping questionnaire that was based on the DEC Municipal Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping Assistance Document guidelines was developed for the Suffolk County DPW worksite managers to evaluate their good housekeeping practices. We have further developed a stormwater training presentation for DPW staff.

Approximately 3,000 cubic yards of debris were removed from County-maintained roads and parking lots last year. And 405 cubic yards of debris were removed from County-maintained catch basins, stormwater conveyance systems, and outfalls.

Suffolk County has invested a tremendous amount of resources into remediating known direct discharges to waterbodies. Projects are spread around the County, and whenever possible they involve priority areas such as 303(d) waterbodies. Many of these projects will likely result in a reduction of coliform inputs, the primary

pollutant for most 303(d) listed waterbodies and TMDL waterbodies in the County.

SPEAKER: I think this development --SPEAKER: Where could we get a copy of that, we could -- if possible.

SPEAKER: Yes, could we -- [inaudible] could we make a copy for this lady?

MR. HASBROOK: Sure, no problem. Yes. Suffolk County Parks and the Town of Riverhead are working together on a project to reduce the amount of pollutants entering Flanders Bay. Some of the water leaving the Riverhead Sewage Treatment Plant is being diverted and further -- further -- further treated prior -- being used to irrigate the Suffolk County Indian Island Golf Course. This will result in a reduction of nutrients into Flanders Bay. The process will also, likely result in a net reduction of coliform inputs in Flanders Bay.

Public comments on the annual report will be accepted through Cornell Cooperative Extension, through May 18, 2007. The public

has another month to comment on the annual report.

That's a summary of my annual report --VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Questions from members please.

Okay, Joyce, you had something.

JOYCE: I -- you'll have to decide if this is appropriate. Being I am aware that this is the report for this year, last night we were -- the conservation board --Huntington Conservation Board looked at it. We have comments on four pages, they are brief comments, but they are more looking to the future. Is that appropriate to comment on now, or do you want it in writing for the future? What's your pleasure? They're brief.

MR. HASBROOK: I would say certainly, I'd like to answer.

JOYCE: Okay. On page two, there's -this is for the future, some of the things that -- that we are recommending. Establishing, coliforms as the primary pollutant of concern, appears to ignore emerging contaminants. Such as pharmaca -pharmoco -- yes [inaudible] as estrogen mimickers can affect marine organisms.

MR. HASBROOK: So pharmaceuticals and --

JOYCE: And -- no -- no phenols. MR. HASBROOK: Okay.

JOYCE: Okay. On page 7 --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: What was that again?

MR. HASBROOK: [inaudible]

JOYCE: What's the [inaudible]

MR. HASBROOK: Same MPE's, if you search, you'll find it on the web.

JOYCE: Okay. On page 7, staffing issues. The comment is reinforcement, DPG AND SPENS positions, while funded are not being filled. With only four investigators there's a question about the effectiveness of reporting for --

SPEAKER: Excuse me, Joyce, I'm not seeing what you're referring to on page 7. The page [inaudible] --

JOYCE: No. I'm [inaudible]

SPEAKER: Okay. So it's a different page 7?

JOYCE: Yes. Okay. I'm sorry, I took [inaudible] and I thought I had the right page 7. Am I wrong?

SPEAKER: Minimum Control Measure two. Page 7 of [inaudible]

JOYCE: Thank you. I am right or wrong?

SPEAKER: Right. Minimum Control Measure two, but it is page 7 of that section.

JOYCE: Okay.

MR. HASBROOK: How many inspectors, did you say?

JOYCE: There's 44 investigators.

MR. HASBROOK: Okay.

JOYCE: There's a question on the effectiveness, with -- if you report a polluter, and -- there is so few investigators it's really a staffing issue. We need more staff.

On page 23, and the subject is road salt. Okay. Consider application rates,

parking areas at Suffolk County Community College, not just County road application rates.

And a second comment, while the majority of County road salt storage piles are uncovered, sand salt mixtures are not, and transfer operations to the trucks are outdoors.

And finally on page --

MR. HASBROOK: Hold on -- hold on one second.

JOYCE: I'm sorry.

MR. HASBROOK: I can't go that fast

[inaudible]

JOYCE: Page 38, this is the comment on fleet issues. While the County claims to be controlling leakage from its fleet, storage of junked vehicles, and vehicles involved in accidents appears uncontrolled. With a comment -- take a look at an aerial photo of the SCPD site at Bomark (phonetic).

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Jim has one.

MR. BAGG: Joyce as a follow-up to your comment I have a question to the Town of Huntington.

What is the Huntington Town doing to comply with the Stormwater Program, specifically on the question with the respect of Coindre Hall of which all the Town of Huntington runoff is running down our property causing all kinds of problems. So is the Town rectifying this situation?

JOYCE: Okay. Mr. Bagg in view of the fact that this discussion has gone on for many, many years, and sitting over there is a former director -- Director of Planning for the Town of Huntington. Although he has been retired a considerable time period, he's probably -- can more appropriately answer the question than I.

SPEAKER: Nice dodge.

JOYCE: Like that?

MR. BAGG: Because quite often the CAC went over the County program, as you can go over your own Town program, with respect to the Stormwater program, as well as -- JOYCE: I do most certainly take that back. I promise you Jim, that we'll --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: That's only if Mr. Mackey would like to be put on the spot. I invited Mr. Mackey here today just to look at the CEQ meeting, I did not anticipate questioning of him. And --

JOYCE: In truth, Mike, I have put Mr. Mackey on the spot for 20 years.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: If you would like Mr. Mackey [inaudible].

