	2	MINUTES OF MEETING	
	3		
	4	SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION	
	5		
	6		
	7	February 6, 2008	
	8	12:00 p.m.	
	9		
1	D	William H. Rogers Legislation Building	
1	1	Rose Y. Caracappa Auditorium 725 Veterans Memorial Highway Hauppauge, New York 11787	
1	2		
1:	3		
1.	1		
1	5 JOHI	JOHN CARACCIOLO, Chairman	
1	5		
1'	7		
. 18	3		
1))		
20)		
23	-		
22	2		
23	REPC	DRTED BY:	
24	. Kim	M. Mooney, Court Reporter	
***** 25	5		

s \$

1	SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION		
2	APPEARANCES:		
3	CHARLA BOLTON, Secretary, Commission Member At-Large		
4			
5	DAVID L. CALONE, Commission Member Town of Babylon		
6			
7	ADRIENNE ESPOSITO, Commission Member Villages Over 5,000		
. 8	DONALD J. FIORE, Commission Member		
9	Town of Islip		
10	LINDA HOLMES, Vice Chairwoman Town of Shelter Island		
11	TOWN OF SHELLEF ISTAND		
12	CONSTANTINE KONTOKOSTA, Commission Member Villages Under 5,000		
13	VIIIages Under 5,000		
14	SARAH LANSDALE, Commission Member At-Large		
15	ne harge		
16	THOMAS MCADAM, Commission Member Town of Southold		
17			
18	EDWARD J. PRUITT, Commission Member Town of Brookhaven		
19			
20	BARBARA B. ROBERTS, Commission Member Town of Southampton		
21	Town of Southampton		
22	ROBERT A. BRAUN, Commission Member Town of Smithtown		
23	TOWH OF SUITCHFOMH		
24	TOM ISLES, Director of Planning		
25	DAN GULIZIO, Deputy Director of Suffolk County Planning Department		

1	SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2	TED KLEIN, Senior Planner
3	Suffolk County Planning Department
4	ANDREW FRELENG, Chief Planner,
5	Suffolk County Planning Department
6	LINDA SPAHR, Suffolk County Attorney
7	CLAIRE CHORNEY, Secretary
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MR. CARACCIOLO: Do we have the minutes 2 3 for the January 2nd meeting? 4 MS. HOLMES: Yes, we do. 5 MR. CARACCIOLO: I will ask all the members today to please state your name for the 6 7 court reporter. MS. HOLMES: Linda Holmes, Shelter 8 9 Island. I'm the unofficial editor of our minutes. 10 There are just a few of the typos, and I try to target only the things that would change the 11 12 meaning of the sentence. 13 On the appearance page, Adrienne Esposito is 14 Villages over 5,000 population and not Villages 15 once 5,000. The next one is on page 17 line 21, 16 the word should be "midst," M-I-D-S-T not "mist". 17 Page 23 line 15, the word is "and" not "in". 18 Page 28, line 15, the speaker must have been 19 using notes that he was addressing at a planning 20 board evening meeting. He used the word "night". 21 It should really be "today" to be consistant with 22 his addressing our meeting. 23 Page 30 line 7, the spelling of the word 24 should be compliant, C-O-M-P-L-I-A-N-T. 25 Page 39 line 22, there is a word omitted I

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 think. On that line the word "needed" needs to be inserted to make sense for the sentence, "Several 3 variances needed for the proposal" not "Several 4 variances for the proposal." 5 6 Page 41 line 6, the word should be "latest". Page 56 line 2, "lessen" as in diminishing, 7 and not "lesson". 8 9 Page 63 line 4, it was a contraction "they're, T-H-E-Y-'-R-E" and not "their" 10 11 T-H-E-I-R. 12 Page 136, Roy Fedelm needs to be spelt 13 correctly, F-E-D-E-L-M. 14 The final one is on page 162 line 6, it's 15 really a meeting and not a hearing. 16 Those were my corrections for the January 2nd, meeting. 17 18 MR. CARACCIOLO: Okay. 19 MR. CALONE: David Calone from Babylon. 20 On page 112 on the infrastructure "loans" and not 21 "lots." 22 MS. HOLMES: 112 line 9. 23 MR. CARACCIOLO: Can I have a Motion to 24 accept? 25 MS. ROBERTS: I accept.

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 MR. CARACCIOLO: First by Barbara 3 Roberts, Southampton. Second by Edward Pruitt. All those in favor? Minutes accepted. 4 5 The public portion -- there is no one here б to speak. So we will be closing the public 7 portion rather quickly and it is on to the 8 Directors Report. 9 MR. ISLES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 First item of business is the annual report. 11 This is required by the County Administrative 12 Code. We have provided copies to you today. 13 Sometimes we have done this in a more of a 14 condensed form. 15 There are essentially two parts to this. The first part deals with: We are required to 16 17 report on conditions in the county in terms of 18 demographic, economics, development, and so forth. 19 The second part of it deals with activities 20 of the commission and the department deals with 21 the referrals that come to the commission that 22 were sent in to the county and so forth. There is 23 an accounting of that that was prepared by Andy Freleng's section on page 17. 24

25 There is also a summary of projects

7

completed, activities and so forth. As well as
projects that are anticipated for next year.

If you have any questions as you go through the report, you can contact me or bring it up at the next meeting if you would like. That is the first item.

8 The second is the jurisdictional map in 9 terms of: We have put together a map that depicts 10 the jurisdiction of the planning commission, and 11 it is intended as a tool for not only for the 12 department, but also for the municipalities in 13 helping to determine when cases need to be 14 referred to the commission.

15 So we did go through a public comment period 16 with that by sending it out to each of the 17 municipalities. We did receive comments back from 18 a couple of them. We are incorporating and 19 reacting to those comments and revising the map, 20 and then doing the formal questioning at the next 21 meeting.

There will be an adoption of that by the planning commission, and then it will become an official jurisdictional map of the Commission. Then periodically on an annual basis, we will

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 bring it back to you for amendments. 3 MS. ESPOSITO: Will we get that in 4 advance at the next meeting? 5 MR. ISLES: Sure. We have it 6 electronically and on c.d. We also have it on 7 paper. 8 MS. HOLMES: You did give it to us a long 9 time ago; didn't you? And are we going to get 10 Shelter Island's name off Greenport? 11 MR. ISLES: We are actually not using that map anymore -- the Quogue map. So your 12 13 request has been received. 14 We will send you a paper, or c.d, whatever 15 you prefer before the next meeting. That will be on the county website once it is adopted. 16 17 The next item deals with Inter-municipal 18 Agreement Amendment with Southampton Town, for which I would like to ask Chief Planner Andy 19 Freleng, to give the board a briefing on the 20 21 amendment. 22 This is an existing I.M.A. that the County 23 Planning Commission had already approved with 24 Southampton and that we are seeking to modify at

this time.

25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 MR. FRELENG: Good afternoon, Members of the Board. You may recall two years ago, I 3 believe it was, the commissions' desire to make 4 5 government work smarter. We began a process where 6 we can do an expedited review of certain matters 7 that the commission, when we discussed this, 8 predetermined that there was certain variances. 9 certain development applications of matters of local concern only. The adding of an awning to a 10 11 facade, the change of the use of a particular 12 commercial building. There is no change in 13 parking or any other spin off of facts.

14 So we did go into an agreement with the Town 15 of Southampton. We provided you all with copies 16 of the agreement.

With regard to the Gabreski Airport Master
Plan, the County, as you know, has developed a
master plan with the Town of Southampton for the
development of the industrial component of the
Gabreski Airport. The Town has adopted the master
plan.

