

STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Gloria Russo Chairperson CEQ

## **NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING**

Notice is hereby given that the Council on Environmental Quality will convene a regular public meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, March 16, 2016 in the Arthur Kunz Library, H. Lee Dennison Building, Second Floor, Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY 11788. Pursuant to the Citizens Public Participation Act, all citizens are invited to submit testimony, either orally or in writing at the meeting. Written comments can also be submitted prior to the meeting to the attention of:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality Suffolk County Planning Department P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, NY 11788 631-853-5191

> **Council of Environmental Quality Gloria Russo, Chairperson**



COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Gloria Russo Chairperson CEQ

## AGENDA

## **MEETING NOTIFICATION**

## <u>Wednesday, March 16, 2016 9:30 a.m.</u> Arthur Kunz Library H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge

## All project materials can be found at:

http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality

## Call to Order:

## Minutes:

January 20, 2015

**Correspondence:** 

## **Public Portion:**

## **<u>Historic Trust Docket:</u>**

Director's Report: Updates on Housing Program for Historic Trust Sites Updates on Historic Trust Custodial Agreements

## **<u>Project Review:</u> Recommended Type II Actions:**

- A. Proposed Replacement In-Kind of Portions of Yaphank County Center Sewers' Conveyance System, CP 8158, Town of Brookhaven
- B. Proposed Suffolk County Sewer District #3 Southwest Rehabilitation Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Stations, CP 8170, Town of Islip

## **<u>Project Review:</u>** Recommended Unlisted Actions:

A. Proposed Local Law Prohibiting the Distribution of Plastic Carryout Bags Used in Retail Sales in Suffolk County

## **<u>Project Review:</u>** Recommendations for LADS Report:

A. Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016

## **Other Business:**

## CAC Concerns:

**\*CAC MEMBERS:** The above information has been forwarded to your local Legislators, Supervisors and DEC personnel. Please check with them prior to the meeting to see if they have any comments or concerns regarding these projects that they would like brought to the CEQ's attention.

**\*\*CEQ MEMBERS:** PLEASE NOTIFY THIS OFFICE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IF YOU WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

\*\*\*FOLLOWING THE MEETING PLEASE LEAVE BEHIND ALL PROJECT MATERIAL THAT YOU DO NOT WANT OR NEED AS WE CAN RECYCLE THESE MATERIALS LATER ON.



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Gloria Russo Chairperson CEQ

## SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY MINUTES

DATE: March 16, 2016 TIME: 9:40 am to 10:55 pm LOCATION: Arthur Kunz Library H. Lee Dennison Bldg. – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, New York

PRESENT: Gloria Russo, Chair Thomas Gulbransen, Vice Chair Michael Kaufman Dan Pichney Mary Ann Spencer Hon. Al Krupski (designee for Hon. Kara Hahn)

ABSENT: Eva Growney Hon. Kara Hahn Larry Swanson

CAC REPRESENTATIVES: Joy Squires

STAFF: Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner John Corral, Senior Planner Christine DeSalvo, Senior Clerk Typist

## **GUESTS**:

Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works Richard Martin, Director of Historic Services, Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation Nick Gibbons, Principal Environmental Analyst, Suffolk County Department of Parks, Recreation and Conservation Lauretta Fischer, Chief Environmental Analyst, Suffolk County Department of Economic and Planning, Division of Planning and Environment Frank Castelli, Environmental Projects Coordinator, Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Planning and Environment Suffolk County Legislator William Spencer, District #18 Jeff Standish, Executive Assistant, Town of Southold Nancy Foote, Clerk, Town of Southold Ron Meyer, Planning Commissioner, Town of Islip Michelle Bassen, Executive Assistant, Planning, Town of Islip Adrienne Esposito, Executive Director, Citizen Campaign for the Environment

## Minutes:

Minutes for the January 20, 2016 CEQ meeting were reviewed and discussed.

A motion was made by Ms. Spencer to approve the January 20, 2016 minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kaufman. Legislator Krupski abstained. Motion carried.

### **Correspondence:**

None

## **Public Portion:**

None

## Historic Trust Docket:

Director's Report:

Mr. Martin updated the Council on the following:

• Housing Program:

Mr. Martin noted that all units are filled at this time. Mr. Martin also noted that Parks has a good program in place where as soon as a tenant moves out Parks immediately advertises the vacancy within the County. It was also stated that there is a new tenant in the Isaac Mills House and at a few other County rental units. • Custodial Agreements:

Mr. Martin noted that Parks is still working on the custodial contracts. Mr. Martin stated that the new contract format is being used and it is working well. Mr. Martin recently sat down with the Sagtikos Manor Historical Society and they are very happy with the new format. Mr. Martin thanked everyone for their help and input on getting the new contract template completed.

• Events:

Mr. Martin brought to the CEQ's attention that there is going to be a fundraiser at Meadowcroft site in Sayville on June 24, 2016 from 6:30 pm to 8:30 pm. This fundraiser is being sponsored by the Society for the Preservation of Long Island Antiquities (SPLIA). This will be the first time that SPLIA is having their annual spring fundraiser at a County site. Mr. Martin noted that the Bayport Heritage Association has done a lot of work to restore the Meadowcroft and they will be honored at the event. A resolution has been introduced at the Suffolk County Legislature to authorize the use of the Meadowcroft for this event.

## Other Business: (Taken out of order)

Mr. Corral brought to the Council's attention that the Town of Southold was present to make a presentation regarding the possible joint Suffolk County/Town of Southold acquisition and subsequent use of the Brushes Creek - Tristate Capital Holdings property in the Town of Southold. Mr. Corral noted that the property is being considered to be acquired under Suffolk County's Nature Preserve Program. Mr. Corral noted that back in 1987 the Suffolk County Charter was amended to include the adopted Nature Preserve Handbook. The Handbook states that a management report is required for properties acquired under the County's Nature Preserve Program and that the CEQ is the body that reviews and approves the management report. As a result the project sponsor would like to get the CEQ's input on the possible uses permitted on this property including the possibility of deer hunting. It was noted that the Nature Preserve Handbook describes hunting as a generally incompatible use but not a prohibited use. It was discussed that it is possible that the management report could be designed in a way that the CEQ finds deer hunting acceptable on the property. Mr. Corral stated that this is not a final determination but only a request to get input by the CEQ so that the Town could have some guidance. Mr. Corral also noted that there is a map of the property and information from the Town of Southold on deer management in the CEQ member folders.

After Mr. Corral's introduction, Legislature Krupski made mention that the Town of Southold is looking at this less as hunting and more as the management of the natural resources on the site.

Mr. Jeff Standish, Executive Assistant with the Town of Southold, gave a presentation regarding the Town of Southold's Deer Management Plan.

In response to the presentation Ms. Russo noted that when the County and Town are ready to purchase the property this should be brought back to the CEQ with all the related back-up information. This information should include the reasons why deer management is needed, how the Town is working with the DEC, and the level of support from the adjacent communities. This information can then be reviewed and an intelligent decision can be made by the CEQ.

## <u>Project Review:</u> Recommended Unlisted Actions:

A. Proposed Local Law Prohibiting the Distribution of Plastic Carryout Bags Used in Retail Sales in Suffolk County

Legislator William Spencer, Sponsor of Introductory Resolution 1207-2016 and Adrienne Esposito, Executive Director of the Citizens Campaign for the Environment, gave a presentation regarding proposed local law (IR 1207-2016) which would prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic, single use, carryout bags to their customers and require that retailers who provide reusable bags to their customers charge a fee of \$.10 per recyclable paper bag and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bags.

After an extended discussion the Council recommended that Legislator Spencer update the Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) to include in the EAF the requirements that are contained in the legislation. The requirements in the legislation that should be added to the EAF include that the recyclable paper bags used by retailers contain no old growth fiber, are 100 % recyclable overall and contains a minimum of 40% post-consumer recycles content.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as an Unlisted Action with a Negative Declaration. The motion included that the EAF be updated to include the requirements that are included within the legislative resolution. The motion was seconded by Legislator Krupski. Motion carried.

## <u>Project Review:</u> Recommended Type II Actions:

A. Proposed Replacement In-Kind of Portions of Yaphank County Center Sewers' Conveyance System, CP 8158, Town of Brookhaven

Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works gave a presentation regarding the proposed replacement in-kind of portions of Yaphank County Center Sewers' Conveyance System. This in-kind replacement is being conducted to prevent failure or a required emergency response.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type II Action. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gulbransen. Motion carried.

B. Proposed Suffolk County Sewer District #3 Southwest Rehabilitation – Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Stations, CP 8170, Town of Islip

Ben Wright, Principal Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works gave a presentation regarding Suffolk County Sewer District #3 Southwest Rehabilitation – Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Stations, the proposed rehabilitation includes replacing equipment at the Awixa pumping station and installing a second bar screen to improve redundancy at the Pilgrim Psychiatric Center pump station.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to recommend classification of the proposed project as a Type II Action. The motion was seconded by Ms. Growney. Motion carried.

## **Project Review:**

## **Recommendations for LADS Report:**

Recommendations for Legislative Resolutions Laid on the Table February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016.

Mr. Corral noted that the staff's SEQRA recommendations are listed on the February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016 LADS reports and that none of the resolutions appeared to have an issue related to their SEQRA determinations.

Mr. Kaufman made a motion to accept staff recommendations for the February 29, 2016 and March 1, 2016 Legislative Resolutions. The motion was seconded by Legislator Krupski. Motion carried.

## CAC Concerns:

None

Meeting Adjourned



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E. COMMISSIONER DARNELL TYSON, P.E. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ŵ

## **MEMORANDUM**

TO: Gloria Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality

FROM: Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

SUBJECT: Yaphank County Center Sewers, CP 8158

DATE: March 1, 2016

Attached is a short EAF for the referenced sewers in the service area of Yaphank County Center. There is the need to be proactive with respect to this sewer infrastructure. Not all locations of work on the sewers have been identified with respect to the need for improvement, but many of the areas have sewer pipe which is subject to corrosion and failure. All work would be confined to replacement in-kind of the facilities located on the County Center site. The adopted capital budget includes \$250,000 to address these problems.

BW:ni

Attachment

cc: Gilbert Anderson, P.E., Commissioner

John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer

Janice McGovern, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer

John Corral, CEQ

H:\SANITATION\Engineering\Employees\wrightb\2016\bw3-1-16 Yaphank County Center Sewers CP 8158 memo to GRusso.doc

## SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

**YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980** 

Proje	ct ID	
····je		

## SUFFOLK COUNTY SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only 6 NYCRR Part 617.20

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW

Part I-PROJECT INFORMATION (to be o	ompleted by Applicant or Proje	ect Sponsor)
1. APPLICANT /SPONSOR	2. PROJECT NAME	
Department of Public Works	CP 8158 – Yaphank Co	ounty Center Sewers
3. PROJECT LOCATION		
Municipality Yaphank		County Suffolk
4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent		
Various locations of the sewage conveyance s	stem within the County	Center
5. IS PROPOSED ACTION:	· · ·	
New Expansion X Modification /alteration	- 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:		
Replace portions of the sewage conveyance sy	stem prior to emergence	y response or failure
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:		· · · ·
Initially N/A acres Ultimately N/A acres		
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OT	HER LAND USE RESTRICTIONS?	
X Yes No If No, describe briefly		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND US IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?		
Residential Industrial Commercial Agric	ulture 🔄 Park/Forest/Open Spac	e X Other
Describe:		
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOV	VOR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTH	ER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY
(FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)?		
Yes X No If yes, list agency(s) and permit/approvals		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID F	PERMIT OR APPROVAL?	-
Yes X No If yes, list agency name and permit/approval		
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/API	PROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?	
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED A	ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF M	
Applicant/sponsor Name: Ben Wright	· ·	Date: 3/1/16
Applicant/sponsor Name: Ben Wright	l	Date: 3/1/16
Signature: Ben Wongel		
jeen of the		•

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

**Continue to Part II** 

о П А І		ompleted by Agency)
	RT II - ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (To be c	CMPIETED by Agency) RR, PART 617.4? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.
Α.	yes X No Comment: replacement in-kinc	
B.		DED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative
	declaration may be superseded by another involved agency.	
	yes X No	
C.	C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or qui potential or erosion, drainage or flooding problems?	DCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible) antity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal,
	Explain briefly: Short term construction no	ise and traffic maintenance within County Center
	C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character?
-	C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, sig Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	gnificant habitats, or threatened or endangered species?
	C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopt Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	ed, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources?
	C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities li Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	kely to be induced by the proposed action?
	C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not in Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	dentified in C1-C5?
	C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quant Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>	ity or type of energy)?
D.	WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONM	ENTAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CEA?
	yes X No If Yes, explain briefly:	
Ē.		ELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
	yes X No If Yes, explain briefly:	
	Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant	, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (c necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure the adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. re potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MAY occur
	Check this box if you have determined, based of	on the information and analysis above and any supporting <b>NOT</b> result in any significant adverse environmental impacts <b>AND</b>
	Nan	ne of Lead Agency
-	Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
_	Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)
		Date
L		



STEVEN BELLONE SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

PHILIP A. BERDOLT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

**GILBERT ANDERSON, P.E.** COMMISSIONER

DARNELL TYSON, P.E. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

## MEMORANDUM

#### TO: Gloria Russo, Chairperson Council on Environmental Quality

SIN Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer FROM:

Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest - Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center SUBJECT: **Pumping Stations, CP 8170** 

DATE: March 1, 2016

Improvements are proposed for the Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest, Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Stations.

Sewer District No. 3 contains a number of pumping stations, the largest of which is Awixa Creek followed in size by the Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Station. The service area of Awixa Creek generates approximately 25% of the flow for Sewer District No. 3 and has a drainage area of over 17,000 acres with an estimated 100,000 residents. The Pilgrim station has a design flow of 750,000 gallons per day serving the site and small commercial connections. Exhibit 'A' is the sewer district area and location of the two pumping stations. Due to needed improvements taking place within the stations, a short EAF is attached with a description of the work below.

### AWIXA CREEK

There is a need to replace equipment and to implement the recommendations of an evaluation with respect to the Awixa Creek Pumping Station and force main. The Awixa Creek pump station includes an inlet sewer, the main pumping station structure, and a force main. The site itself is approximately 3 acres located on Saxon Avenue and has a 60 foot wide entrance to the facility. The inlet pipe is a 54 inch interceptor located in the entrance roadway. The force main is a 36 inch diameter pre-stressed concrete cylinder pipe. The force main crosses under Awixa Creek and through a right-of way and private property to a manhole on Awixa Avenue. The original system was designed with a firm capacity of 27.6 million gallons per day. A description of the pump station is attached in Exhibit 'B' which includes the inlet structures, the wet wells, the pumping and piping system, force main, and the metering chamber. The drainage area for the current service area as well as an ultimate design is also attached (Exhibit 'A'). The pictures that are in Exhibit 'C' include the electrical panels and emergency electric generator, the valving and pumps, and the building infrastructure. SUFFOLK COUNTY IS AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER

**YAPHANK, N.Y. 11980** 

## PILGRIM PSYCHIATRIC CENTER

The pumping station located on the Pilgrim Psychiatric Center site is defined as Pump Station No. 12 for the Sewer District No. 3 O&M staff. The pumping station was designed for an average daily flow of 750,000 gallons per day with the ability to be expanded to 1.25 million gallons per day. The service area includes the psychiatric center site along with a number of smaller contractee connections including the western campus of Suffolk County Community College, a hotel, and some other commercial areas. The 12 inch force main conveys sewage approximately 25,000 feet to the gravity system of SD #3. The station is in relatively good condition, however, there is a need for redundancy and the project will install a second bar screen along with evaluating the civil, electrical, and HVAC systems associated with the station. Please refer to Exhibits 'D' and 'E' for the project description and pictures.