MR. MACKEY: I think I better. When I was with the Town, about 23 years ago they started a program to analyze all these things, and come up with solutions. Since it was 2 years that I've left, I have no idea.

JOYCE: He dodged it too. I'll check it.

MR. HASBROOK: They did work on the problem -- they did put a catch basin in, and took care of the majority of the runoff from that road.

SPEAKER: Thank you.

MR. BAGG: So there still is runoff going into --

MR. HASBROOK: So additional runoff coming on -- from the County Park itself, it runs down that ravine. But they did try to remediate what they could from the road runoff.

MR. BAGG: So they put a catch basin at that location?

MR. HASBROOK: Yes, so something -after many years of the -- many years of the request being put to the town, they did do the work.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I have one quick question, Emerson. I'm looking at page 45, and that talks about the voluntary reduction of fertilizer **[inaudible]** by County Golf Courses. Which will substantially **[inaudible]** fertilizer discharges in surface waters. Are any private courses signing on, or monitoring? What has been going on with this? In other words, I know the County has been trying to reduce nitrogen in fertilizer, and I know

that a lot of private courses have been trying to do that also. But has there been any coordination with the private courses; have they been coming to you for information or anything?

MR. HASBROOK: They haven't been coming to -- to Cornell's Phase II Programs specifically for coordination. However people who are in the Cornell Cooperative Extensions Agriculture Program have been working with the golf courses, in terms of reducing the amount of fertilizers and pesticides that they use.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: So, somehow or another what you're saying is -- is some of the Phase II recommendations that are applied to the County right now might actually be shifting onto -- were being used by private courses?

MR. HASBROOK: Well we're hoping that private courses would pick up on some of this. Yes.

MR. BAGG: Speaking of private property, isn't there currently something

before the legislature to reduce the manner of nitrogen that can be used in fertilizers on peoples lawns --

SPEAKER [LAUREN]: No, actually it's not before the legislation yet. There's a proposed legislation by the County Executive which he brought to the homestead [inaudible] task force which I Chair, and we can recommend nitrogen (inaudible) we can't -- don't [inaudible] that kind of description, with their recommendations. And the homestead [inaudible] task force. Actually I was supposed to ask [inaudible] about this because there's a lot of dovetailing here.

Different groups working towards one end, which is our stormwater mediation. But I do want to say that -- that this is even broader [inaudible] one pound per square feet -- per application, per year. We're looking at [inaudible] we're recommending, and we're also -- actually there are also in depth [inaudible]. There will be a prohibition fertilizing the proposal --

SPEAKER[LAUREN]: Because that will wash away the stormwater. So that will be -- there will be fines for that kind of application.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Are there going to be any fines for anyone who puts down fertilizer before nor-easters?

Everybody on my block except myself did that --

SPEAKER[LAUREN]: It's too early to be putting down anything. But that's part of the recommendation that homestead will be coming out with -- We are -- we also want to put signage in nurseries and other retail establishments as to when fertilizer -fertilizer applications should be done, if at all.

And also -- basically with best management practices -- and to answer another question -- your question about voluntary, you know the agricultural stewardship group that I put together a few years ago, we now have now 77 farmers that are working with us this year. And are decreasing their use of fertilizers and pesticides, and [inaudible] project, and many farmers are on board, and they're working with the New York State [inaudible] program to help to lower the fertilizer [inaudible]. And I know that the sewage and the stormwater district has been helping with that particular effort as well.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Before we get started I want to ask one question. The proposed legislation that you're talking about though, it is only voluntary. Are you going to be able to effect any of the stores? For example, that sell this [inaudible] was an example. If you talk to Cornell Cooperative they would say, "fertilize your lawn 3 times a year", Memorial Day, sometime after Labor Day, and sometime in November. Yet a lot of the fertilizer companies sell their products, for example, basically say do it in early spring.

SPEAKER [LAUREN]: Actually some of the people who came to -- I -- I'm a bit off here but I -- I suppose it is germane -- I don't want to get into too much detail with the County Executive's Legislation, and when I'm thinking back on it, based on -- we can't make regulations regarding the sale, but I think we can regulate the use. I think that if Legislation can -- although I don't know how you would do that other than a complaint basis. So that is a complaint driven type of enforcement.

We are planning to have a memorandum of understanding. I'm -- I'm putting it together, I'm getting some help from Michael White, with the Long Island Mutual Planning Board. We're trying to put together a memorandum of understanding. Similar to the work we've done in Chesapeake Bay and so far Scotts, Lebanon and Lesco have agreed to be part of that -- at that summit, and to work to reach an memorandum of understanding. We did have Scotts people who came from Columbus representing the Scotts Company,
who claimed that they were trying to do what was possible in their nitrogen load recommendation. And yet when I asked why we hadn't enforced that program, well their answer was that each of the applications the nitrogen load was less than one pound per 1,000 square feet. That in some, because of the mix of the nitrogen was .6 per 1,000 square feet and so the aggregate -aggregate came to I think 3.5 which - per year which we are still feeling is too high.

But we hope to have -- stay tuned because -- in fact I was going to say obviously we have so much -- I think kind of duplication of effort -- that I would like you to come to one of our Homestead Task Force Meetings, to give us a little bit more information on this, and see how we can implement some of what you've already done in our educational outreach, because we have much of what you're saying here.

Trying to get people to water less, trying to get homeowners to water twice a week, and to measure the water that's coming

out of the sprinkler system. So that they know that not all areas of their property is going to be the same amount of water, but just water deeply, and water less often.