The county's role is, obviously, if there is a site plan that is filed in the industrial park in the airport, the town would refer that to the

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 Commission. The staff of the commission has met 3 with the town and has worked out an agreement 4 where: If a site plan is in total compliance with 5 the master plan for the industrial park, there 6 would really be no reason for us to take a hard 7 look at it.

8 Southampton would refer to us 9 electronically, based on our existing program, a 10 notification that they received the site plan and 11 that it is in full compliance with the master 12 plan. If they do that, we can send them back an 13 electronic LV.

14 So, basically, it is an expedited review, 15 and it decreases that administrative burden of the 16 commission and the staff in processing maps and 17 paper. This goes along the line with an expedited 18 review of the Inter-municipal Agreement that you 19 have with the town.

If you would like, I could read the resolution. You all have a copy of it. Essentially, though, the only addition or change to the formal agreement is the last bulleted item in the table which reads: Site Plans in compliance with the goals, objectives, and

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

standards of the Town of Southampton Gabreski 2 3 Airport Planned Development District Master Plan. 4 Obviously, would be a category that is satisfied -- if the commission has had a chance to 5 6 review this and you have no questions, I ask that 7 you adopt this as a resolution. 8 I have been in contact with the Town of 9 Southampton. They are still talking about it with 10 their planning board. They have not officially 11 indicated that they wanted to go ahead with this. Although, unofficially, they have indicated that 12 13 this would be a good idea. 14 I just want to say that this is being 15 spurred on by economic development. They would 16 like us to have an expedited due process in this. 17 So when they do get a lease agreement that they can file with the town, the whole process is 18 19 expedited, particularly with us. 20 So having said that --21 MR. CARACCIOLO: Are you aggressively 22 looking to do this with other towns? 23 MR. FRELENG: As a matter of fact, the 24 next item on the agenda is the Inter-municipal 25 Agreement with the Town of Islip. Yes, we are

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION looking to cut down some of the superfluous type 2 3 of stuff. 4 MR. CARACCIOLO: So you need a Motion 5 from us to accept this for the Town of 6 Southampton? 7 MR. FRELENG: Yes, sir. 8 MR. CARACCIOLO: Make a Motion? 9 MS. ROBERTS: Yes. 10 MR. CARACCIOLO: Second? 11 MS. ESPOSITO: Yes. 12 MR. CARACCIOLO: All those in favor? 13 (A show of hands.) 14 MR. FRELENG: The same type of history, 15 the next item, Inter-municipal Agreement with the Town of Islip. 16 17 Islip has asked us to go into a expedited review of the Inter-municipal Agreement based on 18 19 the model that we did send out a couple of years 20 ago. So they had that model. 21 We have provided you with the background on 22 that and the proposed resolution, which we received a resolution from the planning board for 23 the Town of Islip. As well as they have prepared 24 25 for us a review of the resolution which would

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 allow the commission to go into the 3 Inter-municipal Agreement. Again, this is for minor projects. 4 Minor 5 additions of less than 1,500 square feet. There 6 was no change for the use of parking. The change 7 of one permitted use to another where there are no 8 actual changes in any of the design elements. 9 Minor facade improvements, parking improvements, 10 replacement of windows in-kind. Freezer 11 enclosures, fencing, awnings, small signs, and et 12 cetera. That is essentially the bulleted list. 13 If the commission does pass this resolution, 14 then that would be the third Inter-municipal 15 Agreement that we have in effect with the 16 municipalities of Suffolk County. 17 MR. CARACCIOLO: You are creating an 18 efficient government --19 MR. FRELENG: The Commission is, yes. 20 MR. CARACCIOLO: Very good. I think we will have a Motion now. 21 22 Sarah; Second by Linda. 23 All those in favor? 24 MR. ISLES: The next item we have is a little bit different. It is an Open Space 25

2 Acquisition.

1

It is included in your packet. It provides a status report of Open Space Acquisitions that are currently pending in Suffolk County. Some of these may be relatively dormant, but it is a rather complete list.

8 Why are we circulating this? The reason we 9 are circulating this is that this was an issue 10 that came up some years ago in terms of the County Planning Commission at least being informed of the 11 12 property the County is considering in a general sense. On the other hand, it is part of the 13 14 Regulatory Review function. We have to keep that 15 separate.

So it is basically for informational 16 17 purposes for the County Planning Commission members. And here, again, you receive 18 19 applications from time to time on a regulatory 20 review process. Whether they are subject of an 21 Open Space effort to preserve the parcel. It is 22 not something that is material directly to the regulatory review role. 23

This is a procedure that we developed a couple of years ago to let the commission know of

2 what it is pending in a general sense. If you
3 have any questions for that, let me know.

1

The next item is just to bring up the topic of an Orientation Seminar. We've done this over the past two years in either April or May, once a year doing a training session.

8 We do still have two vacancies on the 9 Commission, and the County Executive's office is 10 aware of that, and I think pursuing filling the 11 two vacancies, one in East Hampton and one 12 At-Large.

With that understanding, we would like to seek the schedule for April or May. Probably May. Again, if you have any comments on that, please, let us know. Probably the morning, maybe 9:30, and start the meeting that day.

MS. HOLMES: Is that a May meeting?
MR. ISLES: We are thinking the May
meeting. It will give us time to get something
together and also we may have new members by that
time.

I do have a couple of items that are not listed on the agenda. I did circulate to the members an accounting of attendance with the

2 Commission. This was done individually with the 3 members.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

1

4 I am just bring this to your attention, 5 because the county law does state that you should be attending 75 percent of the meetings in order 6 7 to be considered for reappointment. So what we want to do is give you that information on a 8 regular basis as an update so you can keep track 9 10 of where you are. There are permitted excuses for 11 illness, death in the family, and so forth.

12 The second part of that is related to 13 training. New York State Law does require a 14 minimum of four hours of training per year for 15 your reappointment.

16 We did try to indicate whether you've 17 attended the two courses being held through County 18 Planning and the Planning Federation. Other 19 courses can also qualify for that. It is very 20 broad in terms of the type of training with the 21 county. Here, again, it is to just make you aware 22 of that and not come to you at the last minute on 23 that.

Then there are two other last items. We are working with the subcommittee of the planning

1

2 commission for the guidelines. We appreciate the 3 time and the effort that the subcommittee members 4 put into this. It has been rather thorough and 5 extensive. The staff stands ready to assist, and 6 they would actually like to even dedicate more 7 resources if we have to, to assist in the updated 8 guidelines.

9 I will say that we have some projects and 10 are working out a work plan for the department for 11 this year. We're pretty clear in terms of 12 assisting probably until May or the beginning of June. But ideally, in terms of other work we 13 have, we would like to get as much of that work 14 15 done by springtime as we possibly can. So whatever resources we can lend, we will be happy 16 17 to do so for that process.

18 The last item is we have retained a planner 19 that essentially replaces a position which was 20 occupied by Chris Reid who left that a year ago. 21 I did hire somebody on Monday. That person will 22 be starting later on this month as a junior 23 planner. He will be assisting in regulatory 24 review with Andy's section. That is the good 25 news.