We would appreciate this being placed on your upcoming CEQ meeting agenda.

## BW:ni

Attachment

cc: Gil Anderson, P.E., Commissioner
 John Donovan, P.E., Chief Engineer
 Janice McGovern, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer
 John Corral, CEQ

H:\SANITATION\Sewer-districts\sd03 - Southwest\2016\CP 8170\bw3-1-16 sd3 Awixa Creek Pump Station CP 8170 memo to GRusso.doc

Project ID:

## SUFFOLK COUNTY SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only 6 NYCRR Part 617.20 STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW

Part I-PROJECT INFORMATION (to be c	ompleted by Applicant or Projec	t Sponsor)
1. APPLICANT /SPONSOR	2. PROJECT NAME	
Department of Public Works	CP 8170 – Improvement	
	Southwest (Pumping St	ations)
3. PROJECT LOCATION		
Municipality Sewer District No. 3 - Southwest		County Suffolk
4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent		
Awixa Creek Pump Station (Saxon Avenue S/S	Montauk Highway), Pump	o Station #12 (Pilgrim
S/S 'G' Road)		
5. IS PROPOSED ACTION:		
New Expansion X Modification /alteration		
6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:		
Rehabilitate SD #3 – Southwest Pumping Statio	ns (Awixa Creek & Pilgri	m Psychiatric Center)
7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:		
Initially N/A acres Ultimately N/A acres		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OT	HER LAND USE RESTRICTIONS?	
X Yes No If No, describe briefly		······································
9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND US IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?		M. The
Residential Industrial Commercial Agricu	Ilture Park/Forest/Open Space	X Other
Describe:		
10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOW (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)?	OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER	GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY
Yes X No If yes, list agency(s) and permit/approvals		
11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID P		
Yes X No If yes, list agency name and permit/approval		
12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APP	PROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?	
Yes X No		
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED A	BOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY	KNOWLEDGE
Applicant/sponsor Name: Ben Wright	Dat	e: <u>3/1/16</u>
Be day it		
Signature: Beelle right		
U		
If the action is in the Constal Area and		

if the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

**Continue to Part II** 

PA		ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Agency)
A.	DOES	ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.4? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.
		yes X No Comment rehabilitation/replacement in-kind
В.		ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative ation may be superseded by another involved agency.
	L	yes X No
C.	COUL C1.	D ACTION RESULT IN ANY ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible) Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential or erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly: Short term construction noise on pump station site
	C2.	Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
	C3.	Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
	C4.	A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
	C5.	Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
	C6.	Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
	C7.	Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy)? Explain briefly: <b>NO</b>
D.	WILL	THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CEA?
E.	IS TH	ERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?
	I <b>NSTR</b> Each e irrevers	- DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency) UCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) sibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that ations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed.


Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which MAY occur.
Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.
 Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting

1	documentation, that the proposed action WILL NOT result in any significant adverse environmental impacts AND
	provide on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination:

Name of Lead Agency Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Title of Responsible Officer Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)

Date

## EXHIBIT A



## EXHIBIT B

### I-3 DESCRIPTION OF PUMP STATION

### A. <u>Inlet Structures and Bar Screens</u>

The inlet sewer is a 60 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe at a slope of 0.625%+ with a carrying capacity of 122 mgd.

At the Inlet Chamber, the sewage flow is divided into three channels, each designed for a maximum flow rate of 23 mgd. The inlet channels are 4'-0'' wide. A 48'' x 60'' hydraulically operated sluice gate is installed at the head of each channel. Slide plates are also provided to permit taking any channel out of service.

Two of the three channels are equipped with heavy-duty, mechanically cleaned bar screens with 1-1/2 inch clear openings. Provisions are made for the future installation of a mechanically cleaned bar screen in the third channel; initially, a manually cleaned bar screen is provided for emergency use. Each mechanically cleaned bar screen is provided with a sorting table and a screenings grinder having a capacity of 40 cubic feet of screenings per hour. Soft screenings like rags will be ground and returned to the sewage flow. Hard materials such as lumber will be separated and hauled away to landfill.

B. Wet Wells

There are two separate Wet Wells interconnected by a 24" x 24" sluice gate and arranged so that either wet well may be taken out of service for cleaning. Each Wet Well is connected to a liquid level control tube, properly valved, to control pump operation from either wet well alone or both wells connected and operating as one.

C. Raw Sewage Pumps and Piping

The Station is equipped initially with four (4) vertical single suction pumps, two connected to each Wet Well. Pumps are driven by two speed,

I-5

vertical motors. Each unit has a low speed pumping capacity of 4.6 mgd at 30 feet total dynamic head (TDH) and a high speed capacity of 9.2 mgd at 38 feet TDH. The firm initial station capacity is 27.6 mgd. Pump controls provide for a pumping range of 4.6 mgd to 27.6 mgd in steps of 4.6 mgd.

Ultimately, the station will have six (6) units installed, each having a maximum capacity of 9.2 mgd at 38 feet TDH. With five (5) pumps in operation, the station will have a firm capacity of 46 mgd. Pump piping and force main have been designed for the ultimate requirements.

At each pump, 24 inch and 16 inch hydraulically operated eccentric plug valves are on suction and discharge piping respectively. Discharge piping also includes a 20 inch gate valve for servicing the pump discharge control valve. An oil accumulator system with a working pressure of 150 psi is provided to operate pump valves.

Pump discharge lines are connected to a three foot diameter concrete header lined with 3/16" steel plate.

D. Force Main


The 36 inch force main is sized to carry the station's ultimate maximum capacity of 46 mgd. The velocity will be 10.1 fps at this rate and 6.1 fps at the station's initial maximum of 27,6 mgd.


The force main is sloped continually upward from the pumping station across Awixa Creek to a discharge manhole at Awixa Avenue. There is a 20 foot easement at the west side bank of Awixa Creek. Under Awixa Creek, the force main will be encased in concrete, with the top of the encasement ten (10) feet below Mean Low Water.


E. Metering Chamber


The metering chamber is located in the force main close to the Pumping Station.

## EXHIBIT C














EXHIBIT D

# .

· • • • •


### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION – EXHIBIT 'D'**

### Pilgrim Psychiatric Center

The pumping station located on the Pilgrim Psychiatric Center site is defined as Pump Station No. 12 for the Sewer District No. 3 O&M staff. The pumping station was designed for an average daily flow of 750,000 gallons per day with the ability to be expanded to 1.25 million gallons per day. The service area includes the psychiatric center site along with a number of smaller contractee connections including the western campus of Suffolk County Community College, a hotel, and some other commercial areas. The 12 inch force main conveys sewage approximately 25,000 feet to the gravity system of SD #3. The station is in relatively good condition, however, there is a need for redundancy and the project will install a second bar screen along with evaluating the civil, electrical, and HVAC systems associated with the station.

The bar screen will be installed within the existing structure of the pumping station. It will require concrete demolition in order to place the bar screen as indicated on a draft design drawing that is attached. As the screening materials are removed by the screen, they will be discharged into a screenings conveyor which allows the screening materials to be washed and conveyed to a dumpster for disposal. The washing requires approximately 10 gallons per minute of water for the spray cycle which is only utilized for a few minutes during the daily operation. A small building extension exists on the east side of the pumping station where the dumpster is contained and the conveyor will feed the squashed screens to that area. In addition to the equipment installation, it will also be necessary to provide the electrical connections and modifications through the motor control center within the building's structure. There will be minimal disturbances to the area since the majority of the surrounding Pilgrim site is unoccupied and in an abandoned state at this time.

H:\SANITATION\Sewer-districts\sd03 - Southwest\2016\CP 8170\bw3-1-16 Exhibit 'D' project description for Pilgrim Psychiatric Center memo to GRusso.docx









EXHIBIT E

ç

٩,







## SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617

## State Environmental Quality Review

## **Part 1 – Environment and Setting**

<u>Instructions</u>: Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information. If a question is not applicable to the proposed project indicate with "N/A".

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

## A. Project and Sponsor Information

Name of Action/Project: IR 1207 - A LOCAL LAW PROHIBITING THE DISTRIBUTION OF PLASTIC CARRYOUT BAGS USED IN RETAIL SALES

Project Location (specify Town, Village, Hamlet and attach general location map\*): SUFFOLK COUNTY

Street Address: N/A

Name of Property or Waterway: N/A

\* Maps of Property and Project: Attach relevant available maps including a location map (note: use road map, Hagstrom Atlas, USGS topography map, tax map or equivalent) and preliminary site plans showing orientation, scale, buildings, roads, landmarks, drainage systems, area to be altered by project, etc.

Type of Project:

New 🖂

Expansion

Capital Program:

Item # N/A

Date Adopted:

Amount: \$

Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need/attach relevant design reports, plans, etc.): This proposed local law would prohibit the free distribution of plastic carry out bags by retailers in Suffolk County. Retailers would be authorized to sell recyclable paper bags or reusable bags at a fee of \$0.10 per recyclable bag and a minimum fee of \$0.10 per reuseable bag. Bag fees will not apply to individuals purchasing groceries under WIC, TANF or SNAP programs.

#### Project Status:

5	Start	Completion
Proposal	3/1/ 2016	TBD
Study		
Preliminary Planning		
Final Plans: Specs		
Site Acquisition		
Construction		
Other		

Departments Involved:

I	Dept. Performing Design & Construction	Initiating Dept. (if different)
Name:	S.C. Department of Health Services	S.C. Legislature
Street/PO:		725 Veterans Memorial Highway
City, State:		Hauppauge
Zip:		11788
Contact Person:		Leg. William Spencer
Business Phone:		
Email:		

# B. Government Approvals, Funding or Sponsorship

("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief and any other forms of financial assistance)

	Government Entity			If "Yes": Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or Projected)
i.	City Council, Town Board or Village Board of Trustees	Yes	No 🔀		
ii.	City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission	Yes 🗌	No 🖂		
iii.	City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals	Yes	No 🖂		
iv.	Other local agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🔀		
ν.	County agencies	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	S.C. Legislature, S.C. Executive	

vi.	Regional agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🖂			
vii.	State agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🖂			
viii.	Federal agencies	Yes 🗌	No 🖂			
ix.	Waterway? The project is to carryout bags to their custo recyclable paper bags. The pr If YES,	prohibit re omers and roject site i	etail store: 1 replace 1s all of Su		ng plastic	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	Is the project site located Waterfront Revitalization Pro	gram?	-	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
	Is the project site within a Co	astal Erosi	on Hazard	Area? Yes	No	

# C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and Zoning Actions	
Will administrative or legislative adoption or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?	
C.2. Adopted Land Use Plans	
a. Do any municipally-adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? <i>The project is to prohibit retail stores in</i>	
Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to their customers and replace said plastic	
bags with reusable bags or recyclable paper bags. The project site is all of Suffolk County.	
If Yes:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
Does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed	
action would be located? Yes 🖂 No	
<ul> <li>b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (i.e. Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; et. al)?</li> </ul>	
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to their customers and replace said plastic bags with reusable bags or recyclable paper bags. The project site is all of Suffolk County.	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?	
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	
The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to their customers and replace said plastic bags with reusable bags or recyclable paper bags. The project site is all of Suffolk County.	Yes 🛛 No 🗌

C.3. Zoning		
ordinance?	posed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or	
	oning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	rohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags	
	and replace said plastic bags with Reusable Bags or Recyclable Paper Bags.	
The project site is a	all of Suffolk County.	
b. Is the use permitted	or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
c. Is a zoning change re	equested as part of the proposed action?	
If Yes, what is the p	roposed new zoning for the site?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
C.4. Existing Commun	•	
a. In what school distri	ct is the project site located? *	
b. What police or other	public protection forces serve the project site? *	
c. Which fire protection	n and emergency medical services serve the project site? *	
d. What parks serve the	e project site? *	
	rohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to th stic bags with reusable bags or recyclable paper bags. The project site is all of	

# D. Project Details

D.	1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a.	What is the general nature of the proposed action? (if mixed, include all components)	
	Residential ]; Industrial ]; Commercial ]; Recreational ; Other :	
b.	Total acreage of the site of the proposed action:	All of Suffolk
		County acres
C.	Total acreage to be physically disturbed:	N/A acres
d.	Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or	N/A acres
	project sponsor:	IN/A deles
e.	Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use?	
	If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g.,	
	acres, miles, housing units, square feet, etc.)?	
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂
f.	Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	Yes 🗌 No 🖂

	If Yes: <i>i</i> . Purpose or type of subdivision? (if mixed, specify types) Residential : Industrial : Commercial : Recreational : Other	
	ii.	
	Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? Yes No	
	Number of lots proposed:	
	Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes:	
g.	Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?	
Б.		
	If No, What is the anticipated period of construction?	
	If Yes:	
	Total number of phases anticipated:	
	Anticipated commencement date of phase I (including demolition):	
	Anticipated completion date of final phase:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies	
	where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases:	
-		
h.	Does the project include new residential uses?	
	If Yes, show number of units proposed.	
	Single Family Two Family Three Family Multi-Family (4+)	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	Initial Phase	
	At Completion	
i.	Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)?	
1.	Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)?	
	If Yes:	
	Total Number of Structures:	
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	Dimensions of largest proposed structure:	
	Approximate extent of building space to be heated or ecoled:	
	Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled:	

Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage?	
If Yes:	
Purpose of the impoundment:	
If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water: Ground Water ]; Surface Water Streams ]; Other ] (specify):	
If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
Approximate size of the proposed impoundment (include units): Volume: Surface area:	
Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure:	
Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock wood, concrete):	
D.2. Project Operations	
<ul> <li>Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining or dredging, during construction, operations or both? (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)</li> <li>If Yes:</li> </ul>	
What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging?	
How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
Volume: Over what duration of time:	
Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them:	

a (cont.) – only answer following if checked "Yes" above	
Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials?	
If Yes, describe:	
What is the total area to be dredged or excavated?	
č	
What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time?	
What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging?	
Will the excavation require blasting?	
Summarize site reclamation goals and plans:	
	ł

If Yes: Identify the wetland or water body which would be affected (by name, water index number,	
wetland map number or geographic description):	
Describe how the proposed action would affect that water body or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures or creation of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:	
Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	
Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No [
Area of vegetation proposed to be removed:	
Expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
Purpose of proposed removal (e.g., beach clearing, invasive control, boat access):	
Proposed method of plant removal:	
If chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	

f Yes: Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:	-
Total anticipated water usage/demand per day:	
Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	
If Yes:	
Name of district/service area:	
Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? Yes No	
Is the project site in the existing district? Yes No	
Is expansion of the district needed? Yes No	
Do existing lines serve the project site? Yes No	
Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?	
with the extension within an existing district be necessary to suppry the project:	
If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No
Describe systematical on comparity sympanying anon and to some this angles to	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
	-
Source(s) of supply for the district: Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If Yes:	
Source(s) of supply for the district: Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?	
Source(s) of supply for the district: Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If Yes:	
Source(s) of supply for the district: Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If Yes: Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Source(s) of supply for the district: Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If Yes: Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or anticipated:	

Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	
If Yes:	
Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:	
Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination,	
describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
If sanitary wastewater identify proposed disinfection technology and treatment goals for	
the following: Disinfection technology:	
Nitrogen:	
Phosphorus:	
Total Suspended Soilds (TSS):	
Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD):	
Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	
If Yes: Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used.	
Name of district:	
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
Is the project site in the existing district? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
Is expansion of the district needed?	
Yes No	
Do existing sewer lines serve the project site? Yes $\square$ No $\square$	Yes 🗌 No 🕻
Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Will a new westervator (covered) treatment district he formed to come the project site?	
Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	
If Yes: Applicant/Sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the	
project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge, or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
* While it is not anticipated that the project action will result in a significant change in liquid waste generation it should be noted that the locations and industrial processes for	
substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags are not known at this time.	
Page 9 of 22	

e.	Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
	If Yes: How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel? Area of Impervious Surface:	
	Area of Parcel:	
	Describe types of new point sources:	
	Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
	Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? Yes No	
	Does proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater? Yes No	
f.	Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions,	
	including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations? *	
	If Yes, identify:	
	Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles):	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers):	
	Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation):	
g.	Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above) require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
	If Yes: Is the project site located in an Air Quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically	
	fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) Yes $\square$ No $\square$	
	In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
	<ul> <li>Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>Tons/year (metric) of Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	- Tons/year (metric) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> )	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflorocarbons (HFCS)	
	- Tons/year (metric) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	
	* While it is not anticipated that the project action will result in a significant change in air amigging it should be noted that the logations and industrial processes for substitute	
	emissions it should be noted that the locations and industrial processes for substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags are not known at this time.	
		1

p] If ] ]	Vill the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment lants, landfills, composting facilities)? Yes: Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
su	<ul> <li>Vill the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes uch as quarry or landfill operations?</li> <li><b>Yes,</b> describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
SI If ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ] ]	/ill the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate ubstantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?          Yes:         When is the peak traffic expected? (check all that apply)         Morning □;       Evening □;       Weekend □;       Bandomly □         For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day:         Parking spaces:         Existing:       Proposed:       Net Increase/Decrease:         Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?       Yes □ No □         If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:       Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site?         Yes □ No □       Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?         Yes □ No □       Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?	Yes 🗌 No 🔀

k.	Will the proposed action (for commercial or indus demand for energy?	strial projects only) generate new or additional		
	If Yes:			
	Estimate annual electricity demand during opera	tion of the proposed action:		
	Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the renewable, via grid/local utility or other):	ne project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site	$Yes \square No \boxtimes$	
	Will the proposed action require a new, or an up	grade to, an existing substation?		
	Yes No			
	* While it is not anticipated that the project act			
	energy demand use it should be noted that the l			
1.	substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags ar Hours of operation (Answer all items which apply			
1.	During Construction	During Operations		
	Monday-Friday:	Monday-Friday:		
	Saturday:	Saturday:	N/A 🖂	
	Sunday:	Sunday:		
	Holidays:	Holidays:		
m.	Does the proposed action produce noise that will construction, operation or both?	exceed existing ambient noise levels during		
	construction, operation of both?			
	If Yes:			
	Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:			
	Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or			
	screen?			
	Yes No Describe:			
n.	Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?			
	the proposed denon have outdoor righting.			
	If Yes:			
	Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture	(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	
	occupied structures:			
	Will proposed action remove existing natural bases Yes No Describe:	rriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?		
0.	Does the proposed action have the potential to pro-	oduce odors for more than one hour per day?		
If Yes:				
	Describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions and proximity to			
	nearest occupied structures:         * While it is not anticipated that the project action will result in a significant change in the			
production of odors it should be noted that the locations and industrial processes for				
substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags are not know at this time.				

<ul> <li>p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products (over 550 gallons)?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>Product(s) to be stored:</li> <li>Volume(s): per unit time: (e.g., month, year)</li> <li>Generally describe proposed storage facilities:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
<ul> <li>q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>Describe proposed treatment(s):</li> <li>Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?</li> <li>Yes No</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	, Yes □ No ⊠
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?  If Yes:  Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility: Construction: tons per (unit of time) Operation: tons per (unit of time) Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste: Construction: Operation: Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site: Construction: Operation:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
<ul> <li>s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill or other disposal activities):</li> <li>Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: <ul> <li>tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or</li> <li>tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment</li> </ul> </li> <li>If landfill, anticipated site life: years</li> </ul></li></ul>	Yes 🗌 No 🔀

t.	Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage or disposal of hazardous waste?	
	If Yes:	
	Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:	
	Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:	
	Specify amount to be handled or generated: tons/month	
	Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:	Yes 🗌 No 🔀
	Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
	If Yes:	
	Provide name and location of facility:	
	If No:	
	Describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:	
u.	Will proposed action adhere to Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) or any other green building principals?	
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	If Yes:	
	Describe proposed green building methods and attempted level of certification, if any:	
V.	Does the project sponsor propose the use of energy benchmarking to monitor and adjust project energy needs?	
		Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	If Yes, explain:	
W.	Will the proposed action use native plants for all landscaping needs?	
	Identify species to be used and method of irrigation:	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
X.	Does the proposed action promote local tourism?	
	If Yes, explain:	Yes 🗌 No 🖂

# E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

<b>E.</b> 1	E.1. Land Uses on and Surrounding the Project Site						
a.				of			
	If mix	of uses, generally describe:					
b.	Land	uses and cover types on the project site: Project s	site is all of Suff				
		Land Use or Cover Type	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Char (Acres		
		Roads, buildings and other paved or impervious surfaces					
		Forested					
		Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)					
		Agricultural (includes active orchards, fields, greenhouse, etc.)					
		Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)					
		Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)					
		Non-Vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)					
		Other Describe:					
		TOTAL:					
-	Ia tha	project site presently used by members of the co	manunity for my	blic recreation?			
c.	is the	project site presently used by members of the co	minumity for pu	one recreation?			
		s, explain:					
		project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk Co eir customers and replace said plastic bags with				Yes	🛛 No 🗌
	The	project site is all of Suffolk County.					
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools,							
hospitals, licensed day care centers or group homes) within 1,500 feet of the project site?							
	If Yes, identify facilities: <i>The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags</i>				Yes	🛛 No 🗌	
	to th	eir customers and replace said plastic bags with project site is all of Suffolk County.	•••	• • •	0		
	Ine	ρισμοι sue is an ομ σμησικ County.					

e.	Does the project site contain an existing dam?	
	If Yes:	
	Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
	- Dam height: feet	
	- Dam length: feet	
	- Surface area: acres	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
	- Volume impounded: gallons or acre-feet	
	Dam's existing hazard classification:	
	Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f.	Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste	
1.	management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used	
	as a solid waste management facility? <i>Project site is all of Suffolk County</i> .	
	If Yes:	
	Has the facility been formally closed?	
	Yes No	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	If Yes, cite sources/documentation:	
	Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management	
	facility:	
	Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g.	Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project	
0	site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or	
	dispose of hazardous waste? Project site is all of Suffolk County.	
		Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	If Yes:	
	Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when	
	activities occurred:	

h	. Has there been a reported contamination spill at the proposed project site or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? <i>Project site is all of Suffolk County.</i>	
	If Yes:	
	Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	
	Remediation database? (Check all that apply)	
	Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
	Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
	Neither database           If site has been subject to RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
		Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes No	
	If Yes:	
	DEC ID number(s):	
	Describe current status of site(s):	
F	2.1.h. (cont.) – only answer following if checked "Yes" above	
	Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	
	If Yes:	
	DEC site ID number(s):	
	Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement):	
	Describe any use limitations:	
	Describe any engineering controls:	
	Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? Yes No	
	Explain:	
F	2.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
a		
h	feet . Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?	
	. The here bearber each oppings on the project site.	
	If Yes:	Yes 🗌 No 🖂
What proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?		
	/0	
c	Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: (include map) *	1
	1. % of site	
	$\frac{1}{2}$	
	3. % of site	
	4. % of site	
1		

d.	What is the average depth to the water	table on the project site? *		
e.	Drainage status of project site soils: *			
		Drained	% of site	
		erately Well Drained	% of site % of site	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
f.	Approximate proportion of proposed ac	ction site with slopes: (include top	ographic map) *	
	1. 0-109		% of site	
	$2. \Box 11-13$		% of site	
	3. 16%	or greater	% of site	
g.	Are there any unique geologic features	on the project site? *		
	If Yes, describe:			
	Project site includes all of Suffolk Co	unty which has glacial erratics, ket	ttle hole ponds, bluff and	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	dunes, etc.		<b>x</b> ,	
h.	Does any portion of the project site con	tain wetlands or other waterbodies	s (including streams	
	rivers, ponds or lakes)? *		s (mereaning en eanis,	Yes 🖾 No 🗌
i.	i. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? *		Yes 🖂 No 🗌	
If Y	Yes to either E.2.h or E.2.i, continue.	lf No, skip to E.2.m		
j.	Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies	within or adjoining the project sit	e regulated by any	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
1.	federal, state or local agency? (include		fallowing information *	
k.	For each identified wetland and waterb	ody on the project site, provide the	e following information: *	
	Streams:	Name:	Classification:	
	Lakes or Ponds:	Name:	Classification:	
	Wetlands:	Name:	Approx. Size:	
	Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC):			
1.	Are any of the above waterbodies listed	l in the most recent compilation of	NYS water quality-	
impaired waterbodies? *				
	If Yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:			Yes 🖾 No 🗌
	Project site includes all of Suffolk County			
m.				Yes No
n. 0.	Is the project site in the 100 year flood Is the project site in the 500 year flood			Yes 🖄 No 🗌 Yes 🕅 No 🗌
υ.	is the project site in the 500 year flood	/14111:		

p.	p. Is the project site located over or immediately adjoining a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? * The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to their customers and replace said plastic bags with Reusable Bags or Recyclable Paper Bags. The project site is all of Suffolk County.			
	If Yes:	Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
	Name of aquifer: Glacial, Magothy and Lloyd			
	Source of information: USGS			
q.	Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: *			
r.	Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? *			
	If Yes: Describe the habitat/community (composition, function and basis for designation:			
	Describe the habitat/community (composition, function and basis for designation.			
	Source(s) of description or evaluation:	V NI		
		Yes 🛛 No 🗌		
	Extent of community/habitat:			
	<ul> <li>Currently: acres</li> <li>Following completion of project as proposed: acres</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Following completion of project as proposed: acres</li> <li>Gain or loss (indicate + or -): acres</li> </ul>			
S.	s. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? *			
	If Yes:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌		
	Species and listing (endangered or threatened):			
	Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):			
t.	Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? *			
	If Yes:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌		
	Species and listing:			
	Nature of use of site by the species (e.g., resident, seasonal, transient):			
u.	Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shellfishing?*			
	If Yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:			
	* The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bags to their customers and replace said plastic bags with Reusable Bags or Recyclable Paper Bags. The project site is all of Suffolk County.	Yes 🔀 No 🗌		

	3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a.	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? *	Vas 🗹 Na 🗖
	If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
b.	Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? *	
	If Yes:	
	Acreage(s) on project site:	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
	Source(s) of soil rating(s):	
с.	Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to a registered National Natural Landmark? *	
	If Yes:	
	Nature of the natural landmark:	Yes 🖾 No 🗌
	Biological Community; Geological Feature Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate	
	size/extent:	
d.	Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area, including	
	Special Groundwater Protection Areas? *	
	If Yes:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	CEA name:	
	Basis for designation:	
	Designating agency and date:	
e.	Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places? *	
	If Yes:	
	Nature of historic/archaeological resource:	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	Archaeological Site; Historic Building or district	
	Name:	
	Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
ſ	Is the president site on any neutring of it leasted in an adjacent to an analysis design stad as consisting for	
f.	Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
	inventory? *	
g.	Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? *	
	If Yes:	
	Describe possible resource(s):	Yes 🖾 No 🗌
	Basis for identification:	

<ul> <li>h. Would the project site be visible from any officially designated and publicly assessable federal, state or local scenic or aesthetic resource? *         If Yes:         Identify resource:         Nature of, or basis for designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.):     </li> </ul>	Yes 🛛 No 🗌
Distance between project and resource:	
i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR Part 666? *	
If Yes:	
Identify the name of the river and its designation:	
Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6 NYCRR Part 666?	Yes 🖂 No 🗌
Yes No	
*The project is to prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic carryout bag	
to their customers and replace said plastic bags with Reusable Bags or Recyclable Paper Bag	gs.
The project site is all of Suffolk County.	

# F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

#### G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name: Leg. William Spencer

Date:

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: Suffolk County Legislator

#### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617 State Environmental Quality Review

#### Part 2 – Identification of Potential Project Impacts

<u>Instructions</u>: Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. It is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

#### **Tips for completing Part 2:**

]		Review all of the information			
	•Workbook.	Review any application, maps,	, supporting ma	aterials and the	гин еаг
		Answer each of the 18 question	ns in Part 2		
		If you answer "YES" to a num		n please comp	lete all the
	questions that follow in that section.		de question	i, preuse comp	
	A Contraction of the second se	If you answer " <b>NO</b> " to a numbered question, move on to the next			
	numbered section.		1 ,		
	•	Check appropriate column to i	ndicate the ant	icipated size of	f the impact.
	•				
	question should result in the reviewing a				
	• The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.				
	• If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help				
	to review the sub-questions for the gener			Å	1
	• When answering a question consider all components of the proposed			proposed	
	activity, that is, the "whole action."			1	
		Consider the possibility for lor	ng-term and cu	mulative impa	cts as well as
	direct impacts.	1 2	e	I	
	•	Answer the question in a reaso	nable manner	considering the	e scale and
	context of the project.			C	
1.		Impact on Land			
	The proposed action may involve construction		v	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	2
	of the land surface of the proposed site. (See		1		
	If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", m	nove on to Section 2.	•		
			Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	involve construction on land where depth to	The proposed action may water table is less than 3 feet	E.2.d		
b.		The proposed actin may			
	involve construction on slopes of 15% or gre		E.2.f		
c.		The proposed actin may			
	involve construction on land where bedrock		E.2.a		
	within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	r ,			
d.		The proposed action may	<b>D</b> .		
	involve the excavation and removal of more		D.2.a		
		Page 1 of 12	1	ı	

ma	aterial.		
	The proposed action may volve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple bases.	D.1.g	
	The proposed action may sult in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or getation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D.2.e D.2.q	
g	The proposed action is, or ay be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B.ix	
h	Other impacts:		

2.	Impact on Geological			
	<b>Features</b> The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1.E.2.g) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-c. If "NO", move on to Section 3.</i>	Y	TES 🗌 NO 🛛	3
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	Identify the specific land form(s):	E.2.g		
b.	The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E.3.c		
c.	Other impacts:			

3.	Impact on Surface WaterThe proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surfacewater bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes).(See Part 1.D.2 & E.2.h)If "YES", answer questions a-l. If "NO", move on to Section 4.	Y	TES 🖾 NO [	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	The proposed action may create a new water body	D.1.j D.2.b	$\boxtimes$	
b.	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D.2.b	$\boxtimes$	
с.	The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D.2.a	$\boxtimes$	
d.	The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E.2.h E.2.i	$\boxtimes$	
е.	The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by	D.2.a D.2.h	$\square$	

	disturbing bottom sediments.			
f.	The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D.2.c	$\boxtimes$	
g.	The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D.2.d	$\boxtimes$	
h.	The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D.2.e	$\boxtimes$	
i	The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E.2.h – E.2.l	$\boxtimes$	
j	The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D.2.q E.2.h – E.2.l	$\boxtimes$	
k.	The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D.1.a D.2.d	$\boxtimes$	
1	Other impacts: <b>Proposed</b> project action would reduce the amount of debris that washes up on Suffolk County coastlines.			