Scott's, by the way, had the piece that I heard on the radio which was, if your fertilizer goes onto your driveway or into the roadways, sweep it back into your -onto your lawn. I just heard that the other day. They had claimed that they [inaudible] here.

So, we have to combine both our efforts. We have a lot going on in the County. But I think we're going to -- we have a lot of spinning points in the air, and we have to try to bring them together a little bit more; combine our efforts.

SPEAKER: Here -- here, we'll be happy to work with you --

SPEAKER: We had three public hearings, one of them was not very well attended. I don't know if we got the word out. But two of them were very, very well attended. On both sides of the aisle we had the industry,

we had the applicators, and we had concerned citizens. You know, **[inaudible]** was there from the Breast Cancer Coalition I don't know if that's the right type.

But we have had a number of groups and so we have to get this message out and, and we'll try to combine our efforts. So everyone will be hearing from my office.

SPEAKER: Okay. Great, thank you very much.

SPEAKER: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: George Prios had a comment.

MR. PRIOS: I represent two groups that have a responsibility on stormwater, and I'm really concerned that we haven't had as much interaction as we should on this. So I have raised this before. I raised it with Vivian last year. The one is to set a water conservation district, because of the State wide statutory responsibility for dealing with storm water.

And the second is the New York State's Underwater Conservation Committee that I also happen to sit on, that has a memorandum of understanding with the DEC. That's everybody's program, and together has put together a number of initiatives with localities, and in fact created a stormwater committee, which I chose for the State. And part of that was to put stormwater specialists into the portions of the regions -- which we did have one down here until recently, and we have five more throughout the State looking at problems, and implementing your Phase II -- problems both with the construction industry, and problems with the MS [inaudible].

So with that [inaudible] anything -and three general comments I just want to make -- partly related to I think a question she raised earlier about what should we be designing [inaudible] is two inches adequate enough?

Three points I think to be made which was how a couple of days ago when New York City said they had over 8.2 inches in a 24hour period. But this is the third time I

can recall in the decade that we've so called reached the 100-year flood mark. That was this year, last summer Upstate, 16 Counties exceeded the 100-year flood, and less than ten years earlier there was another state flooding.

The point being that the definition of the 100-year flood is drastically out dated and needs to be changed. We're using standards that were set 30 years ago. And because of climate change, and other factors we're not designing for the proper storms.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I should speak for Larry Swanson on this one. This is an issue that we've been dealing with in Head of the Harbor and Nissequogue. He's definitely identified the gully washers. He'd like to start enrolling them as being much more prevalent. We're getting four and five inch rain storms around the Delaware.

Three inches or more, were more common shall we say. Where we'd say one inch or two inch storms, now where getting three inch storms. All the time every summer -- so we know rainfall counts are going up. And we started designing the four and five inch rain storm wherever possible, for all residential construction and also our road systems. To recognize that sort of dovetails with what you're talking about.

MR. PRIOS: The second problem is all ten Townships have requirements in the sector -- approval for years to maintain stormwater owned property -- that has never been enforced. Then it goes after a one inch or two inch to see what's happening to that stormwater. In those cases it's not retained on site. Which is why the County comes back often times trying to increase their conveyances because every time there's an additional development it produces more runoff to county roads. But now if you look at the Phase II requirements very, very few construction projects are including the 100 year flood design in then. But I can see many examples of not being -- you can see storm -- you can see the roof drains going

directly out to pavements which they should be draining to catch basins.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: New construction --

MR. PRIOS: New construction, I've seen new parking lots in commercial shopping centers where there are no curb cuts which there should be. There should be sunken islands instead of above ground islands with trees and shrubs. So you minimize the amount of flow coming off these paved parking lots. It's traditional engineering plans that have been done for the last 30, 40 years. And a lot of new designs that the stormwater manual had in the blue book that the State came up with seven years ago, has been trying to get people to do.

So it's a lack of getting this information into the Towns, so they could require this from the engineers that are doing these plans for development.

SPEAKER: George, aren't they codified in the Town building codes?

MR. PRIOS: No. Not the specific things. like I would say a certain number of curb cuts -- one actually is in Huntington, because of the flooding problem out there. We just -- the curb was just brand new, after being put in within a year. And walked the entire perimeter. Not one place for water to break through the curbs cuts so it could go to a -- an adjacent area that might have been natural and [inaudible] instead it was directed to one place, and at that one place either collection of debris [inaudible] water, you'd or frozen grass have a flood there. So that's historically the way they designed these things -- to move in our area, we move it to a sump. Because we have [inaudible] very well in one area. Instead of trying to minimize the amount that you're generating. We looked at dozens of houses and every house had a storm drain coming from the roof, going right down to their driveway to or directly [inaudible] into a street. Not any of them were captured.

So these were all new types of proposals, and granted we've made mistakes in the past but every new site plan should have specific language that says we shouldn't -- we shouldn't [inaudible].

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Under the Swamp II programs though, new subdivisions for example, most individual houses have got to start comporting with this.

SPEAKER: The problem is there's no enforcement. The DEC has one person working part time for Region two, which is Nassau and Suffolk, and the developers know that. They know that -- that the -- the chances of them not filing a permit and getting caught is very slim.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Not where I live. Okay, that's all I'm going to say. I will say this much, I know a lot of Incorporated Villages have practices that are not of the stand -- most of the Incorporated Villages are in fact trying to follow and are actively involved in implementing these phases of storm

[inaudible]. I know I'm involved with this, so is Larry. It may be falling short at the Towns, but how do you propose to deal with it.