2 The not so good news is that we have also 3 been asked to cut the departmental budget by 4 ten percent. So we are working on that. The 5 total budget includes personnel. We still have a couple of vacant positions, 6 including Mr. Fedelm leaving. So we are working 7 8 on that right now. 9 Unfortunately, with the economy being sort 10 of flat in terms of sales tax growth being flat at this point and rising costs and so fourth, there 11 12 are significant issues that play that we have to 13 deal with. The County Executive will be speaking to this issue tonight at the State of the County 14 15 Message, for which we have included an invitation 16 in your packet as well. 17 MS. HOLMES: Thank you.

18 MR. CARACCIOLO: Moving on to the19 commission of business.

20 Andy, are you going to start us off?
21 MR. FRELENG: Yes, sir.

The first regulatory matter before the Suffolk County Planning Commission today is referred to us from the Town of Riverhead. This is the application to Hamlet Center. The

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

jurisdiction for the commission is that the
subject property is adjacent to New York State
Route 25, Middle Country Road.

5 The applicants are seeking Town Planning 6 Board Site Plan approval for the construction of 7 three mixed-use buildings consisting of a total of 8 30,950 square feet of commercial use on the ground 9 floor and 32,000 square feet of residential use on 10 the second floor. Approximately 257 parking 11 spaces are proposed and are in excess of the Town 12 of Riverhead zoning law requirements.

13 The proposal is two-phased where the first 14 phase is the development of the mixed-use complex. 15 Phase 2 is proposed to be an eight-lot 16 subdivision. An on-site sewage treatment plant is 17 proposed to handle waste water. The subject 18 property is located on the north side of Middle 19 Country Road, which is New York State Route 25, 20 approximately 450 feet west of Fresh Pond Avenue, which is Town Road. 21

A review of the character of the land use and zoning pattern in the vicinity indicates that the subject premise is located within a Hamlet Center zoning designation along Middle Country

2 Road. The subject parcel is also split-zoned,
3 with the rear of the site situated in the RB-40
4 zoning district.

1

5 Other zoning designations in the vicinity 6 are indicative of the land use history in the area 7 as shown by Agriculture Protection Zoning Use 8 District, Defense Institutional District, Light 9 Industrial and Industrial "C". Other more recent 10 zoning designations include, Calverton Office 11 Zoning Use District and the Rural Corridor Zoning 12 Use District.

Agriculture and lands developed and
undeveloped associated with the former Naval
Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant at Calverton are
the predominant land uses in the area.

17 You really can't see it from this air 18 photo, but if you pan back even more, you can see 19 to the south and southwest is the big Grumman 20 facility. The land off the screen to the left even more is also land that are part of the 21 Grumman facility. The open-space lands that 22 23 surround the fence, if you are familiar with the 24 property.

25 Other land uses include a residential

2 subdivision of which some of the lots, including 3 single family residents that are adjacent to the 4 subject site. You can see that along the north 5 back end of the subject property. Some of the vacant land is adjacent to the property to the 6 7 east. As well as a retail and restaurant development complex. Adjacent and to the west 8 9 exists an operating poultry farm.

1

10 We have the subject site, we have the 11 poultry farm. We have J.R. Steakhouse, and back 12 here is the mixed commercial use, antique retail. 13 Access to the proposed use is intended to 14 be from a new two-way curb cut to Middle Country 15 Road approximately center of the subject site. 16 Access into the intended Hamlet Center development 17 is also proposed to be the principal access to the 18 Phase 2 subdivision development. That would be 19 coming straight through to there and access over 20 here.

21 With regard environmental conditions, 22 there are no significant environmental constraints 23 on the subject property, but it should be noted 24 that the property is located in the Central 25 Suffolk Special Groundwater Protection Area.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 Comprehensive Plan Recommendations for 3 this site: The Town of Riverhead Comprehensive 4 Plan recommendation for this site is reflected in 5 the zoning designation.

It is the belief of the staff that the 6 7 application is conditionally approvable, 8 notwithstanding some design elements found to be problematic. It is understood that the 9 10 residential subdivision aspect of the plan is 11 shown for demonstration purposes only. We are not 12 intending to develop that upon approval of this 13 plan.

However, elements of the commercial Mixed-use development site integrated with the subdivision warrant discussion. The principal concern is access to the subdivision. It is not acceptable for various good planning reasons to have the principal access to the subdivision to be through the commercial center.

I can think of any number of reasons why you don't want that. Snow emergency, no access into the subdivision. Holiday weekend, there is a lot of retail and shopping going on. There could be a lot of congestion in the parking lot. The

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 emergency vehicles might have to venture into the 3 subdivision. So there are a number of reasons you 4 would not want to have that principal access.

5 Access to the commercial center and the 6 rear portion of the lot reserved for single-family 7 homes, should be moved to the east or west along 8 the property line and created into a proper 9 street. We are suggesting redesign to this, and 10 bringing access along the property line so you can 11 either take access into the commercial center from 12 the street, that would be created that way. Or 13 through the south you can take the access to the 14 commercial center that way.

With this design, the residential parcel is in some way a "flag lot" with the access "pole" alongside the Hamlet Center. The commercial "Hamlet Center" development can then be accessed yia the combined access roadway from the side-lot line rather than directly from the State right-of-way.

In the alternative, the residentially zoned portion of the parcel can be covenanted against development until such time that an alternate access point can be established.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

So they are showing future access points 2 3 into the subdivision. They can certainly put a covenant or a restriction on the development of 4 5 this parcel of the property until such time as 6 they need access to the adjacent parcel. This would then be either an emergency or secondary 7 8 access or do both, and take access into here, into 9 the subdivision, and have access there. You see 10 that they can rearrange the access.

11 The transition between the proposed Hamlet 12 Center development and the Phase 2 residential 13 portion needs to be better buffered. There should 14 be a minimum of 50 feet of undisturbed vegetation 15 between the property line, in this case the zoning 16 line, of the commercial development and the 17 building envelope of any proposed residential lot.

18 It is not clear that the placement of the 19 sewage treatment system for the Hamlet Center 20 commercial development is appropriate in the RB-40 21 residential zoning district. A variance or some 22 relief from the Town may be necessary for the 23 placement of the system.

Staff believes this should be clarifiedprior to final approval of the site plan at the

local level. Also indicate a proper native
vegetative buffer of a minimum of 50 feet to any
building envelope should be established around the
perimeter of the sewage treatment facility.

1

6 So over here is where they propose to put 7 the sewage treatment facility. The zoning line is 8 here. This is RB-40, and this is Hamlet Center 9 development building zone. So they have the 10 sewage treatment facility in a residential zone. 11 I'm not clear if their local code would allow or 12 if they started making accommodations for that.

13 The three mix-use buildings are proposed to 14 contain 30 rental apartments, ranging in size from 15 865 square feet to 1,500 square feet. It is not 16 clear if there are "affordable" units included by 17 design or if 20 percent have been set aside for 18 affordable/workforce housing purposes in 19 accordance with Suffolk County policy.

20 Such information was lacking in the referral 21 to the Commission. Suffolk County Planning 22 Commission Policy is to set aside 20 percent of 23 all residential units for affordable housing 24 purposes.

25 Residents of mixed use buildings should be

able to anticipate reasonably convenient and
accessible parking. Shared parking arrangements
and traditional parking allocations tend to
breakdown on weekends, special events, and
holidays.

7 It does not appear that accommodations for 8 residents living within the Hamlet Center 9 development have been made. At least 30 10 accessible off-street parking stalls within a 11 convenient distance to residential units should be 12 set aside for resident purposes only.