4.	Impact on Groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of groundwater, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to groundwater or an aquifer. (See Part 1.D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)		ES 🖾 NO 🛛	
	If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 5.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. <sub>.</sub>	The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D.2.c	$\boxtimes$	
b	from existing water supply wells. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D.2.c	$\boxtimes$	
	The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D.1.a D.2.c – D.2.d	$\boxtimes$	
d	The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D.2.d E.2.p	$\boxtimes$	
e	The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D.2.c E.1.f – E.1.h	$\boxtimes$	
f	The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D.2.p E.2.p	$\boxtimes$	
g	The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	D.2.q E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.p		

		D.2.c		
h.	Other impacts: The proposed action will reduce the number of plastic carryout bags in Suffolk County. As a result less plastic bags will breakdown into small toxic pieces and enter Suffolk County's soils and groundwater.			
5	Impact on Flooding			
5.	Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1.E.2) If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Section 6.	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	$\triangleleft$
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
а.	The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E.2.m		
b.	The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E.2.n		
с.	The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E.2.o		
d.	The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D.2.b D.2.e		
е.	The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D.2.b E.2.m – E.2.o		
f	If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, the dam has failed to meet one or more safety criteria on its most recent inspection.	E.1.e		
g.	Other impacts:			

6.	Impact on Air			
	The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission sour (See Part 1.D.2.f, D.2.h, D.2.g) If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 7.	rce. Y	ES 🛛 NO 🗌	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also en or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels:	nit one		
i.	More than 1000 tons/year carbon dioxide (CO2)	r of D.2.g	$\boxtimes$	
ii.	More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N20)	D.2.g	$\boxtimes$	
iii.	carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	r of D.2.g	$\square$	
iv.	More than .045 tons/year sulfur hexafluoride (SF6)	of D.2.g	$\boxtimes$	
V.	Carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflurocarbons (HCFCs) emissions		$\boxtimes$	

vi.	43 tons/year or more of methane	D.2.h	$\boxtimes$	
b.	The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D.2.g		
c.	The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU=s per hour.	D.2.f D.3.g		
d.	The proposed action may reach 50% of any two or more of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D.1.i D.2.k	$\boxtimes$	
e.	The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D.2.s	$\boxtimes$	
f.	Other impacts: It should be noted that the locations and industrial process for substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags are not known at this time however it is not anticipated that the project action will result in a significant change in air emissions. For each new bag requested by a customer at retail store in Suffolk County the proposed action requires a \$0.10 per recyclable paper bag fee and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bag fee. In addition, the proposed action requires that the recyclable paper bag contains no old growth fiber; is 100 % recyclable overall and contains a minimum of 40% post-consumer recycles content.			
7.	<b>Animals</b> The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u)	Y	ES 🛛 NO 🛛	]
7.	Animals	Relevant	ES NO NO NO NO, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
<b>7.</b> a.	Animals         The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna.         (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u)         If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.	Relevant Part 1	No, or small impact	Moderate to large
	Animals         The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna.         (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u)         If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a. b.	Animals         The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna.         (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u)         If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.2.s	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact
a.	Animals         The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna.         (See Part 1.E.2.q – E.2.u)         If "YES", answer questions a-j. If "NO", move on to Section 8.	Relevant Part 1 Question(s) E.2.s E.2.s	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact

C				
t	The proposed action may			
	result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a	E.2.r	$\boxtimes$	
	designated significant natural community.			
	Source:			
g	The proposed action may			
	substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering	E.2.q	$\boxtimes$	
	habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	-		
h.				
	The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other		<u> </u>	
	regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information	E.1.b	$\boxtimes$	
	source:			
i.	Proposed action			
1	(commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of	D.2.q	$\boxtimes$	
		D.2.q		
	herbicides or pesticides.			
J	Other impacts: <i>The</i>			
	proposed action will reduce the amount of plastic carryout bags in			$\boxtimes$
	Suffolk County thus reducing the number of birds that become			
	entangled in the bags and the amount of sea life ingesting the bags.			
8.	Impact on Agricultural			
	Resources			
	The proposed action may impact agricultural resources.	Y	ES 🖾 🛛 NO 🗌	
	(See Part 1.E.3.a & E.3.b)			
	If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 9.			
				Moderate
				wouerate
		Relevant	No, or	
		Part 1	small impact	to large
				to large impact
9	The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s)	small impact	to large
a	The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c	small impact may occur	to large impact
a	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land	Part 1 Question(s)	small impact	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c	small impact may occur	to large impact
a	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a	small impact may occur	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.).	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a	small impact may occur	to large impact
	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	Part 1         Question(s)         E.2.c         E.3.b         E.1.a         E.1.b         E.3.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	Part 1         Question(s)         E.2.c         E.3.b         E.1.a         E.1.b         E.3.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b c	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. e.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. f	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.a E.1.a E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. e.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. f	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. f	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. f g.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
b. c. d. f g.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan. Other impacts: <i>The</i> <i>proposed action will reduce the number of plastic carryout bags in</i>	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d	small impact may occur	to large impact
b. c. d. f. g.	impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.). The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	Part 1 Question(s) E.2.c E.3.b E.1.a E.1.b E.3.b E.3.b E.1.b E.3.a E.1.a E.1.b C.2.c, C.3 D.2.c, D.2.d	small impact may occur	to large         impact         may occur

9.	Impact on Aesthetic			
	<b>Resources</b> The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (See Part 1.E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h) If "YES", answer questions a-g and complete Appendix B - Visual EAF Addendum. If "NO", move on to Section 10.	Y	TES 🗌 NO 🕻	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a.	Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E.3.h		
b.	The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	C.2.b E.3.h		
c.	The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E.3.h E.3.h		
d.	The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:	E.3.h		
e.	<ul> <li>i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work</li> <li>ii. Recreational or tourism based activities</li> </ul> The proposed action may	E.2.u E.1.c		
	cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E.3.h		
f	visible within the following distance of the proposed project: $0 - \frac{1}{2}$ mile $\frac{1}{2} - 3$ mile 3 - 5 mile 5+ mile	D.1.a D.1.h D.1.i E.1.a		
g.	Other impacts:			
10	Impact on Historic and	<u> </u>		

10.				
	Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to an historic or archaeological resource. (See Part 1.E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g) If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 11.	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🛛	3
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E.3.e		

b.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E.3.f		
c.	The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E.3.g		
d.	Other impacts:	$\searrow$		
e	If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
	<ul><li>i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li><li>ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or</li></ul>	E.3.e – E.3g E.1.a, E.1.b		
	<ul><li>integrity.</li><li>iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.</li></ul>	E.1.a, E.1.b E.3.e $-$ E.3.g C2, C3 E.3.g, E.3.h		
11				
	<b>Recreation</b> The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1.C.2.c, E.1.c, E.2.u) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 12.</i>	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🕻	
				N/ - J 4 -
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.	Part 1	small impact	to large impact
a. b.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may	Part 1 Question(s) D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c	small impact	to large impact
	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat.	<b>Part 1</b> <b>Question(s)</b> D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.q – E.2.t	small impact	to large impact
b.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Part 1} \\ \textbf{Question(s)} \\ \hline \text{D.2.e, E.1.b} \\ \text{E.2.h} - \text{E.2.l} \\ \text{E.2.q} - \text{E.2.t} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \\ \hline \text{E.1.c, E.2.u} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \end{array}$	small impact	to large impact
b. c. d.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Part 1} \\ \textbf{Question(s)} \\ \hline \text{D.2.e, E.1.b} \\ \text{E.2.h} - \text{E.2.l} \\ \text{E.2.q} - \text{E.2.t} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \\ \text{E.1.c, E.2.u} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \\ \text{E.1.c, E.2.u} \\ \hline \end{array}$	small impact	to large impact
b. c. d. e.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. Other impacts:	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Part 1} \\ \textbf{Question(s)} \\ \hline \text{D.2.e, E.1.b} \\ \text{E.2.h} - \text{E.2.l} \\ \text{E.2.q} - \text{E.2.t} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \\ \text{E.1.c, E.2.u} \\ \hline \text{C.2.a, C.2.c} \\ \text{E.1.c, E.2.u} \\ \hline \end{array}$	small impact	to large impact
b. c. d.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. Other impacts:	Part 1 Question(s) D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h - E.2.1 E.2.q - E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c	small impact	to large impact may occur
b. c. d. e.	result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, and wildlife habitat. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource. Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts: Other impacts:	Part 1 Question(s) D.2.e, E.1.b E.2.h – E.2.1 E.2.q – E.2.t C.2.a, C.2.c E.1.c, E.2.u C.2.c, E.1.c Y Relevant	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

	result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.		
b.	The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E.3.d	
C.	Other impacts:		

13.	Impact on Transportation         The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation         systems. (See Part 1.D.2.j)         If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 14.	$YES \square NO \boxtimes$		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D.2.j		
b	The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D.2.j		
с.	The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D.2.j		
d	The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D.2.j		
e.	The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D.2.j		
f	Other impacts:			

14.	Impact on Energy         The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy (See Part 1.D.2.k)         If "YES", answer questions a-e. If "NO", move on to Section 15.	YES 🔀 NO 🗌		
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D.2.k	$\boxtimes$	
b	The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D.1.h D.1.i D.2.k	$\square$	
с.	The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D.2.k	$\boxtimes$	
d.	The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D.1.i	$\boxtimes$	
e	Other impacts: It should be noted that the locations and industrial process for substitute reusable bags and recyclable bags are not known at this time however it is not anticipated that the project action will result in a significant change in energy consumption. For each new bag requested by a customer at retail store in Suffolk County the proposed action requires a \$0.10 per recyclable paper bag fee and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bag fee. In			

15.	Impact on Noise, Odor and				
	<b>Light</b> The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors or outdoor lighting (See Part 1.D.2.m, D.2.n, D.2.o) <i>If "YES", answer questions a-f. If "NO", move on to Section 16.</i>	$YES \square NO \boxtimes$			
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a	The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D.2.m			
	The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D.2.m E.1.d			
с.	The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D.2.0			
d	The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D.2.n			
e.	The proposed action may result in lighting that creates sky-glow brighter than existing-area conditions.	D.2.n E.1.a			
f	Other impacts:				

16.				
	The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure			
	to new or existing sources of contaminants (See Part 1.D.2.q, E.1.d, E.1.f,	E.1.f, YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$		
E.1.g, E.1.h)				
	If "YES", answer questions a-m. If "NO", move on to Section 17.		1	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E.1.d		
b	The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E.1.g, E.1.h		
с. <sub>.</sub>	There is a completed emergency spill remediation or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E.1.g E.1.h		
d	The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g. easement, deed restriction)	E.1.g E.1.h		
e	The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E.1.g E.1.h		

f. The proposed action has			
adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D.2.t		
g The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D.2.q E.1.f		
facility.         h.	D.2.q E.1.f		
i The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D.2.r D.2.s		
j The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E.1.f – E.1.h		
k The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E.1.f E.1.g		
1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D.2.r, D.2.s E.1.f		
mOther impacts:			
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.	Y	ES 🗌 NO 🕻	X
(See Part 1.C.1, C.2, C.3) If "YES" answer questions a h If "NO" move on to Section 18			
(See Part 1.C.1, C.2, C.3) If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.		No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact
If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.			to large
	Part 1	small impact may occur	to large impact
<ul> <li><i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</i></li> <li>a The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> <li>b The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</li> </ul>	Part 1 Question(s) C.2, C.3, D.1.a,	small impact may occur	to large impact
<ul> <li><i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</i></li> <li>a The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> <li>b The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</li> <li>c The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.</li> </ul>	Part 1 Question(s) C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.a, E.1.b	small impact may occur	to large impact
<ul> <li><i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</i></li> <li>a The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> <li>b The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</li> <li>c The proposed action is</li> </ul>	Part 1 Question(s)           C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.a, E.1.b           C.2           C.2           C.2, C.3           C.2, C.3	small impact may occur	to large impact
<ul> <li><i>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</i></li> <li>a The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> <li>b The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</li> <li>c The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.</li> <li>d The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.</li> </ul>	Part 1 Question(s) C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.a, E.1.b C.2 C.2 C.2, C.3	small impact may occur	to large impact
<ul> <li>If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.</li> <li>a The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> <li>b The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</li> <li>c The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.</li> <li>d The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing</li> </ul>	Part 1           Question(s)           C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.b           C.2           C.2           C.2, C.3           C.2           C.2           C.2           D.1.e, D.1.f,	small impact may occur	to large impact
If "YES", answer questions a-h. If "NO", move on to Section 18.         a.	C.2, C.3, D.1.a, E.1.a, E.1.b           C.2           C.2           C.2, C.3           D.1.e, D.1.f, D.1.h, E.1.b           C.4, D.2.c,	small impact may occur	to large impact

18.				
Community Character         The proposed action is inconsistent with the existing community character         YES         NO         See Part 1.C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)         If "YES", answer questions a-g. If "NO", move on to Part 3.			3	
		Relevant Part 1 Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a	The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E.3.e, E.3.f, E.3.g		
	The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C.4		
с	shortage of such housing.	C.2, C.3,D.1.h, D.1.i, E.1.a		
d	The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C.2, E.3		
e.	The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C.2, C.3		
f.	Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C.2, C.3, E.1.a, E.1.b, E.2.g – E.2.1		
g	Other impacts:			

### SUFFOLK COUNTY FULL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM 6 NYCRR Part 617

State Environmental Quality Review

# Part 3 – Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

# **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:

- \* Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- \* \_\_\_\_\_\_Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- \*\_\_\_\_\_ The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- \* \_\_\_\_\_\_ Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- \*\_\_\_\_\_ Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s)
   imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
   Attach additional sheets, as needed.

- For Part 2 Question 3.1. Impact on Surface Water, Other Impacts (Proposed project action would reduce the amount of debris that washes up on Suffolk County coastlines) – the moderate to large impact may occur box was checked because it is anticipated that the proposed action will have a moderate to large <u>positive</u> impact on Suffolk County coastlines by reducing the amount of plastic bags used in Suffolk County and as a result reducing the number of bags that wash up on Suffolk County shorelines.

- For Part 2 Question 4.h. Impact on Groundwater, Other Impacts (Proposed action will reduce the number of plastic carryout bags in Suffolk County. As a result less plastic bags will breakdown into small toxic pieces and enter Suffolk County's soils and groundwater.) – the moderate to large impact may occur box was checked because it is anticipated that the proposed action will have a moderate to large <u>positive</u> impact on Suffolk County groundwater by reducing the amount of plastic bags used in Suffolk County and as a result reducing the number of small toxic plastic bag pieces that enter Suffolk County's groundwater.

### Part 3 – Cont.

- For Part 2 Question 7.j. Impact on Plants and Animals, Other Impacts (Proposed action will reduce the amount of plastic carryout bags in Suffolk County thus reducing the number of birds that become entangled in the bags and the amount of sea life ingesting the bags.) - the moderate to large impact may occur box was checked because it is anticipated that the proposed action will have a moderate to large <u>positive</u> impact on Suffolk County's animals by reducing the number of birds that become entangled in the bags.

- For Part 2 Question 8.h. Impact on Agricultural Resources, Other Impacts (The proposed action will reduce the number of plastic carryout bags in Suffolk County. As a result less plastic bags will breakdown into small toxic pieces and enter Suffolk County's soils.) – the moderate to large impact may occur box was checked because it is anticipated that the proposed action will have a moderate to large <u>positive</u> impact on Suffolk Count's soil resources by reducing the amount of plastic bags used in Suffolk County and as a result reducing the number of small toxic plastic bag pieces that enter Suffolk County's soils.