MR. PRIOS: Well, this is my third point. A category five storm like Katrina will produce twice the volume of water that a 100-year flood will. So again, even if we were designed for the 100-year flood, we still would have problems if a severe hurricane appears. So I'm just putting this in perspective in terms of the importance of trying to get everybody to address minimizing the impact from every activity that might generate storm water.

Last year DEC put out a critical path of compliance - a document for MS [inaudible] to try to highlight what they should be doing over the years that when Phase I to Phase II should have been going on.

And for each minimum standard they had certain benchmarks and a couple of these if they had been done -- my apologies -- obviously but I haven't seen them. And I'm looking for them, so if I haven't seen them I think the general public probably has not seen them. But just under [inaudible] a couple of quick ones, a minimum to -- to submitting an annual report --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Hold on a second. If these are technical changes that you'd be bringing in that we --

MR. PRIOS: **[inaudible]** prior to how we have a point -- this should be a public meeting, open to the general public. And if you have had one I just haven't seen the announcements for them, and I think they should be done --

> MR. BAGG: This -- this is it. SPEAKER: [inaudible] procedure.

MR. BAGG: This is right -- this is our Public Hearing on the Annual Report. It's been advertised throughout the County.

MR. PRIOS: Right. Okay. I missed that one. I just happen to be here by accident. I benchmarked -- you know, just to identify **[inaudible]** holders, I'd like to

really see that to make sure all the key stakeholders are involved.

A couple of other -- just -- two or three quick things. Obviously illicit discharges is a key component and should have a direct [inaudible]. But this is -it's a problem both for the County and [inaudible] in terms of what other conveyances are being dumped into our pipes, that we're not aware of. We know the -- for example, we saw the sewer district that there were many illicit discharges are going through there. Fortunately the discharge pipes out in the Ocean, so the impact may not be as severe as other ones that are going to inland bodies of water. And that's a minor effort task but it's one we need to address. How are we going to identify all these illicit discharges that built up over the years?

We need to establish rules and responsibilities for all the different people that have key roles in stormwater at each level; a County level, Town level for

certain things being done and be incorporated for which -- and -- and proper use and good examples as a teaching tool to get --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I think you said there are ten Towns in the County of Suffolk. And you're on soil and water at both the County and also the State level, this would be a perfect opportunity for you and possibly -- in conjunction with Emerson -- Calling a meeting of the ten Towns' engineers, and tell them this is what's coming down the pike. This is what's going to have to start being done. Make sure it gets done. Town engineers are supposed to review for example: sub-division plaques and some things like that.

But if they're not putting them down with stormwater conveyances and stormwater catchment, you know, their breaking the law. Make it clear to them. They may not be aware of it. I could make a couple other comments about engineers but not being able

to [inaudible] it may be a situation where that's debating -- takes selection.

MR. PRIOS: Yes. That comes under [inaudible] there's a section there that said, [inaudible] we should be working on training [inaudible] staff for local laws, ordinance implementation, reduce site plan enforcement, and the problem is, is that there's just a lot here, and there isn't enough resources to do it. So my point is we really need to be coordinating as much as possible to get as many people that are available --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: The coordination procedure that I just talked -said a second ago, about trying to get the Town engineers all together and dealing with this stuff, maybe one of those ways to accomplish it, and I think it will be your biggest bang for the buck, in that particular situation.

[inaudible]

SPEAKER: [inaudible] just a quick question regarding the roof -- the green

roof that you mentioned. You know, I don't remember that [inaudible] I'm trying to understand what you meant about ice water in terms [inaudible] you know, the [inaudible] buildings where you have the -- the roof and have vegetation on it. Is that what you were referring too?

MR. HASBROOK: Yes. Yes.

SPEAKER: You know we do have [inaudible] legislation that I introduced in the County. Any of our buildings that are being reconstructed should be built according to [inaudible] criteria. But I think DPW has been reluctant to look at this roof act that could be examined. I'm talking about the weight of the roof et cetera. But perhaps DPW could be encouraged to look at this when they're looking at building designs.

MR. HASBROOK: That's got to be in one of the smaller buildings at the County Farm in Yaphank. But it -- it's just a demonstration, so yes that's exactly what it'll be. We're going to set up a building

.

with a green roof, so the people can see how to do it, what it looks like and so forth. Yes.

SPEAKER: [inaudible] DPW?

MR. HASBROOK: Should be right across the -- it's going to be right across the street from DPW, so we -- we -- we'll make sure that we bring some of those people over there.

SPEAKER: Because that's been a hard piece for me to sell.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I was going to make a joke but I'll let [inaudible].

SPEAKER: I have one more question [inaudible]. Page 30 Minimum Control Measure six, Municipal Operations [inaudible]. You mention that the County [inaudible] -- what has been your success with that? I didn't see anything in the -accompanying [inaudible].

MR. ATKINSON: For which -- for which one?

SPEAKER: [inaudible] have to had success with that, have you had enough time to --

MR. ATKINSON: I'm going to have to defer it to Jeff -- Jeff Dawson from DPW, or -- or my staff that's been working with the road crews. Do you guys have any information on that?

MR. DAWSON: As far as I know it's something they tried, six months -- six months to a year ago they didn't upgrade the [inaudible]. But they -- at the time they were going to modify it [inaudible]. I'm not sure that they have, [inaudible] trial -- the initial trial wouldn't [inaudible].

SPEAKER: But there's no quantative analysis to say we tried so many times, so many trucks, a two inch snow storm, and it didn't work. Or, there's nothing like that available?