With respect to the off-street parking lot 13 to the north of the Hamlet Center building, more 14 treatment should be placed on the walkability 15 aspects for visiting patrons. Well-established 16 crosswalks from the lot to the campus of the 17 buildings should be incorporated into the parking 18 lot design. In addition, benches, planters and 19 additional sidewalks or safe walking routes should 20 be established on the east and west sides of the 21 parking lot. 22

Just to recap for a second. We've got 30
residential apartments in this mixed-use
development. We've got the ground-floor

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 commercial, and the apartments are on top. It is
3 not clear whether or not there were any
4 "affordable units" by our policy.

5 Though the units do range in size from small 6 being 865 square feet, there are ten of those. 7 There are 12 units of 950 square feet and eight 8 units of 1,500 square feet. So somewhat of a 9 concern of whether or not they are affordable or 10 not.

11 The staff is recommending an approval with 12 the following conditions: Number one, access to 13 the Hamlet Center development and the future Phase 14 2 subdivision shall be separated.

15 The staff is also recommending, number two, 16 the transition between the proposed Hamlet Center 17 development and the Phase 2 residential portion be 18 better buffered.

19 The third condition for approval; 20 percent 20 of the residential units shall be set aside for 21 affordable housing purposes in accordance with 22 Suffolk County Policy.

The fourth condition, at least 30 accessible off-street parking stalls within a convenient distance to residential units shall be set aside

2 for resident purposes.

3 Staff is recommending that the two comments 4 from the staff report be forwarded to the Town of 5 Riverhead. The first was a comment related to the placement of the sewage treatment plant. It is 6 7 not clear that it is appropriate in the RB-40 There is a need to rectify that and look 8 zone. 9 into it further.

10 The second comment is with respect to the 11 off-street parking lot to the north of the Hamlet 12 Center and crosswalks be added to the site plan.

13 That is the recommendation of the staff and 14 recommendation of approval for conditions and two 15 comments.

MR. CALONE: One thing I want to ask you is whether you would consider --

MR. FRELENG: We looked at it, and the staff saw that some of the units were small and they may be retailed, if you will, certainly at an affordable price. I forget the exact number, but the 800 square-foot unit was certainly small by design. We did not talk to the Town of Riverhead staff about that.

25 However, commission policy is that all the

1

2 developmental residential units have a 20 percent 3 affordability component. So, therefore, the staff 4 is putting that condition on your approval or 5 recommending that you put that condition on your 6 approval. It would be up to the Town of Riverhead 7 to comply on-site or to comply with if they have a bonafide affordable housing program, they could 8 comply by taking money in lieu of. 9

10 MR. CALONE: But as a condition that the 11 Town of Riverhead do that since it is a county 12 policy. We want to make sure it is somewhat 13 addressed.

MR. CARACCIOLO: You're basically saying that it is in there.

MR. FRELENG: It wasn't in the referral material on whether or not they were considering affordable housing approval. Your resolution of approval would include a condition in the affordable housing.

MS. HOLMES: I tend to agree. That if we do a conditional approval, and the condition is not only that they spell out affordable housing or create affordable housing, but also they were unclear about the location of the sewage treatment

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 plant. I would very strongly feel that this is 3 incomplete. Because if we send it back with 4 conditional approval and the Riverhead Planning 5 Board decides to overrule us, they can't ignore 6 these important conditions.

I am also very sorry that Commissioner
Goodale is not here, because he is very familiar
with this property. He chaired a very intensive
Planning Committee for the Grumman property,
which, as you pointed out, is just adjacent. And
I sat on that committee with him.

I would say that it is incomplete and he may know a great deal more about what the Riverhead Planning Board may do. I just feel that these are two very important points in that they do need to spell these things out for us.

18 MR. FRELENG: The Suffolk County Planning 19 Commission cannot force the Town of Riverhead to 20 do affordable housing. The Suffolk County 21 Planning Commission, as they get a site in, can 22 put a condition on it.

If the Town of Riverhead feels that affordable housing is not appropriate, they must override the commission with findings and explain

why, on this particular site, that affordable
housing is not appropriate, and then those
findings have to withstand the test.

1

MS. HOLMES: If we say that it is 5 incomplete and say we wish to address the issue of 6 affordable housing, and to let us know their 7 intent for this future residential development, 8 and that they address the issue of the location of 9 the sewage treatment plant and determine whether 10 11 it is in compliance with regulations. I think those are two really very important reasons why it 12 is incomplete, and let them present us with 13 additional data. 14

MR. FRELENG: I understand your comments. MR. FIORE: The approval of the following conditions, and it does address the issue with the 20 percent, I believe that condition that you put in there are addressing all the needs. I agree with you about going back to the Town of Riverhead.

22 So, I mean, I think it is fine the way it 23 is, and I ask for the Commissioner to approve this 24 and I make a Motion at this time.

25 MR. CARACCIOLO: Any other comments?

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

MR. KONTOKOSTA: Two things. One, I 2 think this highlights some of the issues that we 3 are grappling with in regards to the guidelines. 4 This is an as-of-right application to apply the 5 20 percent criteria on this project as opposed to 6 the one we may not see right next door. It seems 7 that there might be some issues there. 8 But based on the previous history, I think 9 the affordable-by-design is not necessarily 10 sufficient. There are a lot of things that can 11 change the price. The size of the unit and the 12 development that will go on into this area. 13 Second point, with respect to the 14 subdivision that it is part of the same lot. A 15 legal lot I assume. Is this condition applicable 16 to the subdivision as well? Would that be how it 17 is construed or is it more narrowly --18 The application for MR. FRELENG: 19 Commission is for the site plan approval for a 20 commercial center. So the conditions would relate 21 to the commercial center development at the time 2.2 that they provide a subdivision referral to the 23 The staff would recommend the same 20 Commission. 24 percent requirement on the subdivision. 25

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 MR. PRUITT: For demonstration purposes 3 only, the subdivision, would that be coming back 4 for the Review Commission as well? MR. FRELENG: That should come back. 5 6 MR. CARACCIOLO: Anyone else? 7 MS. ESPOSITO: I think I need clarity. You said that the sewage treatment plant would be 8 9 for the commercial section only? 10 MR. FRELENG: At this time. 11 MS. ESPOSITO: Then what happens with the 30 apartments in the other section? 12 MR. FRELENG: The staff meant to indicate 13 that it is for the mixed-use development in the 14 15 apartments and the commercial use aspect. I 16 apologize if you thought I was separating it. 17 MS. ESPOSITO: I thought you just 18 meant --MR. FRELENG: It is for the development 19 20 of the Hamlet Development Center. 21 MS. ESPOSITO: And there is no recharge on site that has been submitted. There is no 22 recharge basin. 23 MR. FRELENG: We have to keep in mind 24 25 that they will approve the sewage treatment plant.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 This particular site, I would guess -- I would 3 imagine that there is a point-source recharge on 4 site. That it is going to drain on site. If that 5 is your question, yes, there is a recharge on the 6 site for the waste water.

7 MS. ESPOSITO: Also keep in mind that it 8 is a special ground-water protection area. And a 9 special ground-water protection plan does 10 stipulate no new sewage treatment plans in 11 S.G.P.A. One of the goals of the planning 12 commission is to comply with these plans. This 13 would be out of compliance with the S.G.P.A. plan 14 which the county signed and adopted.