As described above the proposed local law is anticipated to result in the reduction of plastic bag use, especially thin "flyaway" plastic bag use, in Suffolk County. This will have a positive impact on Suffolk County's surface waters, soils, groundwater, birds and sea life. In addition, due to the nature of the proposed local law and its requirements the proposed action is not anticipated to result in significant adverse impacts to air, energy and water resources. The proposed action would require retailers that are providing bags to its customers to charge a fee of \$0.10 per recyclable paper bag and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bag. In addition, the proposed action requires that the provided recyclable paper bag contains no old growth fiber; is 100 % recyclable overall and contains a minimum of 40% post-consumer recycles content. This reduces the impacts to air, energy and water resources that result from the production of paper bags used in Suffolk County. Attached, as an addendum to the Part III of the EAF, is correspondence provided by the legislative sponsor that provides additional information on the intent of the legislation, the adverse impacts plastic bags have on the environment and the observed reduction in plastic bag use/paper bag use that occurred when other municipalities have implemented similar legislation.

	nation of Signif and Unlisted A				
SEQR Status:	Туре I 🗌		Unlisted 🔀		
Identify portions of EAF completed for this project:	Part 1 🔀	Part 2 🔀	Part 3 🔀		
Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF and considering both the magnitude and importance of County Legislature as lead agency that:	· · · · ·	11			
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly,			ore, an environmental		
B. Although this project could have a significant as substantially mitigated because of the following condi					
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).					
$\Box$ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.					
Name of Action: IR 1207 - A LOCAL LAW PROHIB USED IN RETAIL SALES	BITING THE DIS	TRIBUTION OF PLAS	STIC CARRYOUT BAGS		
Name of Lead Agency: S.C LEGISLATURE					
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: LEG.		CER			
Title of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: LEGISL	LATOR				
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:		Ι	Date:		
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible O	Officer)	Ι	Date:		
For Further Information: Contact Person: Address: Telephone Number: Email:					
<b>For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Decl</b> Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: <u>http://www.dec.ny.go</u>	which the action				

### SUFFOLK COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

### Appendix A Suffolk County Historic Trust

#### Application for Determination of Appropriateness for Alteration to Suffolk County Historic Trust Landmark or Site

1.	APPLICANT Agency: Contact Person: Address: Telephone:		
2.	PROPERTY Structure Name: Location: Historic Trust Status: Designated; Eligi Use Category: Current Use: Proposed Use: Is the structure listed on or eligible for the Nat		] No
3.	PROPOSED WORK Scope of Work: Reason for Work: Architect/Engineer: Contractor: Construction Schedule:		
4.	<u>FUNDING</u> Estimated Cost of Project: Source(s) of Funding:		
5.	PROPERTY HISTORY Date of Original Construction: Original Architect/Builder: History of Use: History of Alterations:		
6.	SUBMISSIONS       (check all that apply)         Map       [         Drawings       [         HP-1 Form       [	<ul> <li>Specifications</li> <li>Environmental Assessment Form</li> <li>Photographs</li> </ul>	Samples Other:
7.	RELATED INFORMATION AND COM	<u>MENT:</u>	

The Suffolk County Historic Trust is hereby requested to review the scope of work proposed for the above mentioned landmark structure, owned by the County of Suffolk, New York, to determine the appropriateness of design and/or use as regulated by the Suffolk County Charter. Design review guidelines have been made available for reference and it is understood that submission or approval of this application does not relieve applicant's responsibility for securing any and all other permits and approvals as required by law.

### SUFFOLK COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

# Appendix B Visual EAF Addendum

This form may be used to provide additional information relating to Question 9 of Part 1 of the Full Environmental Assessment Form

### VISIBILITY

		Distance Between				
			Project	and Resource	(in miles)	
1. V	Vould the project be visible from:	0 - 1/4	1/4 - 1/2	1/2 -3	3-5	5+
a.	A parcel of land which is dedicated to and available to the					
	public for the use, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or					
	man-made scenic qualities					
b.	An overlook or parcel of land dedicated to public					
	observation, enjoyment and appreciation of natural or man-					
	made scenic qualities					
c.	A site or structure listed on the National or State Registers					
	of Historic Places					
d.	State Parks					
e.	The State Forest Preserve					
f.	National Wildlife Refuges and State Game Refuges					
g.	National Natural Landmarks and other outstanding natural					
	features					
h.	National Park Service lands					
i.	Rivers designated as National or State Wild, Scenic or					
	Recreational					
j.	Any transportation corridor of high exposure, such as part					
	of the Interstate System or Amtrak					
k.	A governmentally established or designated interstate or					
	inter-county foot trail, or one formally proposed for					
	establishment or designation					
1.	A site, area, lake, reservoir or highway designated as scenic					
m.	Municipal park or designated open space					
n.	County road					
0.	State road					
p.	Local road					

2. Is the visibility of the project seasonal? (i.e., screened by summer foliage but visible during other seasons)

3. Are any of the resources checked in question 1 used by the public during the time of year during which the project will be visible?

# DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

4. From each item checked in question 1, check those which generally describe the surrounding environment.

Essentially undeveloped Forested Agricultural	1⁄4 mi		hin	
Forested		le*	1 mi	ile*
Agricultural				
Suburban Residential				
Industrial				
Commercial				
Urban				
River, Lake, Pond		]		]
Cliffs, Overlooks		]		]
Designated Open Space				
Flat		]		]
Hilly		]		]
Mountainous		]		
Other: NOTE: Add attachments as needed.		]		]
<ul> <li>5. Are there visually similar projects within*:</li> <li>½ mile: ☐ Yes ☐ No 1 mile: ☐ Yes ☐ No</li> </ul>	2 miles:	Yes 🗌 No	3 miles:	Yes 🗌 No
* Distance from project site is provided for assistance. Substitute other	distances as	appropriate.		
EXPOSURE				
6. The annual number of viewers likely to observe the proposed projection NOTE: When user data is unavailable or unknown, use best estimated as the statement of the statement o				
<u>CONTEXT</u>				
7. The situation or activity in which the viewers are engaged while vi	ewing the pro	posed action is:		
		Freq	uency	
		,		
	D 'I	XX7 11	Holidays/	
A _ 4 ] _ 1 / 1 / 1		Weekly	Weekends	C 11
Activity	Daily			Seasonally
Travel to and from work				Seasonally
Travel to and from work Involved in recreational activities				Seasonally
Travel to and from work         Involved in recreational activities         Routine travel by residents				Seasonally
Travel to and from work         Involved in recreational activities         Routine travel by residents         At a residence				Seasonally
Travel to and from work         Involved in recreational activities         Routine travel by residents				Seasonally

# SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

# WILLIAM R. SPENCER LEGISLATOR 18<sup>TH</sup> DISTRICT

CHAIR HEALTH COMMITTEE

VICE-CHAIR WAYS & MEANS COMMITTEE

MEMBER PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE



# 15 PARK CIRCLE, SUITE 209 CENTERPORT, N.Y. 11721

**PHONE:** (631)-854-4500 **FAX:** (631)-854-4503

EMAIL: william.spencer@suffolkcountyny.gov

TO:	Council on Environmental Quality
FROM:	Legislator William R. Spencer, M.D.
DATE:	March 21, 2016
RE:	Addendum to EAF for 1207-2016, a Local Law Prohibiting the Distribution of Plastic Carryout Bags Used in Retail Sales

This correspondence is to provide backup information on intent and predicted effects of IR 1207-2016, A Local Law Prohibiting the Distribution of Plastic Carryout Bags Used in Retail Sales.

#### Description of the Intent of the Proposed Legislation

The intent of this proposed local law is to prohibit the free distribution of single-use plastic carry out bags by retailers in Suffolk County, with the purpose being to improve our environment and protect the precious resources which make the region so desirable and unique. By eliminating the use of carryout plastic bags in retail environments in combination with a fee on paper bags, consumers will be encouraged to bring their own reusable checkout bags when purchasing goods.

As an island, there is no greater asset to protect than the environment and our waters, which are critical to our health, quality of life, economy and much more. Our county is populated by 1.5 million residents across 912 square miles of land, 1,461 square miles of water, and 980 miles of marine coastline (Suffolk County Comprehensive Master Plan). We continue to make strides to secure the last vestiges of open space, balance development, improve our surface waters, and protect the sole-source aquifer situated right beneath our feet. Suffolk County has a long history of championing for forward-thinking environmental and public health policy. Some examples include: investing in sewer infrastructure upgrades and exploring new, alternative options for waste water management, prohibiting phosphates in detergents, banning microbeads in personal care products, and prohibiting hydro-fracking waste in the county. Suffolk County was also the first in the nation to ban the use of BPA, a harmful chemical found in plastics, in baby bottles, sippy cups, and cash register receipts.

#### Background About Plastic Bags and their impacts

Historically speaking, the movement to mainly use plastic bags was spurred on by the plastic industry and began in 1979 when they were first introduced to the American market. For centuries prior to their introduction, we survived, with large families, without disposable plastic bags. With a large push by the Society of Plastic Engineers and Mobil, 75% of supermarkets were offering plastic bags to customers by 1985 (The Atlantic, October 2014).

Within the time that consumers switched to predominantly using plastic bags, the amount of plastic in our oceans has exceeded the amount of plankton. The EPA estimates that between 500 billion and 1 trillion plastic bags are consumed worldwide each year. Of that number, over 100 billion are consumed in the United States, and 5 billion are used in the State of New York alone. Although there has been a movement to use more sustainable options such as reusable bags, our dependency on single-use plastic bags continues to grow. According to the Equinix Center; more single-use plastic bags have been produced in the 21st Century, than in the entire 20<sup>th</sup> Century. (City of San Diego/Equinix, November 2015)

#### The Costs

#### Environmental:

Plastic debris can be found throughout all the world's oceans, along the seabed and coastlines. They are known to block storm drains, exacerbating flooding and sewage discharges into waterways and are known to negatively affect more than 267 species of wildlife. Whales, birds, seals and turtles are among the many animals that mistake plastic bags for food or get tangled in their grips (Greenpeace International).

When plastic bags begin to degrade, they "weather" down into tiny micro-plastic particles as small as a grain of sand. Even those pieces negatively impact our environment, causing harm to smaller marine animals, and in a similar fashion to micro-beads can negatively impact the food chain, ultimately impacting humans (Greenpeace International).

A recent study by Empirical College of London found that 90% of sea birds had plastic in their stomachs, compared with 60% in 2010 and 5% in 1960 (Empirical College of London, September 2015). A recent report estimates that, if we do not change our plastic consumption, there will be more plastic than fish, by weight, in our oceans by 2050 (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, January 2016).

While this is a global problem, there are quantifiable local impacts to our plastic bag use. Operation SPLASH picked up over 10,500 plastic bags from the South Shore Estuary Reserve during routine clean-ups in 2015, and locally, Long Island Sound shell fishermen have reported submerged plastic smothering shellfish, in particular oyster beds, which is a documented global problem (Gregory, June 2009).

For hundreds of years, fishing and shell fishing has been a mainstay resource and economic driver for Long Island and Suffolk County. World famous for our shellfish, nearly half the clams eaten in the entire country were from the Great South Bay in the 1970's (nature.org). While there still remains a large industry, water quality in our estuaries, harbors and bays have been devastated by pollution. With shell fish dwindling due to overfishing and environmental factors, we have seen even more degradation, as they are a vital filter source in our waters. According to The Nature Conservancy, "When clams were abundant, they also filtered 40% of the water in the bay every single day. Today, there are only enough hard clams to filter about 1% of this vast body of water daily. Without shellfish, water quality declines — and creatures that depend on clams, scallops, and oysters as food sources (including humans!) also suffer."

New research from the Safina Center further explores the impacts of plastic pollution on our oceans and shellfish. The findings are quite interesting, linking the ingestion of micro-plastics by crustaceans to reproduction and digestion impairment. Oysters which were fed plastic particles vs. a normal diet were found to expend more energy to pass the plastic and their reproduction abilities reduced by 50%. It appears that during digestion of the particles, hormone-disrupting chemicals leach into their system, leading to diminished fertility and less plentiful offspring. As expressed by the Director of the Safina Center, Elizabeth Brown-Hornstein, "This study provides further evidence that plastic litter has far-reaching effects on the oceans and that there is an urgent need to take meaningful action to tackle this issue" (Safina and Cirino, February 2016).

In recent years, Suffolk County has emphasized the need to "Reclaim our Waters", promoting multiple initiatives which aim to clean up and restore our surface waters in an effort to reduce nitrogen loading and replenish out shellfish population. Since making it a priority, we have begun to see tangible results. Restrictions on the use of plastic bags will boost those efforts and ensure an environment where healthy ecosystems thrive.

#### <u>Human Health</u>

There is growing evidence that plastic pollution in our oceans should be monitored carefully, as it may have impacts on human health and the food chain. Once plastics in our waters break down into micro-particles, they can absorb toxic chemicals commonly found in waterways and be mistaken for food by fish and wildlife. Scientists have already found the presence of microbeads in the Long Island Sound, which is a growing concern for fish and wildlife, and potentially for the people of Suffolk.

According to a 2014 report by New York State Attorney General Eric T. Schneiderman entitled "Unseen Threat: How Microbeads harm New York Waters, Wildlife, Health and Environment,

"Many plastic products contain chemical additives that leach out, especially when exposed to weathering, heat or ultraviolet light. For example, Bisphenol-A (BPA), is a chemical additive and a known endocrine disrupting chemical that is banned in certain children products in New York. Endocrine disrupting chemicals produce adverse developmental, reproductive, neurological, and immune effects in both humans and wildlife. They have been linked to a number of common ailments, including heart disease, immune system disruption, brain deterioration, type-2 diabetes, cancer and obesity. They pose the greatest risk during prenatal and early childhood development when organ and neural systems are forming. Once ingested, micro-plastics facilitate the transfer of chemicals to some species low on the food chain, where they can be passed on to larger predators. Chemicals from plastic ingestion have also harmed fish and lower trophic organisms" (Unseen Threat, 2014).

A recent peer reviewed study on plastic in the marine environment titled: The ecological impacts of marine debris: unraveling the demonstrated evidence from what is perceived, concluded:

"Thus, we show evidence of ecological impacts from marine debris, but conclude that the quantity and quality of research requires improvement to allow the risk of ecological impacts of marine debris to be determined with precision. Still, our systematic review suggests that sufficient evidence exists for decision-makers to begin to mitigate problematic plastic debris now, to avoid risk of irreversible harm."

#### <u>Fiscal</u>

Plastic bags have become customary, expected and seen as harmless, but they actually come at a hidden cost to businesses, consumers, and tax-payers. Retailers spend \$4 billion per year to give out the bags; a cost that is passed on to the consumer in the form of higher prices (Citizen's Campaign for the Environment). Once used and discarded, the bags typically end up as litter, costing tax-payers money and resources for their clean-up and proper disposal. Recycling of plastic bags also leads to added costs. With only 1-5% of plastic bags being recycled, and many waste facilities unable to process them, plastic bags end up in our environment as litter, despite the best efforts for proper disposal (Clean Air Council). Improperly recycled bags can lead to problems in recycling facilities, like San Francisco's Recology.

"When people put them in the recycling bin--and they should not do that—they wind up down at the recycling plant and they wrap around a lot of the recycling equipment," public relations manager Robert Reed said. About twice a day, "you have to turn your equipment off and send mechanics in with box knives to cut them out." (Mother Jones, September 2014). Plastic Bag Disposal Costs to Municipalities:

- The Town of Brookhaven has dedicated staff to remove plastic bags from their Materials Recycling Facility (MRF), which separates recyclable waste into glass, paper, corrugated cardboard, plastics and metals. The facility cannot process the bags, and when they are included in the waste stream, they often get stuck in the machinery and disrupt operations. Removing the single-use plastic from circulation will enable the Town of Brookhaven to utilize these staff resources in a more productive manner.
- New York City spends \$10 million disposing of plastic bags annually. (Citizen's Campaign for the Environment).
- California spends \$25 million to collect and landfill 14 billion plastic bags used annually. (Save the Bay)
- San Jose alone spends "at least \$3 million annually to clean plastic bags from creeks and clogged storm drains." (Save the Bay)
- The Clean Air Council states that recycling one ton of plastic bags costs \$4,000, while the recycled product can be sold for only \$32.
- The Town of Southampton estimates that their residents and visitors use 23 million plastic bags annually. Due to the environmentally friendly culture of the town, they actually recover a large percentage of bags, 10%, for recycling; however, they must pay to ship out the bags for proper disposal.