MR. ATKINSON: I don't know I have to check with --

MR. DAWSON: It's under evaluation, the last [inaudible] evaluations.

SPEAKER: So it's still really in the kitchen --

MR. DAWSON: It is. It's under a PILOT, **[inaudible]** options.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Any other questions? Ms. Pichney.

MR. PICHNEY: One more, it's an application from -- from Joy. I didn't quite catch all the commentary -- it has to do with -- again about slope on the runway and some reference to Suffolk Community College.

MS. SQUIRES: It was just a recommendation for the future. That they consider the application rates to parking areas of Suffolk County Community College. Not just the County roads.

MR. PICHNEY: Which was it [inaudible] you know like Yaphank.

MR. DAWSON: All of the public comments that we get, all of the one's that we've gotten here from CEQ members as well as the public. Any additional public comment we get over the next month will be addressed in

our final report that we submit to DEC. So we will provide responses to all these issues in the final report. And that's -that's what this public comment process is for, is -- is to get comments from people. So that we get -- we can modify it improve our annual report. [inaudible] this has been advertised in our website which has received, you know record traffic.

So of course [inaudible] this annual report, and comment on the [inaudible] as well. So it's readily available to the public and [inaudible].

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. Do you have anything else to add?

MR. ATKINSON: Yes. Just a couple of minutes, just a couple of more minutes --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: What we'll do then is, we'll finish up with you then we'll take a five-minute break. Then we'll --

MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Just a couple of minutes, I [inaudible] want to resolve -just briefly go over Pollution Prevention Plan, relative to County construction. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Sure.

MR. ATKINSON: It's actually in reference to a question that Jim had asked earlier the project that Jeff Dawson presented. Whether he still wants a prevention plan. [inaudible] an important point [inaudible] program, as in any project which is --

SPEAKER: You have to talk louder

COURT REPORTER: Can you slow it down; I cannot understand what you're saying.

MR. ATKINSON: Okay. Any project, which occurs on Suffolk County roads or properties, which to serve an acre or more of soil has to be [inaudible] by that you have to submit a Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan.

SPEAKER: [inaudible]

MR. ATKINSON: It's [inaudible] Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan.

SPEAKER: Okay.

MR. ATKINSON: This is for projects which occur on County roads, and County

properties. And again it can serve one acre or more. So in order -- so to streamline the process and to help DPW buildings and parks, whatever divisions which may have actually have a project which would disturb an acre of water. We put together a package to help them write and develop (inaudible) for the acre projects.

I've brought a number of [inaudible] if you guys want to look at them.

SPEAKER: [inaudible]

MR. ATKINSON: Yes. Two reasons we want to bring this up. There's one that is you're aware of this requirement, from -- it is currently done now -- now and the future, any project which leads the threshold, they will have a script done at some point in the process.

We also wanted to at least give a chance to collect all these documents, take a look at it, and see if there's anything else you'd like to see added to them. [inaudible] is just four sections, one is just an instruction manual for project engineers and the County. Regardless whether they're from the DPW or another division, architects, buildings.

It's in the instruction manual in terms of how to [inaudible] script and what the legal requirements are, in terms of DEC.

Second section there's a template slip which is just their plan document. Where the **[inaudible]** can fill in, the engineers could fill in, **[inaudible]** compliance of the State. This is a sample slip, it's just so you know what's completed, and -- and what the proper document will look like.

And following this little section on language, that the projects which are put OUT to bid to the contractors. Language that will go inside that to bid specification which makes them aware of the (inaudible) requirements and buildings [inaudible] requirements. So I did bring six copies of them. If you guys want to take a look at it, its -- we're still receiving comments from various departments. And we'll do so for at least another month.

In terms of the structural [inaudible] that we talked about, so I can get some copies to you guys, if you want to look at them. We'd certainly appreciate any comments you have.

SPEAKER: What --

MS. SQUIRES: Could repeat what the acronym means? Storm water --

MR. ATKINSON: Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan, SWPPP.

MS. SQUIRES: I put an "I".

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Quick question for you. I know DEC has something very similar, are we talking about the same document?

MR. ATKINSON: Yes. This document is based on DEC's recommendation --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Based on them?

MR. ATKINSON: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: So this is something separate.

MR. ATKINSON: For County purposes --VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: For County purposes? MR. ATKINSON: Yes. Yes. This is something written specifically for the County -- for the County projects. And it insures that everything that's required from the DEC is inside these projects.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I -- I have so much experience with DEC stuff, and if -- if the engineer is responsible it actually is very, very easy to implement this stuff. It's not very, very hard to do.

MR. ATKINSON: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: And we've done it very successfully in doing subdivisions. And George it does actually happen -- it's not exactly - we do look at this stuff and incorporate their recommendations into subdivision plans and individual plans. So don't give -- don't give up on them.

Okay. Any questions from members? MR. ATKINSON: Does anyone want a copy? VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Anything else? MR. ATKINSON: No. Thank you very much for your time, we realize that -- VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. So we'll close that portion of the public hearing, that we're dealing with. We're going to take a break now for about five minutes. Let the stenographer's fingers cool down.

(Whereupon a brief recess was taken, after which the following transpired:)

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: We're going to resume the meeting now.

If that's okay with you guys? Okay. Back on the record.

We're now going to go into the historic portion of the meeting, dealing with historic services. We've got a little bit of work to do on all of this. And I'll turn it over -- first to Rich Marlin.

MR. MARLIN: Well actually, Maryann Spencer, [inaudible] and myself will report jointly on the [inaudible] meeting we just had yesterday at the Suffolk Lodge. And we establish business meetings for the committee. We went over a number of items.