15 MR. ISLES: That is a recommendation of 16 the plan. It is not a requirement.

17 MS. ESPOSITO: How does the zoning classification work? Does it specify housing 18 19 units can be substituted for commercial 20 development? How do you allocate those numbers? 21 MR. FRELENG: The allocation of the units, the code permits residential apartments 22 23 only above commercial space. So they cannot 24 separate. If they want to do apartments, they 25 have to develop the commercial space.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 MR. ISLES: Just in general, in terms of 3 the question that the commission is considering in 4 terms of complete or incomplete due to the lack of 5 the information on affordable housing on this 6 location. But by way of past practice by both the 7 state laws and county laws speaks a full statement 8 of the facts submitted by the municipalities.

9 So in this case, it is required that 10 anything that they have, we must have. If they 11 have not yet, I'm not sure we can compel them to 12 do so.

To my knowledge in the past, we have not deemed the application incomplete due to the lack of information for affordable housing. I just share that information to you.

The other point I will make: As a planner 17 for 20 years in my town, what I always found most 18 helpful is getting the comments back from the 19 Commission while the planning is still going on. 20 MR. CARACCIOLO: Any other comments? 21 I want you to keep in mind that we are 22 accepting, and with this approval of the 23 application, I want to be sure that we do want 24 these comments to go back. 25

6

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 Motion is in order?

All those in favor of approval of the staff report; 11. All those in disapproval of the staff report; one. Any abstains? No.

The Motion carries.

7 MR. FRELENG: The next matter before the 8 Commission is referred to us from the Town of 9 Huntington. This is the subdivision application 10 of Brian Plat. The jurisdiction for the 11 Commission is that the application is within 500 12 feet of the Town of Smithtown municipal boundary 13 line.

14 The applicant proposes to subdivide a 4.41 15 acre parcel into two oversized lots, while 16 preserving the two existing dwellings on Lot 1, 17 and constructing one additional dwelling on Lot 2.

18 The subject property is located on the west 19 side of Bread & Cheese Hollow Road, opposite and 20 north of Bryan Meadow Road, which is a cul-de-sac, 21 in Fort Salonga. The property is surrounded by 22 low density residential development.

Bread and Cheese Hollow Road is a two-lane town road and straddles the municipalities of the townships of Huntington and Smithtown. Directly
SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 to the south, the property is bordered by 2 privately held vacant residential land. 3 4 Access is proposed to be from one existing driveway and a second proposed driveway and a new 5 curb cut onto Bread & Cheese Hollow Road. 6 7 It is hard to see on the plan up there. You have the existing access drive up here and a 8 proposed second access up here. 9 MS. HOLMES: Do you have a spare copy? 10 MR. FRELENG: It was e-mailed to you. 11 12 (Handing.) With regard to the environmental conditions 13 on this site -- the only significant environmental 14 issue is steep slopes. So we should keep that in 15 16 mind. The 1993 Town of Huntington Comprehensive 17 Plan recommends low density residential 18 development for this area. This proposal conforms 19 to that recommendation. 20 The Town of Huntington amended their code 21 and subdivision requirements in 2006 regarding 22 23 development on "Hillside Areas", whereas parcels containing average slopes of 25 percent or greater 24 require a minimum lot area of two acres. This 25

2 proposal conforms to that requirement.

1

As indicated before, the site does contain 3 steep slopes, 25 percent or greater. There was no 4 slope analysis submitted because it was not 5 necessary. The two lots were in conformance and 6 do meet the largest minimum lot area requirement 7 under the town's hillside area development 8 ordinance. So there are no extraordinary local 9 requirements for the slope analysis. 10

Lot 2 proposes to take access via an easement over Lot 1 to avoid a straight, steeply sloped driveway onto Bread & Cheese Hollow Road, but in exchange will have to clear and re-grade a larger area leading to a loss of native vegetation and possible excessive soil erosion and surface water runoff onto Bread & Cheese Hollow Road.

So the real issue of this subdivision is how 18 do you get access to the lot? The lot is in 19 conformance with the slope ordinance. There is 20 reasonable grading that would have to go on. The 21 access though, in order to prevent access coming 22 from straight in off of Bread & Cheese Hollow 23 Road, they decided to work with the sloping --24 25 which is typical --

1

2 What staff would think though is that all of this cutting and clearing is not necessary. You 3 can bring the common driveway in like this. 4 You can make a common point of access with a small 5 easement here, and then the continued access into 6 7 the driveway. You may get a better angle here. Staff is recommending approval subject to 8 the following two conditions: The first condition 9 being that an easement shall be created to provide 10 for one common driveway for both lots to access 11 12 Bread & Cheese Hollow Road at the point of the existing curb cut. The second condition is that 13 14 the subject property shall be considered to be fully yielded and is not to be further subdivided. 15 That is a recommendation to the staff. 16 MR. CARACCIOLO: Thank you, Andy. Any 17 questions or comments? 18 19 Motion is in order? MS. HOLMES: I'll move to adopt that the 20 staff report the two conditions. 21 MR. CARACCIOLO: Thank you, Linda. 22 Second; Charla Bolton. 23 All those in favor? Opposed? 24 Motion carries. 25

1

2 MR. ISLES: Next item on the agenda, a 3 new representative from the Department of Law who is joining us. Linda Spahr. She has many years 4 5 in the district attorney's office, and the Environmental Crime Scene in the D.A.'s office, 6 7 and as well as the Deputy Regional Director of the 8 New York State Department on Long Island. She 9 will be the Commissions' counsel from this point forward. 10 11 MR. CARACCIOLO: Welcome. 12 On to organizational items. Did anyone have an opportunity to look at the scheduled meetings? 13 14 MR. BRAUN: We have Saturday, March 8th 15 on the schedule. 16 MR. CARACCIOLO: Let's make that change to March 5th. 17 18 MR. FRELENG: The staff just wants to 19 point out that the Riverhead meetings, we cannot 20 hold them in the legislative auditorium as of yet. 21 It is still under construction. 22 It is proposed that we hold them at the Long Island Horticulture Research and Extension Center 23 24 on 3059 Sound Avenue, Riverhead. However, if there is any other suggestions of where you would 25