Other economic benefits have been seen and predicted in municipalities which have regulated plastic bag use. A recent study of the financial effects of two California bans found that there was "no sustained negative (economic) impact to retailers", and predictions from San Francisco's Office of Economic Analysis determined a "slight positive impact on the local economy." That same study predicts a \$3 million dollar savings for retailers under San Francisco's strengthened ban, as they would no longer be paying for single-use plastic bags given out for free to customers (SanDiego.gov)

In Suffolk County, Bravo, the small grocery store in Patchogue (where a ban is going into effect in September) has indicated that they expect to save \$8,000- \$10,000 per year on single-use plastic bags.

Los Angeles Department of Public Works experienced a boost to their local economy with the emergence of reusable bag companies breaking into the growing market. (L.A. County Department of Public Works)

#### Do Regulations on Plastic Bags Mitigate the Costs?

Regulations on plastic bags have been cropping up across the globe, with more than 70 countries having some restrictions in place (Earth Policy Institute). The European Union (EU) highlighted the importance of regulating plastic bag use, recently proposing that states take action to reduce their consumption.

"Every year, more than 8 billion plastic bags end up as litter in Europe, causing enormous environmental damage," said Environment Commissioner Janez Potočnik at the proposals' launch in November 2013. Some Member States have already achieved great results in reducing their use of plastic bags, he emphasized: "If others followed suit we could reduce today's overall consumption in the European Union by as much as 80%" (EU Environment for Europeans Magazine, April 2014).

#### Below is a small snapshot of places where bans or fees have been instituted.

Internationally					
Country	Ban	Fee			
Australia		Х			
China	Х	Х			
Ireland		Х			
Italy	Х				
Rwanda	Х				
Philippines	Х				
Wales		Х			
India	Х				
Bangladesh	Х				
South Africa		Х			
Botswana	Х	Х			
Kenya	Х				
Spain		Х			
Uganda	Х				
Tanzania	Х				
Zanzibar	Х				
Denmark		Х			
Bulgaria		Х			
Belgium		Х			

USA						
State	Action					
Alaska	2 ordinances covering 2 municipalities					
California	67 ordinances covering 88 municipalities					
Colorado	5 ordinances covering 5 municipalities					
Connecticut	1 ordinance covering 1 municipality					
Washington DC	1 ordinance covering 1 municipality					
Hawaii	4 ordinances covering the entire state					
Iowa	1 ordinance covering 1 municipality					
Maine	Portland					
Maryland	2 ordinances covering 21 municipalities					
Massachusetts	8 ordinances covering 8 municipalities					
New Mexico	1 ordinance covering 1 municipality					
New York	Southampton, East Hampton, Patchogue, Larchmont, Rye, Mamaroneck					
North Carolina	1 ordinance covering 9 municipalities					
Oregon	3 ordinances covering 3 municipalities					
Texas	9 ordinances covering 9 municipalities					
Rhode Island	1 ordinance covering 1 municipality					
Washington	11 ordinances covering 11 municipalities					

Plastic bag bans and fees have already reduced plastic bag use dramatically:

- Plastic bag use in Washington, D.C. dropped from 22 million to 3 million in the first month after instituting a 5 cent per bag (*The Washington Post*, March 2010).
- A San Jose Study Found Major Reduction In Litter Following Bag Ban. Litter was reduced by "approximately 89 percent in the storm drain system, 60 percent in the creeks and rivers, and 59 percent in city streets and neighborhoods," according to a report from San Jose's Transportation and Environment Committee (City of San Jose, November 2012).

With a patchwork of laws cropping up throughout the state, and now throughout Suffolk County, including regulations in Patchogue, East Hampton, and Southampton, our residents, members of the business community and local elected officials are looking for the county to follow suit, creating a uniform law governing all 10 townships and 33 villages.

#### <u>Our proposal</u>

This local law will prohibit the free distribution of plastic carry out bags in Suffolk County, but would also place a fee on paper bags. Our goal is to reduce waste, and the fee is designed to shift consumers to the use of reusable bags or other alternatives, by creating a disincentive which will encourage people to bring their own bag, as has happened across the world. Retailers would be authorized to sell 100% recyclable paper bags made from a minimum of 40% post-consumer recycled content for \$0.10 per bag. By banning thin plastic bags and placing a fee on paper and thicker plastic bags, Suffolk County will experience a significant increase in reusable bag use and a decrease in both plastic and paper bag use. Los Angeles found a 94% overall decrease in single-use bag use after their legislation (similar to Suffolk's with a ban on plastic and fee on paper), with a nearly 100% decrease in plastic bag use and a 25% decrease in paper bag use. Similar results in San Francisco and San Jose found that consumers did not switch to paper, but switched to reusable bags (Los Angeles DPW).

Paper grocery bags have a much higher recycling rate than plastic bags; 49.5% of paper bags are recovered for recycling vs. 1-5% nationwide for plastic bags. The rest of those plastic bags end up in landfills or as litter, where they take hundreds of years to decompose. Recycling one ton of paper saves 3.3 cubic yards of landfill space (Waste Management). Paper bags can also be easily repurposed for other uses, such as: crafts, book covers, compost /paper recycling container, and gift wrap.

Paper biodegrades even in the absence of sunlight, unlike plastic, and it doesn't pose the same choking and biological hazards for wildlife as plastic bags. Since paper naturally breakdowns, there are no massive accumulations of paper in our oceans, as there is with plastic (National Geographic).

If adopted, there will be a 12-month period before implementation of the law. Within those 12 months, the county will work to provide a comprehensive education and awareness campaign to assist the public and retailers with the shift. We need to ensure customers and retailers will have a successful transition and are fully aware of the intent of the law as well as the plastic bag alternatives available. The campaign will also highlight the pivotal role the public plays in reversing the detrimental effects these plastic bags have had on our planet in such a brief period of time, 30 years.

#### Closing thoughts

Decisions by local governments have boundary lines, but plastics do not. It is up to us to be good stewards of our planet and protect our resources for the next generation. Little by little, science is uncovering more information about the effects of plastic on our environment and health. It is clear through some of the findings that our plastic consumption will have substantial impacts on us for years to come. As a county that is surrounded by beaches and bays and relies on our sole source aquifer for our drinking water, this bill is critical in protecting our water resources and the health of our residents. Having a county law will cover a larger portion of our shorelines and send a strong message to other municipalities in our state and country. January 20, 2016 Minutes

# CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 9-2016, AUTHORIZING ADOPTION OF JANUARY 20, 2016 CEQ MINUTES

WHEREAS, the Council on Environmental Quality has received and reviewed the January 20, 2016 meeting minutes; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that a quorum of the Council on Environmental Quality, having heard and accepted all comments and necessary corrections hereby adopts the meeting minutes of January 20, 2016.

DATED: 3/16/2016

#### PROJECT #: Adoption of Minutes RESOLUTION #: 09-2016 DATE: March 16, 2016

RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES						
CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED	
Eva Growney				$\boxtimes$		
Thomas C. Gulbransen	$\boxtimes$					
Hon. Al Krupski as designee to Hon. Hahn			$\boxtimes$			
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$					
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$					
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$					
Mary Ann Spencer	$\boxtimes$					
Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$		
CAC REPRESENTATIVES						

<u>Recommendation</u>: Adoption of minutes as amended

Motion: Ms. Spencer Second: Mr. Kaufman

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788 Tel: (631) 853-5191

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Gloria Russo Chairperson CEQ

TO:	<u>MEMORANDUM</u> Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
FROM:	Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: March 23, 2016

RE: CEQ Review of Proposed Local Law Prohibiting the Distribution of Plastic Carryout Bag Used in Retail Sales in Suffolk County

At its March 16, 2016 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Suffolk County Legislator William Spencer, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 10-2016, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered an Unlisted Action under SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment.

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation that the project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA that will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment (negative declaration). However, if the Legislature has further environmental concerns regarding this project and needs additional information, the Presiding Officer should remand the case back to the initiating unit for the necessary changes to the project and EAF or submit a resolution authorizing the initiating unit to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (positive declaration).

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 10-2016 which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <a href="http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality">http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality</a>.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators

Jason Richberg, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 10-2016, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR A PROPOSED LOCAL LAW PROHIBITING THE DISTRIBUTION OF PLASTIC CARRYOUT BAGS USED IN RETAIL SALES IN SUFFOLK COUNTY

WHEREAS, at its March 16, 2016 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by the Suffolk County Legislature; and

**WHEREAS,** a presentation regarding the proposed action was given at the meeting by Suffolk County Legislator William Spencer, M.D.; and

WHEREAS, the proposed local law (IR 1207-2016) would prohibit retail stores in Suffolk County from providing plastic, single use, carryout bags to their customers and require that retailers that provide reusable bags to its customers charge a fee of \$.10 per recyclable paper bag and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bag; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED,** that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed project be classified as an Unlisted Action under the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code; and, be it further

2<sup>nd</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received, a quorum of the CEQ recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Title 6 NYCRR Part 617 and Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the proposed action will not have significant adverse impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposed action will not exceed any of the criteria in 6 NYCRR, Section 617.7, which sets forth thresholds for determining significant effect on the environment as demonstrated in the Environmental Assessment Form;
- 2. The proposal does not significantly threaten any unique or highly valuable environmental or cultural resources as identified in or regulated by the Environmental Conservation Law of the State of New York or the Suffolk County Charter and Code;
- 3. The proposed action requires that retailers charge customers a fee of \$0.10 per recyclable paper bag fee and no less than \$0.10 per reusable bag;
- 4. The proposed action requires that the recyclable paper bag used by retailers contains no old growth fiber, is 100 % recyclable overall and contains a minimum of 40% post-consumer recycles content;
- 5. As indicated in the Addendum to the Environmental Assessment Form there has been an observed reduction in single use plastic bags and paper bag use when other municipalities have implemented similar legislation;
- 6. The proposed local law is anticipated to result in the reduction of plastic bag use, especially thin "flyaway" plastic bag use, in Suffolk County which will have a positive

impact on Suffolk County's surface waters, shorelines, soils, groundwater, birds and sea life;

**3<sup>rd</sup> RESOLVED,** that it is the recommendation of the Council that the Legislature and County Executive adopt a SEQRA determination of non-significance (negative declaration).

DATED: 3/16/2016

#### PROJECT#:PLN-16-2016 RESOLUTION #: 10-2016 DATE: March 16, 2016

#### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
Eva Growney				$\boxtimes$	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	X				
Hon. Al Krupski as designee for Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	$\boxtimes$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	X				
Mary Ann Spencer	X				
Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Unlisted Action, Negative Declaration

<u>Motion</u>: Mr. Kaufman <u>Second</u>: Hon. Krupski

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788 Tel: (631) 853-5191

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson Kcd

DATE: March 23, 2016

RE: CEQ Review of the Proposed Replacement In-Kind of Portions of Yaphank County Center Sewers' Conveyance System, CP 8158, Town of Brookhaven

At its March 16, 2016 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 11-2016, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type II Action under SEQRA pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(27).

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action constitutes a Type II Action pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA noted above.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 11-2016. Which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <a href="http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality">http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality</a>

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators Jason A. Richberg, Clerk of Legislature George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 11-2016, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED REPLACEMENT IN-KIND OF PORTIONS OF YAPHANK COUNTY CENTER SEWERS' CONVEYANCE SYSTEM, CP 8158, TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN

WHEREAS, at its March 16, 2016 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

**WHEREAS**, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

WHEREAS, the project involves the replacement in-kind of portions of the Yaphank County Center's sewage conveyance system prior to emergency response or failure; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(27), as the action involves maintenance, repair, replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, that does not meet or exceed any thresholds in Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.4.

DATED: 3/16/2016

PROJECT #: DPW-12-2016 RESOLUTION #: 11-2016 DATE: March 16, 2016

#### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
Eva Growney				$\boxtimes$	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	$\boxtimes$				
Hon. Al Krupski as designee for Hon. Kara Hahn					
Michael Kaufman	$\mathbf{X}$				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	$\boxtimes$				
Mary Ann Spencer	$\boxtimes$				
Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type II Action

<u>Motion</u>: Mr. Kaufman <u>Second</u>: Mr. Gulbransen

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788 Tel: (631) 853-5191

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

GLORIA RUSSO CHAIRPERSON CEQ

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive
	DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer

FROM: Gloria Russo, Chairperson

DATE: March 23, 2016

RE: CEQ Review of the Proposed Suffolk County Sewer District #3 Southwest Rehabilitation – Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center Pumping Stations, CP 8170, Town of Islip

At its March 16, 2016 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, as well as that given in a presentation by Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the Council advises the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, in CEQ Resolution No. 12-2016, a copy of which is attached, that the proposed project be considered a Type II Action under SEQRA pursuant to the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(27).

If the Legislature concurs with the Council on Environmental Quality's recommendation, the Presiding Officer should cause to be brought before the Legislature for a vote, a resolution determining that the proposed action constitutes a Type II Action pursuant to the provisions of SEQRA noted above.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 12-2016. Which sets forth the Council's recommendations. The project EAF and supporting documentation can be viewed online at <a href="http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality">http://www.suffolkcountyny.gov/Departments/Planning/Boards/CouncilonEnvironmentalQuality</a>

If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
 Jason A. Richberg, Clerk of Legislature
 George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature
 Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning
 Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning
 Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 12-2016, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING A SEQRA CLASSIFICATION AND DETERMINATION FOR THE PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE FOR THE PROPOSED SUFFOLK COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT #3 SOUTHWEST REHABILITATION --AWIXA CREEK AND PILGRIM PSYCHIATRIC CENTER PUMPING STATIONS, CP 8170, TOWN OF ISLIP

WHEREAS, at its March 16, 2016 meeting, the Suffolk County Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) reviewed the EAF and associated information submitted by Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

**WHEREAS**, a presentation regarding the project was given at the meeting by Ben Wright, P.E., Principal Civil Engineer, Suffolk County Department of Public Works; and

**WHEREAS**, the project involves rehabilitation of Sewer District #3 – Southwest Pumping Stations (Awixa Creek and Pilgrim Psychiatric Center); and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed rehabilitation includes replacing equipment at the Awixa pumping station and installing a second bar screen to improve redundancy at the Pilgrim Psychiatric Center pump station; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> **RESOLVED**, that based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the CEQ hereby recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive that the proposed activity be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code and the provisions of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5(c)(1)(2)(20)(27), as the action involves maintenance, repair, replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction of a structure or facility, in kind, on the same site, that does not meet or exceed any thresholds in Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.4.

DATED: 3/16/2016

#### PROJECT #: DPW-12-2016 RESOLUTION #: 12-2016 DATE: March 16, 2016

#### RECORD OF CEQ RESOLUTION VOTES

CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
Eva Growney					<u>.</u>
Thomas C. Gulbransen	X				
Hon. Al Krupski as designee for Hon. Kara Hahn					
Michael Kaufman	X				
Daniel Pichney	$\overline{X}$				
Gloria G. Russo	X				
Mary Ann Spencer	X				
Larry Swanson				X	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

Recommendation: Type II Action

<u>Motion</u>: Mr. Kaufman <u>Second</u>: Mr. Gulbransen

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788 Tel: (631) 853-5191

# COUNTY OF SUFFOLK



STEVEN BELLONE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DIVISION OF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Gloria Russo Chairperson CEQ

#### **MEMORANDUM**

TO:	Honorable Steven Bellone, Suffolk County Executive Honorable DuWayne Gregory, Presiding Officer
FROM:	Gloria Russo, Chairperson MLCO
DATE:	March 23, 2016
RE:	CEQ Review of the Recommended SEQRA Classifications of Legislative Resolutions

Laid on the Table February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016

At its March 16, 2016 meeting, the CEQ reviewed the above referenced matter. Pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, and based on the information received, the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive in CEQ Resolution No. 13-2016, a copy of which is attached, that the enclosed lists of legislative resolutions laid on the table February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016, be classified pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated in the left hand margin. The majority of the proposed resolutions are Type II actions pursuant to the appropriate section of Title 6 NYCRR Part 617.5, with no further environmental review necessary. Unlisted and Type I actions require that the initiating unit of County government prepare an Environmental Assessment Form (EAF) or other SEQRA documentation and submit it to the CEQ for further SEQRA review and recommendations.