One of the discussions was the storage structure priority list -- the survey that

the Parks Department has completed. Phase I of the survey was completed in 2006 and the results identified the new bill [inaudible] County parks as the number one priorities of concerns. In fact our work is continuing there, we -- like I have a report on the building -- have just been stabilized. And we will be going ahead with this full restoration of the building itself, prior to the installation of the gristmill work.

SPEAKER: So what -- what building was that?

MR. MARLIN: The Blydenburg Building, right by Blydenburgh County Park.

The committee we also discussed the second priority, it came from last year's survey which is the **[inaudible]** on Yaphank Avenue, that'd be Yaphank Historic District. And this building -- was a lot of concern from the committee members. I think Maryann can report on that.

SPEAKER: Didn't we meet there once? MR. MARLIN: This has been on dedicated to [inaudible] customer a number of years to

build the properties also on the National Register. Directly opposite the **[inaudible]** house, the Yaphank Historic Society hazards -- Suffolk County --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Yes it is. Hawkins is right across the street.

MR. MARLIN: And also according to information the Yaphank Historic Society in renegotiating their new contract, will now be included as the stewards for property across the street. Which is the [inaudible] house and the [inaudible].

MS. SPENCER: The -- the -- the list names that's -- that's the list that was prepared is in order by priority, and it's based on significance, and issues. So last year when Legislature -- Legislator Kennedy, asked for resolution at the eleventh hour to come in and save -- stabilize the gristmill. This moved **[inaudible]** house to number one.

And I've given you just a little information about the significance, it was -- a new group was put on this. SPEAKER: About five years --

MS. SPENCER: About five years ago this has gone a long way towards its stabilization. But it -- it -- the feeling of the -- the committee which is a subcommittee of the CEQ that -- that these dedicated properties are -- are in our care. And the full committee unanimously passed a recommendation to the CEQ, that the CEQ asked the Legislature, for \$500,000 to do a planning study of the Suffolk County Yaphank Historic District, and begin the restoration of the **[inaudible]** house.

It is our strong feeling that both this committee that I chair, which is -- which is a standing committee - and the CEQ -- which is entrusted to the care of these Properties, start to bring this to the attention of the legislature. And so I move -- I so move that --

SPEAKER: Don't make motions just yet. I -- in -- in this chair -- your Honor, continue the reports here --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMANN: No, no, no, no, no, to make a motion for what you wanted

to do, we have to transform ourselves from the CEQ into the Historic Trust, or we have to make a motion as the Historic Trust. That has to be done, to do what you want to do.

MS. SPENCER: Well I'm going to have two motions at the end of Richard's report so can we transform ourselves, please?

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Fine and dandy. MS. SPENCER: Okay.

MR. MARLIN: Okay. [inaudible] the historic survey of the buildings will continue this year. We'll be starting in about a month. The initial survey covered 30 buildings, we'll be covering an additional 30 buildings. And again, this is a tool for the Parks Department to start identifying the historic structures, problems with the historic structures, and to give a priority list to guide us, and then to help with the Historic Trust, also with the information.

Next was the discussion of the rental properties within the Suffolk County Parks.

This has been an issue that's been ongoing since the County cancelled the (inaudible) Long Island Heritage Contract, which had rented many of the buildings -- through which the County had rented many of the buildings. And we have -- this point there are 11 vacancies throughout the Parks Department, and out of the 11 vacancies 7 are dedicated to the Historic Trust. So we are concerned, the committee is concerned that these buildings be occupied as soon as possible.

The -- there will be an open house October 28th and 29th and all County employees have been invited to this.

SPEAKER: October 28?

MR. MARLIN: I'm sorry April --April 28th and 29th. By a County-wide memo, all County employees have been invited to this open house. All employees at this point, by Legislative resolution are eligible to occupy the -- the homes at this [inaudible]. The rents will be going up in

phases, reaching a market rate in September of 2008.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Maryann did you want to --

MS. SPENCER: Yes I want to comment.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: -- comment on that also?

MS. SPENCER: The manual, which you all have copies of I've presumed, state and restates the importance of dedicated properties not remaining vacant. And to that end we have -- we have as a committee told Richard to get the information about the stages of these dedicated properties and their vacancy to us at each bi-monthly meeting. And it's going to be a standing item on our agenda until it's resolved. And -- and -- and he will continue then to report to the CEQ as well.

SPEAKER: Do you happen to know will -will there be some sort of lottery, or by résumé, or -- or someway a -- how a person would be chosen?

MR. MARLIN: There is a priority list by the legislative resolution. Number one choice is a Suffolk County Park Police Officer, or a Suffolk County Deputy Sheriff.

Number two is a current full time employee of the Suffolk County Department of Parks.

Number three is a full time employee of the County of Suffolk. Beyond that priority list I don't know exactly how they will decide the tenants. The Commissioner's Office will be viewing all the applicants. Making decisions -- actually the full Legislature will be voting on the tenants [inaudible], on -- by that resolution. But I don't know how they're going to -- how they're going to decide this.

SPEAKER: Repeat it again, Police officers?

MR. MARLIN: Suffolk County Park Police Officers.

SPEAKER: Park is first?

MR. MARLIN: Yes. And Suffolk County Deputy Sheriffs' is the first priority. The

employees of the Suffolk County of Parks, is second, and third are all full time employees of Suffolk County.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Rich, just let me know, I think that there was some discussion type about putting up an even further categorization of priorities. So there is a procedure in there to avoid both nepotism comes as an issue, and also [inaudible] the process more opaque and open -- and also to try and protect the parcels. The properties themselves that is an issue that has come up.