2 like to meet --

1

MR. CARACCIOLO: Can I have a Motion to 3 accept the schedule, please? Barbara Roberts. 4 Second? All those in favor? 5 Motion carries. 6 On the By Laws. Has everyone had an 7 opportunity to look at the By Laws? 8 MS. ESPOSITO: Can I make a comment? 9 MR. CARACCIOLO: Please. 10 MR. BRAUN: I have three different 11 versions. 12 MS. ESPOSITO: Couple of quick comments. 13 Item two says that the Commission shall hold 12 14 regular meetings annually. We may want to 15 consider changing that to a minimum of 11. We 16 only had 11. 17 The reason I say that is also because of 18 item number seven, where if we have absence of 19 quorum, it says the meeting will be canceled or 20 rescheduled. Those two could be in conflict of 21 each other. 2.2 I remember one year we did not have a 23 meeting in August. So we had 11 meetings and not 24 25 12.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 MR. CARACCIOLO: I don't recall that. 2 MR. BRAUN: As an alternative to that, 3 why don't we say that the commission will schedule 4 12 meetings. 5 MS. ESPOSITO: I don't recall August. 6 MR. CARACCIOLO: We might not have had it 7 because we did not have a quorum. 8 MS. HOLMES: Let's use the word 9 "schedule". 10 MS. ESPOSITO: If we use the word 11 "schedule," it doesn't hold us to it. 12 MR. CARACCIOLO: Strike "hold" and put 13 "schedule". 14 MS. ESPOSITO: And my last comment --15 MR. PRUITT: There is nothing in law that 16 requires that we hold a meeting. 17 MR. CARACCIOLO: We have to work within 18 the timetable which is 45 days. Right, Andy? 19 MR. FRELENG: That is correct. 20 MS. ESPOSITO: I don't know if we need 21 this, but I do recall some confusion and we asked 22 for a legal clarification of what constitutes a 23 pass or fail of a vote. Was it a majority of a 24 quorum or was it a majority of those present. I 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 was hoping we could have that clarified in these 2 rules so that we don't need to keep seeking that. 3 Even if we are not here four years from now or 4 five years from now. 5 MS. HOLMES: Item six addresses what a 6 It's a quorum of a total membership guorum is. 7 and we should have mailed Jim Morgo this morning 8 to say, "When are you going to make those last two 9 appointments?" A total of the number of 10 commission seats instead of a number of 11 commissioners who are with us. 12 MS. ESPOSITO: But my question was -- not 13 even a question. I just wanted a point of 14 clarification with the rules. If we could spell 15 out what constitutes passing a vote. 16 A quorum majority of the total membership of 17 the planning commission constitutes a quorum 18 thereof, and I think even if there is just another 19 sentence, "All votes must include total Quorum". 20 MS. HOLMES: We said of the total of 15 21 seats of the planning commission? 22 MS. ROBERTS: Yes. 23 MS. HOLMES: The statute does. But our 24 own rules we would like to clarify it so that --25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 MR. FRELENG: Just use the language 2 3 from the Bill. MS. HOLMES: What we need is to spell out 4 the total membership. Meaning the total seats. 5 We keep thinking it's the total membership of the 6 number of commissioners who are appointed. 7 MR. CARACCIOLO: You're right. Put that 8 line in to make Linda happy. Add that line --9 MS. HOLMES: The total membership of 15 10 seats. 11 MR. CARACCIOLO: All right. Thank you 12 for writing everything down. 13 MR. MCADAM: We will do that change, 14 Linda. 15 MS. HOLMES: There is one that I thought 16 of, and I don't know if -- it was not really 17 addressed. 18 When we take a vote, we do approval, 19 disapproval, and abstentions. And I would like to 20 see us have our own internal policy, that if a 21 vote is coming up and a commissioner plans to 22 abstain, that that commissioner say that. 23 We had a situation last month where we were 24 having a very important vote, because it was in a 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 2 matter under litigation and the staff recommended that we refer it back to the Town of Southampton. 3 And because of the fact that one commissioner 4 5 member abstained after we had done our approval 6 and disapproval, the vote failed because there was 7 not enough approval votes. 8 I for one would have voted for approval if I knew that somebody was going to abstain and, 9 therefore, the motion would not have passed. 10 MR. CARACCIOLO: What you're asking is to 11 12 have us state if we are going to abstain prior to the vote? 13 14 MS. HOLMES: Yes. MR. BRAUN: What happens to a vote that 15 is abstained? Does it add to the majority or is 16 it just iqnored. 17 MS. ESPOSITO: The By-Laws require a pass 18 19 or fail. MS. HOLMES: I'm not familiar with the By-20 As a general rule, if the By-Laws require 21 Laws. an affirmative vote, than the counseling --22 becomes an affirmative vote. 23 MR. CARACCIOLO: And the extension is 24 ignored. 25

1

2 MS. HOLMES: Except that we do not have a 3 full commission seated, sometimes, and this happened last month, because we only had some of 4 5 our members present, the number of voting of 6 approval together with the two that disapproved 7 and the one afterwards abstained, then the 8 approval vote did not carry and we were not able 9 to approve the staff recommendation to return the 10 matter for local jurisdiction. Which was extremely important to us, because it was part of 11 12 a litigation. MR. CARACCIOLO: What you are asking is 13 14 to have an extension prior to the vote? 15 MS. HOLMES: No, I'm not. I'm asking if a member is planning to abstain when we are ready 16 17 to take a vote, that that member say so. We don't want that vote to fail. 18 MR. CARACCIOLO: If the vote failed and 19 this commission feels strongly enough if they want 20 21 to reopen that vote, you can make a Motion --22 MS. HOLMES: I asked to reopen it and --I voted against it and I wanted to revote. 23 MR. CARACCIOLO: You could have done 24 25 that, but you did not have a Second on that.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 2 Let's just address what is on the table. And I think Linda's comment -- I think your 3 4 question is presented in Robert's Rules. MS. HOLMES: Yes, but it would have been 5 easier for us. And I didn't even notice that all 6 7 of us were not voting until the one Commissioner said, "I'm going to abstain." I didn't realize 8 9 that a commissioner had not voted. MR. CARACCIOLO: I want to hear the whole 10 11 application. MS. HOLMES: When you are calling for the 12 vote, if someone had already made up their mind 13 that they are going to abstain. Then if they 14 would say so, it would help the rest of us. 15 MR. CARACCIOLO: That could be a job for 16 the new chairman. He could ask for abstentions 17 first. Say: Are there any abstentions? All 18 those approve? All those disapprove. That should 19 be a discretionary voting procedure. 20 MR. FIORE: I disagree. I think the 21 voting has to go: All in favor? All against? 22 23 All abstentions. I just don't see how you can do It should be each person here. Each 24 that. commissioners' right to vote the way he or she 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 feels that they should vote. 2 3 If it is an abstention vote, so be it. But to have the abstention first, I think would 4 cloud the issue of the positive and negative vote. 5 I can't go along with that. 6 7 MR. CARACCIOLO: It is not going to be a 8 rule. And I think that you have the ability in Robert's Rules to reopen. And you can reopen and 9 10 you can do that. MS. HOLMES: We moved too fast last time 11 because now I found out that someone else 12 abstained to it. 13 MS. ROBERTS: Today we allowed 14 15 conversation after someone made a Motion. At the last meeting we said that it could not happen. 16 Ι want to understand what is the Rules of Order. 17 Ιf you make a motion, what happens? 18 MR. CARACCIOLO: Let me address that. 19 That was my fault. I agree with you 100 percent, 20 21 that we have to have all our comments done prior 22 to making a motion. It is hard in the horseshoe. 23 That was my fault. MS. ROBERTS: I want to make sure all 24 comments are out. The thing that happened before, 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 I felt snowballed a little at the last meeting 2 because all comments were not out. 3 MS. LANSDALE: Are you still accepting 4 comments on the meeting? 5 MR. CARACCIOLO: Yes, we are still 6 7 accepting comments. MS. LANSDALE: I would like to say that 8 on point eight on the meeting, is it possible to 9 include the Notice of the meeting on the county's 10 website? 11 MS. HOLMES: Yes, that would be great. 12 MS. LANSDALE: And possibly the agenda of 13 the meeting? 14 MR. CARACCIOLO: Sure. 15 MR. FRELENG: I just ask that you keep in 16 mind that the agenda is not a formal agenda. It 17 is a Notice of a meeting and tentative agenda. 18 MR. CARACCIOLO: Any comments on the 19 20 rules? MR. PRUITT: The question I have on point 21 17, I don't know whether this text came in for the 22 year 2000. 23 MS. HOLMES: I can clarify that. 24 Last year there was a recommendation by a 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 couple of commissioners that we consider having 2 term limits. And we were told that the county 3 legislature would have to pass a resolution, 4 setting term limits for this commission. 5 So because we brought it up and because we 6 now informally are instituting term limits by our 7 own decision of the sitting officers, the 8 commission -- the nominating committee could 9 recommend next year that we would be recommending 10 to the legislature that they consider setting term 11 12 limits. MR. CARACCIOLO: I think that the wording 13 is a little loose there, and we want to have the 14 nominating committee explore that option. 15 MS. HOLMES: And perhaps discuss it with 16 some of our legislators and what not. 17 MR. PRUITT: Why is that in the rules for 18 government --19 MR. CARACCIOLO: We wanted to create a 20 nominating committee. And we did that last year 21 for the first time as well. 22 MR. PRUITT: I agree with the nominating 23 committee. And I think because the By-Laws are 24 set and the Rules are set, we are electing 25

2 officers every year.