Enclosed for your information is a copy of CEQ Resolution No. 13-2016 setting forth the Council's recommendations along with the associated lists of legislative resolutions. If the Council can be of further help in this matter, please let us know.

Enc.

cc: All Suffolk County Legislators
 Jason A. Richberg, Clerk of Legislature
 George Nolan, Attorney for the Legislature
 Sarah Lansdale, Director of Planning, Department of Economic Development and Planning
 Andrew Freleng, Chief Planner, Department of Economic Development and Planning
 Dennis Brown, Suffolk County Attorney

Project # PLN-14-2016

March 16, 2016

#### CEQ RESOLUTION NO. 13-2016, RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING SEQRA CLASSIFICATIONS OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTIONS LAID ON THE TABLE FEBRUARY 9, 2016 AND MARCH 1, 2016, PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 450 OF THE SUFFOLK COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, the legislative packets regarding resolutions laid on the table on February 9, 2016 and March 1, 2016 have been received in the CEQ office; and

**WHEREAS**, staff has preliminarily reviewed the proposed resolutions and recommended SEQRA classifications; now, therefore, be it

1<sup>st</sup> RESOLVED, that in the judgment of the CEQ, based on the information received and presented, a quorum of the Council recommends to the Suffolk County Legislature and County Executive, pursuant to Chapter 450 of the Suffolk County Code, that the attached lists of actions and projects be classified by the Legislature and County Executive pursuant to SEQRA as so indicated.

DATED: 3/16/2016

#### PROJECT #: PLN-14-2016 RESOLUTION #: 13-2016 DATE: March 16, 2016

RECORD	OF CE	Q RES	SOLUTION \	/OTES	
CEQ APPOINTED MEMBERS	AYE	NAY	ABSTAIN	NOT PRESENT	RECUSED
Eva Growney				$\boxtimes$	
Thomas C. Gulbransen	$\boxtimes$				
Hon. Al Krupski as designee for Hon. Kara Hahn	$\boxtimes$				
Michael Kaufman	X				
Daniel Pichney	$\boxtimes$				
Gloria G. Russo	X				
Mary Ann Spencer	$\boxtimes$				
Larry Swanson				$\boxtimes$	
CAC REPRESENTATIVES					

<u>Motion</u>: Mr. Kaufman <u>Second</u>: Hon. Krupski

Further information may be obtained by contacting:

Andrew P. Freleng, Chief Planner Council on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 6100 Hauppauge, New York 11788 Tel: (631) 853-5191

# LAID ON THE TABLE FEBRUARY 9, 2016 LADS REPORT PREPARED BY:

Michele Gerardi (Updated 2/10/2016)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1045. Authorizing the use of Smith Point County Park, Cathedral Pines County Park (15)(20)(27) and Smith Point Marina by the Long Island 2 Day Walk to Fight Breast Cancer, Inc., for breast cancer walk in 2016. (Browning) PARKS & RECREATION Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1046. To appoint member to the Food Policy Council of Suffolk County (Michael (20)(27) Martinsen). (Hahn) HEALTH Type II Action 1047. Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 1117-2015. NYCRR 617.5(c) (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS 20)(27) Type II Action 1048. Authorizing a certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 1118-2015. NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(27) (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS ype II Action 1049. Approving renewal and extension of ferry license and fares for Tony's Freight NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(26)(27) Service, Inc. (Pres. Off.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY ype II Action 1050. Reappointing member to the Suffolk County Water Authority (Patrick G. Halpin). NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(27) (Pres. Off.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) 1051. Authorizing use of Southaven County Park for the Kara's Hope 5K Run/Walk. 15)(20)(27) (Browning) PARKS & RECREATION Type II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1052. Amending the 2016 Operating Budget to provide funding for L.I. Against 20)(27) Domestic Violence, Inc. (Hahn) BUDGET AND FINANCE **Fype II** Action Authorizing use of Smith Point County Park property in 2016 by the Mastics-1053. 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) Moriches-Shirley Community Library's Family Literacy Project. (Browning) 15)(20)(27) PARKS & RECREATION Type II Action 1054. Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to re-allocate 100% State Aid 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) from the Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services to Hands Across Long 20)(27) Island for the purpose of developing a Peer Operated Wellness and Recovery Center. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE ype II Action Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% 1055. NYCRR 617.5(c) additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to concern :0)(27) for Independent Living and Options For Community Living Inc., for the purpose of developing Mobile Residential Support Teams. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH ype II Action 1056. Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to accept and appropriate 100% NYCRR 617.5(c) additional State Aid from the New York State Office of Mental Health to the 20)(27) Association for Mental Health and Wellness, Family Residences and Essential Enterprises and Pederson Krag Center Inc. (Co. Exec.) HEALTH ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) 1057. Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to transfer funding to contract 20)(27) agencies for the purpose of providing Chemical Dependency and Mental Health Services to Suffolk County Residents. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1071**. (20)(27)

ð

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1072**. (15)(20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1073.** (15)(20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1074. (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1075. (20)(27) 1075.

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1076.** (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1077.** (11)(20)(27)

Type II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1078.** (11)(20)(27)

ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) 1079. (0)(27)

ype II Action NYCRR 617,5(c) **1080.** 20)(27)

ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) **1081.** 20)(27)

/pe II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) **1082**. 5)(20)(27)

listed Action 108

isted Action

To amend the User Fee Schedule for Suffolk County Parks. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS</u> <u>& RECREATION</u>

Authorizing use of Blydenburgh County Park by the New York Blood Center, Inc. for its Hike for Life Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION

Authorizing use of Blydenburgh County Park by the Care Center for its Annual Walkathon Fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION

To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and chargebacks on correction or errors/County Comptroller by: County Legislature (Control No. 443). (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

. Delegating authority to refund certain erroneous tax payments to the Suffolk County Comptroller. (Co. Exec.) <u>\*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/9/2015\*\*</u>

Amending the 2016 Operating Budget to provide funding for Babylon Youth Institute, Inc. (D'Amaro) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

Authorizing execution of an amended agreement by the Administrative Head of Suffolk County Sewer District No. 22 – Hauppauge Municipal and Bar Louie (SM-1696). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

 Authorizing execution of an agreement by the Administrative Head of SCSD No.
 11 – Selden with 1671 Route 112 - Coram – Retail (BR-1664). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>

Approving a License Agreement for Timothy Benson to reside at 5334 Sound Avenue, Riverhead. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION

Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to re-allocate State Aid and County Funds from the Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services to Federation of Organizations for the purpose of providing Personalized Recovery Oriented Services (PROS) and Mental Health Clinic Treatment Services. (Co. Exec.) <u>HEALTH</u>

1081. Amending the 2016 Adopted Operating Budget to re-allocate 100% State Aid from the Jewish Board of Family and Children's Services to Family Service League for the purpose of operating a Health Home Program. (Co. Exec.) <u>HEALTH</u>

1082. Authorizing use of Sears Bellows County Park and the County-owned portion of the Paumanok Trail by Jayasports for its Paumanok Pursuit fundraiser. (Co. Exec.) PARKS & RECREATION

<sup>24</sup> 1083. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Austin Jang (SCTM No. 0400-259.05-01.00-049.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS & MEANS</u>

1084. Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Grace Caputo (SCTM No. 0100-127.00-03.00-070.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1099 (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1100. (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1101. (20)(21)(27)

20)(27)

Authorizing the transfer of certain properties to the Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management (the Northern part of which having been assigned the Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0200 Section 140.00 Block 04.00 Lot 030.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

County Operating Budget. (Pres. Off.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Approving County funding for a contract agency (Babylon Town Historical

Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Charter Law requiring joint certification of

Type II Action 1102. Authorizing the County Executive to enter into an Intermunicipal Agreement 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) with the Town of Babylon for installation and maintenance of pavers and (20)(27) streetscape improvements within Suffolk County's Right-of-Way along CR 2, Straight Path from the vicinity of Mount Avenue to the vicinity of Washington Avenue. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Society). (McCaffrey) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Type II Action Approving the appointment of James Petrich as an Assistant District Attorney. 1103. 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) (Co. Exec.) \*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/9/2015\*\*

Fype II Action 1104. To appoint member of Suffolk County Youth Board Coordinating Council NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(27) representing Legislative District No. 17 (Susan F. Friedman). (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND HUMAN SERVICES

ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) 1105. Accepting and appropriating 100% grant funds received from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services to the Suffolk County District Attorney's Office STOP DWI Prosecution Program. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC <u>SAFETY</u>

ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) 1106. Establishing a reporting requirement for the Police Department's Internal Affairs 20)(27) Bureau. (Calarco) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action 1107. Accepting New York State Marchiselli funding; amending Resolution No. 1262-NYCRR 617.5(c) 2010 in connection with the replacement of CR 16, Horseblock Road over the 20)(27) LIRR bridge, Town of Brookhaven (CP 5850). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

pe II Action 1108. VCRR 617.5(c) Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of communications ))(25)(27) equipment for Sheriff's Office (CP 3060). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action 1109. Appropriating funds in connection with the Replacement of the Jail Time and NYCRR 617.5(c) :0)(27) Accrual System (CP 3065). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action 1110. Appropriating funds in connection with the purchase of Heavy Duty Equipment NYCRR 617.5(c) .0)(25)(27) for the Sheriff's Office (CP 3047). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action Accepting and appropriating 100% federal funds awarded as pass-thru funding 1111. NYCRR 617.5(c) 0)(27) by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services to the Suffolk County Department of Probation and authorizing the County Executive to execute related agreements. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

**Fype II** Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1123. Accepting and appropriating 100% New York State Local Government Records 20)(27) Management Improvement Fund Grant for Records Management Programs. (Pres. Off.) WAYS & MEANS

7

20)(27)

ype II Action

20)(25)(27)

**Fype II** Action 1124. Authorizing the County Clerk to file an application for additional State Mortgage 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(27) Tax reimbursement. (Pres. Off.) WAYS & MEANS

ype II Action 1125. Appropriating funds in connection with the Optical Disk Imaging System (CP NYCRR 617.5(c) 1751). (Pres. Off.) WAYS & MEANS 20)(27)

ype II Action 1126. Appropriating funds in connection with modifications for compliance with ADA at NYCRR 617.5(c) Suffolk County Vanderbilt Museum (CP 7450). (Spencer) PARKS & 1)(2)(20)(25)(27) RECREATION

Type II Action 1127. Approving the appointment of Frank Bonventre as a member of the Suffolk 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) (20)(27) PUBLIC SAFETY

Type II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1128. Approving the appointment of John Coughlin as a member of the Suffolk 20)(27) County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

**Fype II** Action 1129. Approving the appointment of Jay Egan as a member of the Suffolk County 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC 20)(27) SAFETY

ype II Action 1130. Approving the re-appointment of Nicholas Luparella III as a member of the NYCRR 617.5(c) Suffolk County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) 0)(27) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action NYCRR 617.5(c) Approving the re-appointment of Norman Reilly, Jr. as a member of the Suffolk 1131. County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) 0)(27) PUBLIC SAFETY

pe II Action 1132. Approving the re-appointment of Drew Silverman as a member of the Suffolk NYCRR 617.5(c) County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) 0)(27)PUBLIC SAFETY

pe II Action 1133. Approving the re-appointment of Edward Tully, Jr. as a member of the Suffolk NYCRR 617.5(c) County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) 0)(27) PUBLIC SAFETY

ype II Action 1134. Approving the appointment of Gerard Turza, Jr. as a member of the Suffolk NYCRR 617.5(c) County Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services Commission. (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC SAFETY

1135. Accepting and appropriating 100% New York State Department of NYCRR 617.5(c) Transportation Accelerated Transit Capital (ATC) Funds for the purchase of public paratransit vehicles and amending the 2016 Capital Budget and Program (CP 5658). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1151. (20)(27) 1151.

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1152**. (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1153.** (20)(27)

Type II Action **1154.** 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1155**. (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1156.** (20)(27)

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) **1157.** (20)(21)(27)

Type II Action **1158.** 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)

Fype II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) PM03. 20)(27) Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Charter Law to elicit public input and require legislative approval of fee changes. (Cilmi) <u>HEALTH</u>

Authorizing agreement with Pasquale Vitagliano for the sale of a portion of a tax deed parcel identified as (SCTM No. 0500-441.00-01.00-058.004) pursuant to § 215(8) of the New York County Law. (Barraga) WAYS & MEANS

To appoint Andrea Neubauer as Deputy Director of the Suffolk County Department of Probation. (Co. Exec.) <u>\*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/9/2015\*\*</u>

Revenue Anticipation Note Resolution No. -2016, Resolution delegating to the County Comptroller the power to authorize the issuance of not to exceed \$55,000,000 Revenue Anticipation Notes of the County of Suffolk, New York, in anticipation of the receipt of certain revenues for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2016, to prescribe the terms, form and contents of such notes, and to provide for the sale and credit enhancement thereof. (Co. Exec.) BUDGET AND FINANCE

Honoring an American Hero, Staff Sgt. Louis M. Bonacasa, by renaming a portion of County Road 21, Rocky Point - Yaphank Road, as well as the intersection of County Road 21 with New York State 25, Jericho Turnpike. (Co. Exec.) <u>\*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/9/2016\*\*</u>

Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law to expand public notification of sewage contamination in Suffolk County. (Krupski) <u>HEALTH</u>

Authorizing and directing an update to Agricultural Stewardship Program. (Krupski) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE

Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law amending provisions relating to the administration and enforcement of the Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code. (Pres. Off.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>

#### **PROCEDURAL MOTION**

Authorizing public hearing for renewal of Ferry License and fares of Tony's Freight Service, Inc. (Pres. Off.) <u>\*\*ADOPTED ON 2/9/2015\*\*</u>

		LAID ON THE TABLE MARCH 1, 2016 LADS REPORT PREPARED BY: Michele Gerärdi (Revised 3/4/2016)
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1160.	Approving payment to General Code Publishers for Administrative Code pages. (Pres. Off.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1161.	Amending the Suffolk County Classification and Salary Plan in connection with a new position title in the Department of Social Services (Chief Division Administrator of Social Services). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u> , <u>PERSONNEL</u> , <u>INFORMATION TECH &amp; HOUSING</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1162.	To readjust, compromise, and grant refunds and charge-backs on real property correction of errors by: County Legislature (Control No. 1020-2016). (Co. Exec.) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1163.	Approving County funding for a contract agency (Three Village Historical Society). (Hahn) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>
Туре II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1164.	Accepting and appropriating 100% reimbursable new Federal grant funds from the New York State Office for the Aging for a Fully-Integrated Dual Advantage Program (FIDA). (Co. Exec.) <u>SENIORS AND CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1165.	Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$20,500 in Federal pass- through funding from the State of New York Governor's Traffic Safety Committee, for the Suffolk County Police Department's Motorcycle Safety Enforcement and Education Program with 79.55% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1166.	Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$135,425 in Federal pass- through funding from the State of New York Governor's Traffic Safety Committee to provide Enhanced Enforcement of Motor Vehicle and Traffic Laws and Regulations with 79.33% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1167.	Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$133,233 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for the Suffolk County Police Department's 2016 Motor Vehicle Theft and Insurance Fraud (MVTIF) Prevention Program with 79.77% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27)	1168.	Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, TDG Jamesport Owner, LLC – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No .0600-068.00-01.00-035.000). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
Type II Action 5 NYCRR 617.5(c) 20)(21)(27)	1169.	Authorizing appraisal of land under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, estate of Dominick Mennuti – Town of Brookhaven (SCTM No. 0200-713.00-03.00-002.001). (Browning) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1170.	Authorizing a voluntary lag payroll for elected officials in 2016. (Stern) GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH & HOUSING