SPEAKER: Would you happen to know if -- if -- if a Sheriff or a Police officer occupies the space would they be expected to perform a -- in essence a police function? On their off hours, would they be remunerated for that?

MR. MARLIN: It wouldn't be compensated for it. But it would be expected, yes. That's all part of the program --

MS. SPENCER: That's right.

MR. MARLIN: -- that they would supervise the properties. As a casual setting, there would be no formal -- that they have to be on side so many hours, or anything like that. But that's always a part of the reason for them -- the housing program.

SPEAKER: if I could comment and I am not speaking for the Suffolk County as a town. It is a very difficult situation, that -- because legally it must be market rate rent. And typically these things have been expected of people. And these people help the public as [inaudible] your property. And you are expected to respond however appropriately. As some of the ways that -- that it has been easy to get people to stay in the houses, that were somewhat falling down, in some way if I may say so. If the rent was low, the rent cannot be low now; it has to be market rate. And we all have to be so very careful that there be no question whatsoever about the people who'd be staying, and that is very difficult. And

I applaud the idea of you having an open house for the properties. I think that's an excellent idea. Very public, very

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: That's if anyone comes [inaudible]

MR. MARLIN: Next we discussed the County -- a new property that the County taking a look at now for possible purchase. And this is credibly known as the Romeo House, **[inaudible]** public owner's last name. But historically was called the Cohview Residence, that's C o h view Residence, and that's in Shinnecock Hills, in Southampton. The building dating -- built in 1930, and its built in a Scandinavian Mountain House style, a very unique building for Long Island.

There is a lot of interest in this property locally in Southampton. There's currently an exhibit on the building at the Southampton Museum. New York State Office of Historic Preservation, has visited the site, and written a recommendation that is

to be added to the National Register. It is not on the National Register right now, they have declared it eligible.

And this was brought to the committee's attention by Charla Bolton, committee member, who had worked with [inaudible] property nominated, phase seven?

SPEAKER: Seven to Save, Preservation League of New York.

MR. MARLIN: Of New York? Seven --SPEAKER: Seven to Save --

MR. MARLIN: -- Preservation --Preservation League of New York, so that is currently on their list.

The highlight -- of the state-wide -court building that should be saved. The County is taking a look at look at it now, and has asked the Parks Department to research this property, and get back to the real estate. On our information that was brought up to the committee for their comments.

At this time, Maryann wants to --
MS. SPENCER: Right, there's a further history to the property. This can be for the Town of Southampton. It's on a parcel that had been sub-divided into four lots. The house is on one of the four lots. The Town of Southampton has purchased the two lots that face the water, and may have purchased a third, we're not certain. The Town of Southampton is interested in the parcel's preservation. They may come forward with some group like -- The Arts Council that would -- would attend public hearings, if the County considers this purchase.

Because of this [inaudible] in the committee and you know I'm saying the manual says that we are charged with notifying the Legislature. Sometimes the CEQ of -- the CEQ is charged with notifying the Legislature about properties that would be appropriate for the County to purchase. It was entertained -- and that motion is passed unanimously, so that will also be [inaudible].

Can we turn ourselves into the trust? We need to represent that.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Question for you: So Southampton has indicated some interest in purchasing.

MS. SPENCER: They purchased it -- look they -- they -- the two front lots, they haven't purchased the third. We didn't have that information yesterday.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Jim - Rich, do you think the County would have any problem with this kind of a situation? Sort of a hybrid, if you will, in terms of the County purchasing and the Town running or --

[inaudible cross-talk]

MR. MARLIN: That the additional lots, open space surrounding this --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Right.

MR. MARLIN: -- so --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Right.

MR. MARLIN: -- if this moves forward, it seems that that idea would be the County would own the building, the Town would own

the open space around it, which would be [inaudible].

MS. SPENCER: It's -- it's in relatively good repair.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: You think it has historical significance?

MS. SPENCER: It definitely has significance, and the State feels it's eligible for the State and National Register.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I was [inaudible] much younger than most of the buildings that the County has generally purchased.

SPEAKER: Sounds exciting.

MR. MARLIN: We're going to [inaudible] some color pictures of the building, in a report that was done by a local artist [inaudible] acquisition of this property.

Finally just to report that the Parks Department is planning a 150th Anniversary of the Saint James store. This will take -not at the Saint James store, this will take place at our Fall fair in October. But we're looking to create a much -- special event surrounding this at the site, and also get some corporate sponsors, local businesses to sponsor the event.

We're looking to redo the historic calendar that we produced in "2000". Photographs of our historic sites, that -information that we -- so we have information on **[inaudible]**. It will not be a calendar this time, it will just be a guide to all the historic sites and the general corporate stops or **[inaudible]** publications. And that will be sold of course at the store and hopefully at our various historic sites.

So we're really using the 100 year -anniversary to promote all the County historic sites, that are open to the public and all the historic groups will be invited to participate in the **[inaudible]**.

SPEAKER: Personally I think it's time [inaudible].

[inaudible]

MS. SPENCER: Another thing there are some dedications to the trust that had passed through the Suffolk County Trust Committee. And Richard's been doing -started bringing them forward to the CEQ -on the top burner. So hopefully every month for a while now you'll have at least one dedication to the trust.

[inaudible]

MS SQUIRES: That's on the State and National Register, correct?

SPEAKER: Yes.

SPEAKER: Now the next question is when the County [inaudible] dedication a part of that resolution?