MS. HOLMES: It's because we just set up 3 a nominating committee. We are memorializing it 4 by having it as one of our internal rules. We 5 will now have a nominating committee forever, we 6 7 hope. MR. CARACCIOLO: And I understand your 8 point and I made that point myself last year. 9 MR. PRUITT: The term is one year. 10 MS. HOLMES: It was really to memorialize 11 having a nominating committee. And to spell out 12 13 what --MR. CARACCIOLO: I felt that way. Don't 14 force me for two years. 15 16 MR. FRELENG: Staff would recommend then that since that was last year's recommendation, if 17 you don't want to carry that forward, then 18 you drop that from these rules of proceedings --19 MS. HOLMES: No, I don't want to drop it, 20 but we do want to explore it. Because it would 21 require an act of the legislature. 22 23 MR. FRELENG: I understand. That charge was last years charge. So if you want to keep 24 exploring it, then you keep it in your Rules of 25

2 Proceedings.

1

MS. HOLMES: "Explore" is a good word. 3 MR. BROWN: When you say "Officers," do 4 you mean the chairman, and vice chairman, and 5 6 secretary, but not the commissioners? MS. HOLMES: Yes. 7 MR. CARACCIOLO: And the commissioners 8 have a term. 9 MS. HOLMES: Set by the legislature. 10 MR. BROWN: But not term limits. 11 MR. CARACCIOLO: They are term limits. 12 MS. HOLMES: You have to go before the 13 Environment Committee again, and you have to be 14 15 appointed again. MS. ROBERTS: This is very minor, but the 16 suggestion is to pull out the last sentence in 17. 17 Maybe it should read, "Other committees" and not 18 "Nominating committees"? 19 20 MR. CARACCIOLO: Do you have that, Dan? MR. GULIZIO: Yes. 21 MR. CARACCIOLO: Take the last sentence 22 of 17 and just make that point 18. 23 Sarah? 24 MS. LANSDALE: Point 14, do we need to 25

1

update that in light of the earlier meeting, that 2 3 Inter-Municipal Agreements that were executed between the county and the towns --4 MR. FRELENG: The revised guidelines will 5 have such expedited Inter-municipal Agreements. 6 As long as we say it is in accordance with our 7 8 quidelines, then that would cover it. The issue here is that the only adopted subdivision 9 quideline by extension of policy, the Commission 10 uses those guidelines for zoning actions. So when 11 we do adopt the guidelines, we have to amend this 12 13 14. MR. CARACCIOLO: Any other comments? 14 MR. PRUITT: I'm still on point 17. I 15 would like to make a Motion that we delete the 16 17 section regarding term limits --MS. HOLMES: Can't we explore it? 18 MR. PRUITT: Remove it. 19 MR. CARACCIOLO: Say that one more time? 20 MR. PRUITT: The sentence says: The year 21 2008, the Nominating Committee shall also explore 22 and make recommendations on terms limited for 23 officers of the planning committee. I would like 24 25 that removed.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 MR. CARACCIOLO: I'm going to take a 2 3 two-minute recess. (WHEREUPON, a break was taken.) 4 MR. CARACCIOLO: Back on the record. 5 6 Commissioner Pruitt, just explain your 7 reasoning for that. MR. PRUITT: I just don't believe we 8 should be enforcing term limits. My objection is 9 that if we have a chairman, or vice chairman, or 10 secretary, that if this commission wants to 11 reelect for a multiple term, we should be able to 12 13 do that. MR. CARACCIOLO: I understand your point. 14 I think one of the things that the County 15 Executive did that made this Board so great, is 16 that he put limits and terms and he rotated it. I 17 think that is a really good choice. Prior boards 18 had a chairman here that was here for years and 19 years and that didn't change. 20 I do also understand that we do vote every 21 year. Voting every year is your opportunity for a 22 term limit. Our term limits are set for a year. 23 MS. HOLMES: May I comment. We had a 24 25 rather long and torturous process on this. What

1

the County Executive did, which I think John is 2 referring to; number one, he said, "Nobody is 3 sitting on any town, board, or even a political 4 party officership -- no board, no zoning, no 5 planning, no town, no political could sit on this 6 Planning Commission. That knocked out a lot of 7 people that had overlapping interest shall we say. 8 So he did that and reconstituted this Commission. 9

He also said he wanted diversity and he wanted someone representing the Union, somebody in Development. He made a lot of criteria that gave a diversity to us. But within our internal rules, which is what this is addressing, in our internal rules, we never ever before this year had a nominating committee.

If you look on the posted minutes on the 17 county's website, you can only go back ten years, 18 but you can look at the organizational meeting 19 every year. People would be sitting as chairman, 20 vice chairman, and secretary. It would be a 21 little dance, "I don't want to be reelected," and 22 the person would say, "Yes." Sometimes a 23 commissioner would say, "What is this for life?" 24 And the vice chairman would laugh and say, "Yes, I 25

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 hope it's for life." But it gave no one else an 2 opportunity. 3 What we are trying to do is give people an 4 opportunity. We are not enforcing -- whether a 5 nominating committee might recommend to the 6 Legislature that they set term limits for our 7 officers as well as term limits for the members, 8

9 that might be a stretch.

10 MR. CARACCIOLO: Do we have to debate 11 this anymore?

MS. ROBERTS: I feel more comfortable 12 about possibly taking that sentence out if I had a 13 better idea of how a nominating committee is 14 selected. We started possibly a tradition now 15 that the officers become the nominating committee. 16 So if that was going to be our tradition going 17 further, I would have more concern about the term 18 I personally would like to see the limits. 19 sentence there, because I'm not sure of the 20 process of how we are going to be selecting the 21 nominating committee. 22

23 MR. CARACCIOLO: I think that is up to 24 the next chair. If he can make that selection and 25 have people confront him on it.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 MS. ESPOSITO: If we change the verbiage 2 in that sentence to simply say "May" instead of 3 "Shall," does that meet the needs --4 MR. CARACCIOLO: The word "Explore" is in 5 I was comfortable with the word "Explore." 6 there. It is up to you Ed? 7 MR. PRUITT: I will accept that change. 8 MR. CARACCIOLO: Any other suggestions on 9 the quidelines? 10 MR. MCADAM: I have a question on item 11 I remember at least one occasion that we had 13. 12 a speaker that handed out information. I'm not 13 sure how that would fit into this. 14 MR. CARACCIOLO: Great point, and we 15 always debated that. When a speaker just comes 16 up, a member of the public, they just fill out a 17 card or just wants to hand us something, is that 18 something that we should accept or is that 19 something that we shouldn't accept. That is a 20 vary good point. 21 MR. ISLES: It used to be a public 22 process. 23 MR. FRELENG: Any time we receive the 24 correspondence directly from an applicant, we 25

1

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 require the applicant to notify the town and that 3 the town acknowledge that the transmittal had been 4 sent to us. When it is submitted at a public 5 hearing, you can take it for what it's worth. But 6 it is not an official submittal until we get 7 acknowledgment from the locality that it had been 8 submitted to.