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1171. (20)(21)(27) Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, TDG Jamesport Owner, LLC – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-047.00-01.00-003.003). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND</u> <u>AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1172. Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, John P. Kujawski and Sons, Inc. – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-022.00-02.00-013.007). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> <u>AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1173. Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland (20)(21)(27) Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, John P. Kujawski and Sons, Inc. – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-022.00-02.00-013.008). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> <u>AND AGRICUL</u>TURE
- <sup>Type II Action</sup> <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  <sup>(21)(27)</sup>
  <sup>(20)(21)(27)</sup>
  <sup>(</sup>
- 1175. Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland (20)(21)(27) Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, John P. Kujawski and Sons, Inc. – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-022.00-02.00-013.010). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> <u>AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27) 1176. Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, John P. Kujawski and Sons, Inc. – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-022.00-02.00-013.016). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> <u>AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(21)(27) Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by Local Law No. 24-2007, John P. Kujawski and Sons, Inc. – Town of Riverhead (SCTM No. 0600-022.00-02.00-013.006). (Krupski) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING</u> <u>AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1178. Authorizing the reconveyance of County-owned Real Estate pursuant to Section 215, New York State County Law to Joseph and Lisa Kenny (SCTM No. 0400-083.00-02.00-015.000). (Trotta) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1179. Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law to enact a Campaign Finance Reform Act to limit campaign contributions from County Contractors and Public Employee Unions. (Trotta) WAYS & MEANS
- Type If Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1180. Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law to prohibit the sale of Kratom in Suffolk County. (Stern) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

- Type If Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)1181.Instituting a lag payroll in Fiscal Year 2016 for employees within the Suffolk County<br/>Board of Elections in Bargaining Units 21 and 24. (Co. Exec.) GOVERNMENT<br/>OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH & HOUSING
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1182. (20)(27) Instituting a lag payroll in Fiscal Year 2016 for employees of Bargaining Units 21 and 30 (Management and Confidential Employees, respectively and exclusive of Suffolk County Community College and Employees of the Board of Elections). (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH &</u> HOUSING
- <sup>6</sup>NYCRR 617.5(c) 1183. Appoint member to the Wellness Program for County Employees (Kerri Winans Kaley). (Lindsay) <u>HEALTH</u>

Type II Action

- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1184. Appoint member to the Wellness Program for County Employees (Jacqueline Moline, M.D.). (Lindsay) <u>HEALTH</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27) 1185. Appropriating funds in connection with renovations at the Yaphank Correctional Facility (CP 3009). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1186. Accepting and appropriating grant funds from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for the Aid to Laboratories Grant Program for the Suffolk County Office of the Medical Examiner, Crime Laboratory. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC</u> <u>SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCCRR 617.5(e) (20)(27) 1187. Amending the 2016 Capital Budget and appropriating PAYGO funds in connection with the New Suffolk County 1/4% Drinking Water Protection Program for Environmental Protection for Land Acquisitions (CP 8714.211). (Co. Exec.) ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE
- <sup>Type II Action</sup> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1188. Authorizing the County Executive to enter into an agreement with Suffolk County (20)(27) Community College to provide indoor facilities for human and pet sheltering during times of emergency. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- SEQRA Completed by SC Reso 505-1995
  1189. Appropriating funds in connection with Roof Replacement on Various County Buildings (CP 1623). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND</u> <u>ENERGY</u>
- SEQRA Completed by SC Reso 255-2005 1190. Appropriating funds in connection with replacement of Major Buildings Operations Equipment at Various County Facilities (CP 1737). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS</u>, <u>TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
- Completed by SC Reso 996-1997 1191. Appropriating funds in connection with Elevator Controls and Safety Upgrading at Various County Facilities (CP 1760). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS,</u> <u>TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1192. Calling a public hearing for the purpose of increasing and improving facilities and modification to the plan for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 18 – Hauppauge Industrial (CP 8126). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND</u> <u>ENERGY</u>

- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1193. Accepting and appropriating 100% grant funding in the amount of \$800,000 from the New York State Department of Health to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services to provide Education, Outreach Services and Enrollment Assistance into Government-Sponsored Health Insurance Programs to the Aged, Certified Blind and Certified Disabled Populations of Suffolk and Nassau Counties through the Consumer Assistance to the Aged, Blind and Disabled Program and authorizing the County Executive and the Commissioner of Social Services to execute a contract. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND HUMAN SERVICES
- Type II Action 1194. Accepting and appropriating 100% pass through funding from the New York State Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) to the Suffolk County Department of Social Services to increase the number of child trafficking victims identified and served and authorizing the County Executive and the Commissioner of Social Services to execute a contract. (Co. Exec.) EDUCATION AND HUMAN SERVICES
- <sup>Type II Action</sup> 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27) 1195. Appropriating funds in connection with reconstruction of Culverts (CP 5371). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(25)(27) 1196. Appropriating funds in connection with improvements to the Suffolk County Fire Rescue C.A.D. (CP 3416). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27) 1197. Appropriating funds in connection with improvements to the Suffolk County Fire Training Center (CP 3405). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1198. Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of increasing and improving facilities for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 11 – Selden (CP 8117). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC</u> WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1199. Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of increasing and improving facilities for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 7 – Medford (CP 8150). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC</u> WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1200. Accepting and appropriating 100% Federal funds awarded by the U.S. Marshals (20)(27) Service to the Suffolk County Department of Probation and authorizing the County Executive to execute related agreements. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> 1201. Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of increasing and improving facilities for <sup>(20)(27)</sup> Suffolk County Sewer District No. 10 – Stony Brook (CP 8175). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>

Type II Action

Type II Action

- <sup>6</sup> NYCRR 617.5(c) 1202. Calling a public hearing for the purpose of modifying the plan for the increase and <sup>(20)(27)</sup> improvement of facilities for Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 – Southwest (CP 8181). (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
- Type II Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)1203.Calling for a public hearing for the purpose of increasing and improving facilities for<br/>Suffolk County Sewer District No. 3 Southwest (CP 8180). (Co. Exec.) PUBLIC<br/>WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (1)(2)(20)(27) 1204. Appropriating funds in connection with Beach Replenishment at Meschutt County Park (CP 7163). (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS & RECREATION</u>

	Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1205.	To appoint member of Suffolk County Board of Ethics (Eric A. Kopp). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
	Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1206.	To establish eligibility by the Village of Amityville for Public Safety Revenue- Sharing funds. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
	Unlisted Action/Negative Declaration	1207.	Adopting Local Law No2016, A Local Law prohibiting the distribution of plastic carryout bags used in retail sales. (Spencer) <u>HEALTH</u>
6 N (20	Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27)	1208.	Approving the appointment of William Neubauer to Deputy Chief in the Suffolk County Police Department. (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS,</u> <u>PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH &amp; HOUSING</u>
	Unlisted Action	1209.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Donald Heinsohn and Elizabeth Heinsohn, his wife (SCTM No. 0100-094.00-01.00-043.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
	Unlisted Action	1210.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Susan Yberg (SCTM No. 0400-194.00-03.00-022.002). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
	Туре Ц Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(с) (15)(20)(27)	121Ì.	Authorizing use of Blydenburgh County Park and showmobile by the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation for its Great Strides Walkathon. (Co. Exec.) <u>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</u>
U	nlisted Action	1212.	Authorizing the conveyance of County-owned surplus unused right-of-way having a Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Number of District 0200 Section 663.00 Block 02.00 Lot 009.000 fronting two (2) parcels of land having Suffolk County Tax Map Identification Numbers of District 0200 Section 663.00 Block 02.00 Lot 005.000 and District 0200 Section 663.00 Block 02.00 Lot 010.000 pursuant to Section 125 of the New York State Highway Law. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC WORKS, TRANSPORTATION AND ENERGY</u>
ŧ	Fype II Action	1213.	Authorizing the transfer of certain properties from the Suffolk County Department of Public Works to the Suffolk County Department of Economic Development and Planning, Division of Real Property Acquisition and Management (adjacent to SCTM Nos. 0200-560.00-04.00-002.000 and 0200-560.00-04.00-003.000). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
Ur	listed Action	1214.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act estate of Ezatollah Mamaghani (SCTM No. 0200-431.00-03.00-013.001). (Co. Exec.) <u>WAYS &amp; MEANS</u>
nl	isted Action	1215.	Authorizing the sale, pursuant to Local Law No. 16-1976, of real property acquired

1216. Accepting and appropriating a grant award increase from the State University of New York for a SUNY Child Care Program 100% reimbursed by State funds at Suffolk County Community College. (Co. Exec.) <u>EDUCATION AND HUMAN</u> <u>SERVICES</u>

under Section 46 of the Suffolk County Tax Act Estate of Ezatollah Mamaghani

(SCTM No. 0200-431.00-03.00-013.002). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS

- Type II Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)1217.120(27)Accepting and appropriating additional Federal pass-through funding in the amount<br/>of \$263,678 from the New York City Police Department in conjunction with the U.S.<br/>Department of Homeland Security Sponsored Securing the Cities Program with<br/>93.6% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> 1218. Accepting the transfer of a surplus New York State MTA Police Vehicle to the Department of Public Works and approving a temporary increase in the fleet of the Suffolk County Department of Fire, Rescue and Emergency Services. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

Type II Action

Unlisted Action

Unlisted Action

Type II Action

- 1219. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law - Town of Brookhaven - (SCTM No. 0200-172.00-03.00-043.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- 1220. Sale of County-owned real estate pursuant to Section 72-h of the General Municipal Law - Town of Brookhaven - (SCTM No. 0200-194.00-01.00-001.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1221. Amending the Adopted Resolution No. 252-2015, in connection with a Pilot (20)(27) Program for the Installation of Alternative Wastewater Discharge Systems. (Co. Exec.) <u>ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND AGRICULTURE</u>
- Authorizing the retirement and use of Workforce Housing Development Rights (20)(27) 1222. Authorizing the retirement and use of Workforce Housing Development Rights banked in the Suffolk County Save Open Space Bond Act Workforce Housing transfer of Development Rights Program Registry for use in the Development of Affordable Housing in Medford. (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS</u>, <u>PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH & HOUSING</u>
- <sup>6</sup> NYCRR 617.5(c) 1223. Authorizing the retirement and use of Workforce Housing Development Rights <sup>(20)(27)</sup> banked in the Suffolk County Save Open Space Bond Act Workforce Housing transfer of Development Rights Program Registry for use in the Development of Affordable Housing in Center Moriches. (Co. Exec.) <u>GOVERNMENT</u> <u>OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL, INFORMATION TECH & HOUSING</u>
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (20)(27) 1224. Declaring intent not to exercise County-imposed reverter clause contained in deeds for certain properties in the Village of Southampton transferred pursuant to the 72-h Affordable Housing Program (SCTM Nos. 0904-001.00-01.00-028.000, 0904-001.00-01.00-029.000, and 0904-001.00-01.00-030.000). (Co. Exec.) WAYS & MEANS
- Type II Action<br/>5 NYCRR 617.5(c)1225.Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Charter Law to ensure agricultural representation<br/>on the Planning Commission. (Fleming) <a href="mailto:ENVIRONMENT">ENVIRONMENT</a>, PLANNING AND<br/>AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action<br/>> NYCRR 617.5(c)1226.Approving the reappointment of Douglas King to the Suffolk County Disabilities<br/>Advisory Board Group D. (Pres. Off.)HEALTH
- <sup>(pe II Action</sup> NYCRR 617.5(c) 9)(27) 1227. Appoint member to the Suffolk County Animal and Pet Advisory Board (Sarah K. Alward, DVM). (Spencer) <u>SENIORS AND CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
- <sup>ype II Action</sup> NYCRR 617.5(c) 1228. Approving County funding for a contract agency (Eastville Historical Society). <sup>20)(27)</sup> (Fleming) <u>BUDGET AND FINANCE</u>

- <sup>Type II Action</sup> <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(e)</sup> 1229. Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law amending Chapter 563 of the Suffolk <sup>(20)(27)</sup> County Code to permit use of a government issued passport as acceptable personal identification. (Co. Exec.) <u>SENIORS AND CONSUMER PROTECTION</u>
- (20)(27) Adopting Local Law No. -2016, A Local Law to ban the manufacture of synthetic cannabinoids and synthetic cocaine in Suffolk County. (Spencer) <u>PUBLIC</u> <u>SAFETY</u>

Type II Action

Type II Action

Type II Action

Type II Action

- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) (15)(20)(27) 1231. Authorizing use of Smith Point County Park Property by Mastic Beach Fire Department, Inc., for public safety services fund drive. (Browning) <u>PARKS &</u> <u>RECREATION</u>
- <sup>6</sup>NYCRR 617.5(c) 1232. Declaring March As "Kidney Disease Awareness Month" in Suffolk County. (Stern) <u>HEALTH</u>
- <sup>Type II Action</sup> <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> <sup>(20)(27)</sup>
  <sup>1233.</sup> Amending the Classification and Salary Plan to add several new titles to the Board of Elections, make certain deletions and change salaries within current appropriations. (McCaffrey) <u>GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, PERSONNEL,</u> <u>INFORMATION TECH & HOUSING</u>
- <sup>6</sup>NYCRR 617.5(c) 1234. Declaring April As "Distracted Driving Awareness Month" In Suffolk County. (20)(27) (Spencer) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- Type II Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)1235.Authorizing an appraisal for the purchase of Development Rights of Farmland<br/>under the Suffolk County Drinking Water Protection Program, as amended by<br/>Local Law No. 24-2007, TDG Jamesport Owner, LLC Town of Riverhead (SCTM<br/>No. 0600-068.00-01.00-035.000). (Krupski)ENVIRONMENT, PLANNING AND<br/>AGRICULTURE
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1236. Adopting Local Law No.-2016, A Local Law to regulate the use of the term (20)(27) "Organic" by dry cleaning establishments in Suffolk County. (Hahn) <u>HEALTH</u>
- Type II Action<br/>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)1237.Amending the 2016 Capital Budget and Program and appropriating funds in<br/>connection with Decommissioning and Demolition of County Facilities (CP 1665).<br/>(Co. Exec.) \*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/1/2016\*\*
- Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1238. Authorizing certain technical correction to Adopted Resolution No. 12-2016, Honoring an American Hero, Staff Sgt. Louis M. Bonacasa, by renaming a portion of County Road 21, Rocky Point-Yaphank Road, as well as the intersection of County Road 21 with New York State Route 25, [Jericho Turnpike], <u>Middle Country</u> <u>Road. (Co. Exec.) \*\*ADOPTED WITH C/N ON 2/1/2016\*\*</u>
- <sup>Type II Action</sup> <sup>6 NYCRR 617.5(c)</sup> 1239. Accepting and appropriating a grant in the amount of \$67,260 in Federal pass-<sup>(20)(27)</sup> through funding from the New York State Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services for the 2015 Tactical Team Grant Program with 100% support. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>
- <sup>6</sup>NYCRR 617.5(c) 1240. Approving a temporary increase in fleet for the newly formed Long Island Heroin <sup>(20)(25)(27)</sup> Task Force Unit at no cost to the County Through the use of Asset Forfeiture Funds. (Co. Exec.) <u>PUBLIC SAFETY</u>

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) 1241. A resolution to accept net proceeds from the 2015 Suffolk County Marathon into the General Fund and to amend the 2016 Operating Budget to appropriate the net proceeds for certain not-for-profit veterans organizations. (Co. Exec.) VETERANS

#### **PROCEDURAL MOTION**

Type II Action 6 NYCRR 617.5(c) PM04. Apportioning Mortgage Tax By: County Comptroller. (Pres. Off.) (20)(27)