MR. MARLIN: I'm not aware of that, I'll check the resolution.

SPEAKER: Can you check.

MR. MARLIN: But I am not aware of the resolution.

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN: Okay. what we're going to officially do, and I'm going to get this right for once. Okay? [inaudible] We're

going to end the CEQ meeting for a moment. We're going to -- we're going to become the Historic Trust, for a couple of minutes. And any motions that we make today will be made as part of the Historic Trust. So --

SPEAKER: Do you have a quorum? VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Yes, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Okay? So I believe there are motions to be made.

MS. SPENCER: I move that we recommend to the Legislature -- now Jim help me, would -- would that be the correct wording?

MR. BAGG. Yes. Council makes recommendations in Suffolk County legislature hearing.

MS. SPENCER: We recommend to the Legislature and the County Executive that they set aside \$500,000 for planning --

SPEAKER: For planning.

MS. SPENCER: -- for planning in the Suffolk County Yaphank Historic District, and for - Jeff --

MR. DAWSON: Clarification on that. Are we saying Suffolk County, Yaphank

Historic Districts are a complete other animal? You know there is a Yaphank Historic District that runs the entire street.

MR. MARLIN: But Suffolk County's Historic District is just a County property. MR. DAWSON: All right.

MS. SPENCER: All right. And --

MR. DAWSON: Give me a definition of that --

MR. MARLIN: Yes. MR. DAWSON: -- including --

MR. MARLIN: Yes.

MS. SPENCER: Yes. Because we want to include all of the County owned property in the Suffolk County Historic District in Yaphank, for -- in a -- in a planning document. And begin the restoration of the [inaudible] house. Which is on the very top of significance, an endangered list. That's my motion.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay. Do I hear a second? All right I have a second from Mr. Pichney. I will now call the vote.
All in favor?

SPEAKER: You get a yes vote from me.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: All right. The vote is unanimous. Okay.

I believe you may have a second motion. MS. SPENCER: I have a second motion. I would like to recommend to the Legislature that they consider for purchase. I just gave it to someone. I need the name --

SPEAKER: Cohview?

[inaudible cross-talk]

MS. SPENCER: -- this is the Lamont (phonetic) T. Cohview House. And it is, Peconic Road, Shinnecock Hills. Which is -there is a letter that is eligible for registry -- on the State and National Resister.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Entertain a motion?

[inaudible cross-talk]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I'll entertain a second.

MR. NARDONE: A second.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: I have a second from Mr. Nardone.

I call the votes. All in favor? Unanimous. We'll pass it. MS. SPENCER: Thank you. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: That's okay. Any other questions? MS. SPENCER: I think that's it. VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Okay.

SPEAKER: I need all the background information that's going under the resolution, with respect to the resolution that's been made. In other words

[inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: One other piece of information, Maryann is going to the Historic Trust manual. We're required to have two [inaudible] CEQ members on the Historic Trust. Okay so I withdraw. I will rephrase. Apparently Maryann Spencer has been going through the CEQ by-laws and discovered there's supposed to be two - I

repeat - two voting members of CEQ on the Historic Trust Sub-committee.

As such -- let's see -- as such I feel it is appropriate at this point in time to appoint Mr. Dan Pichney to be the second CEQ member of that committee. I will dub you a knight with a sword later on, and I will so inform Mr. Swanson, our Chairman that I have taken this action today. So, you may regret it but -- that's what Larry would say. You may regret it, but have fun.

Any other historic stuff.

We're going to out of the Historic Trust meeting now, and we're going to go back to CEQ.

Any other CEQ business? [inaudible] SPEAKER: I had [inaudible] because time after time we find ourselves in the position of trying to explain what CAC members can and cannot vote on. Jim [inaudible] guidelines, and I am in no hurry for when we do it. But I think we need to have some written guidelines -- but wait, just a minute. I also think we need some

clarification as to what we can and cannot vote on. Because quite frankly I don't see why as a CAC member I can't vote on Historic Trust issues. Because the historic property belongs to the entire County, as do the Park issues. So I would want a legal clarification on that -- we know only in the in the Town we live in. But what else, Jim?

MR. DAWSON: Let's not talk about legal clarifications, because basically CAC members are not duly appointed members by the Legislature. They're here because the County says in their by-laws -- said that they were entitled to a vote on projects within their own jurisdiction.

SPEAKER: Okay.

MR. DAWSON: So that is the clarification. So --

SPEAKER: That's all the clarification that's in it?

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: And also the charter basically say's that the Historic Trust is composed of the full CEQ members SPEAKER: Okay.

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: So that's legal opinion.

SPEAKER: Well then I just need --VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Not legal opinion - legal fact.

SPEAKER: -- [inaudible] everybody wonders what they're --

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: The statement basically would compose the following: Basically CAC members are allowed to vote on projects within their own town, or on projects of County Wide applications -applications and/or certificates.

SPEAKER: Then I'm going to apply to a Town such as [inaudible]. Which applies to every Town except [inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: That's simple. SPEAKER: Okay. Then I can [inaudible] VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Then would you mind writing that out?

Okay. Any other concerns? CAC concerns? Okay.

·

I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

SPEAKER: [inaudible]

VICE CHAIRMAN KAUFMAN: Everybody made the same motion, we're adjourned.

(Whereupon this hearing was concluded at 1:30p.m.)

* * *

CERTIFICATION

I, LORRAINE D. BERARDI, Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the within transcript was prepared under my direction and is a true and accurate record of this hearing, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of April, 2007.

Larraine D. BERARDI