9 MR. CARACCIOLO: Is it a policy that we 10 not accept that from the public when they come up? 11 Should that be part of our guideline? When 12 someone is standing up here to speak and starts 13 handing this stuff to me --

14 MR. ISLES: I don't think we cannot 15 accept it. We do have go through the referring 16 agency for validation of the material.

MR. FRELENG: When I get a request, if I know in advance that there are requests to speak to the commission, I advise them that anything that they want to submit to the commission has to also be submitted to the town. And the town has to acknowledge the submittal.

23 MR. ISLES: Good point.

24 MR. BRAUN: In other words, if somebody 25 comes here to talk about trumpets again and they

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION are against it and they want to hand us something, 2 3 when is their opportunity to submit that to the town? 4 MR. FRELENG: At the town's local 5 6 process. 7 MR. BRAUN: Somebody may not have participated in that and want to come here. 8 MR. FRELENG: And if they submit 9 information to the commission, we require them to 10 submit that to the town and the town has to 11 acknowledge that it was submitted as part of their 12 13 record. MR. CARACCIOLO: If someone came tomorrow 14 and wanted to talk about the trumpet again and 15 wanted to hand us something --16 MR. FRELENG: Well, in the first 17 instance, it would be irrelevant. We've already 18 In the second instance, we would have them 19 acted. send that information to the Town of Southampton 20 and Southampton would send us an acknowledgment. 21 It's very awkward, because as Tom indicated, 22 the commission did not deal with the public. Now 23 we have to deal with the public, and we also have 24 real procedural issues on when we can stop 25

2 accepting information.

1

3 The problem is when you are dealing with the public, you are pulled down into the local process 4 and the Suffolk County Planning Commission is not 5 6 a local process. The Suffolk County Planning Commission oversees the 42 municipalities and 7 8 makes sure that they are doing what they are 9 supposed to be doing in accordance with their 10 Code. MR. CARACCIOLO: Any other questions or 11 comments on the Rules? No? Great. 12 13 I need a Motion to accept the Rules? Thank you, Robert. Second? Linda. All those in favor? 14 15 Opposed? Any abstentions? MS. HOLMES: We will all get copies of 16 17 the amended? 18 MR. CARACCIOLO: Yes. Before we get onto the -- The Nominating 19 Committee had a meeting, and we have a selection 20 of three officers for you. Before we make that 21 selection to you, I want to just tell you that it 22 has been my pleasure to serve as the Chairman for 23 the past couple of years. I think the leader is 24 25 only as strong as the people that he has around

1

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2 them. I was blessed to have such a strong 3 membership and such strong staff. You guys made me look very, very good. I appreciate it very 4 5 much, and I appreciate the support. 6 The Nominating Committee has slated three 7 candidates for your consideration. For Chairman, we have David Calone from the Town of Babylon, for 8 Vice Chairman, we have Edward Pruitt, and for 9 10 Secretary, Adrienne Esposito. 11 MS. HOLMES: We were wondering whether 12 the chair of the nominating committee, who also 13 happens to be the chairman of the commission, 14 under Robert's Rules of Order, could conduct the 15 election. 16 MR. CARACCIOLO: It's one candidate for each. It is unanimous. 17 Are there any other nominations from the 18 floor? 19 20 The nomination portion is closed. And now, since it's one candidate for each, 21 22 the secretary -- the secretary cast one vote. 23 Dave Calone for Chairman. 24 MS. BOLTON: This is just for Dave? 25 MR. CARACCIOLO: Yes.

1 SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 2 MS. BOLTON: I move that the nomination 3 be closed with respect to Dave, and I cast one vote. 4 5 MR. CARACCIOLO: For Vice Chairman, Ed 6 Pruitt, the nomination has been closed. Can you cast one vote, please. 7 8 MS. BOLTON: Likewise, I cast one vote for Ed Pruitt. 9 10 MR. CARACCIOLO: For Secretary, the 11 nomination is Adrienne Esposito, and it has been closed. Can you cast one vote, please? 12 13 MS. BOLTON: I cast one vote for Adrienne 14 Esposito. 15 MR. CARACCIOLO: I wish my incoming 16 officers good luck. 17 MR. ISLES: Onto the Commissioners' 18 Roundtable. MS. BOLTON: This is a little 19 20 self-serving, but this week I'm going to be 21 receiving two Historical Preservation Awards. One given by Bellport/Brookhaven which is a regional 22 23 preservation award. With it comes a check in the 24 matter of \$1,000. So I am so rewarded. 25 And the Society for the Preservation of Long

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION 1 2 Island Antiquities, their board voted to give me 3 the award called the Howard C. Sherwood Award. Τt is a Lifetime Achievement and Preservation Award. 4 So I feel humbled by both. 5 6 MR. CARACCIOLO: Congratulations. 7 Robert? I have nothing to report. 8 MR. BRAUN: 9 MS. ROBERTS: I want to thank John and 10 Charla for their extraordinary leadership and all 11 the extra time they have given to us. 12 MR. FIORE: Nothing to report. 13 MS. HOLMES: Nothing as great as that, 14 but for the one and only time in my life, one of 15 my neighbor's took a half-page ad out in the 16 Shelter Island Reporter: 17 To Linda Holmes, thank you for speaking up for those who have no voice. She was talking 18 19 about the deer population. 20 Somebody with a nuisance permit slaughtered 21 nearly all the deer on Shelter -- slaughtered all 22 the deer on my end of Shelter Island. I brought 23 this rather forcibly to the town board's 24 attention. And as of last Monday, they canceled all the nuisance permits. 25

1

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

So the few deer that are still living will 2 3 remain living. It is an issue that has been very overlooked, and it factors into the Four Poster 4 Program, which we all worked so hard in getting 5 county and state funding, and private funding to 6 have an experimental program in controlling the 7 deer ticks on the deer. 8 9 Now someone from Cornell is coming next week to start counting the deer, and we are very afraid 10 11 that they are going to say there are not enough deer left on Shelter Island to do the Four Poster 12 13 Program. So, oh dear. MR. CARACCIOLO: Thank you, Linda. 14 15 Congratulations. 16 David? MR. CALONE: Thank you, John, for having 17 nominated me. 18 First of all, I want to echo what Barbara 19 said, I want to thank John and Charla ask that you 20 21 join me in thanking them. I look forward to working with all of you. 22 23 I have nothing to report. MS. ESPOSITO: I have nothing to report. 24 MR. PRUITT: I am honored to serve in the 25

1	SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
2	Commission. I know Linda Holmes, you did a
3	fantastic job during your term, but there is no
4	way that I'm going through the minutes as thorough
5	as Ms. Holmes did.
6	MR. CARACCIOLO: I think we will keep
7	Linda as our official editor.
8	MR. KONTOKOSTA: I have nothing to
9	report.
10	MR. MCADAM: Nothing to the report.
11	MR. GULIZIO: Nothing to report.
12	MS. SPAHR: Nothing to report.
13	MR. CARACCIOLO: Motion to close the
14	meeting? Ed Pruitt. Second? Don Fiore.
15	Thank you.
16	(Time noted: 2:00 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION

I, KIM MOONEY, Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the within transcript was prepared by me and is a true and accurate record of this hearing, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of February, 2008.

Kim Moonly KIM MOONEY