SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

c/o Suffolk County Planning Department 100 Veterans Memorial Highway, PO Box 6100, Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099 T: (631) 853-5192 F: (631) 853-4044 Thomas A. Isles, Director of Planning

NOTICE OF MEETING

DATE: November 4, 2009

TIME: 12:00 P.M.

LOCATION: Vail Leavitt Theater, 18 Peconic Avenue in Riverhead

Take the Long Island Expressway (LIE) to exit 71, bottom of the ramp go right. Go approximately 4 miles to the traffic circle in Riverhead, take Peconic Avenue off the circle and cross the river. The parking lot is on the right. The parking lot entrance has a green awning and pink ramp. Enter in the EXIT

door.

The Tentative Agenda Includes:

- 1. Adoption of minutes for August 5, 2009
- 2. Public Portion
- 3. Chairman's report
- 4. Director's report
- 5. Guest Speakers
 - Hon. Philip J. Cardinale, Supervisor, Town of Riverhead
 - Tom Neely Public Transportation and Traffic Safety Director, Town of Southampton
- 6. Section A14-14 thru A14-23 & A14-25 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code

•	Comprehensive Master Plan	Village Wide	Village of Lloyd Harbor
•	Water's Edge	0206-01100-0600-018000 et al.	Village of Port Jefferson
•	Intro. Law #26 of 2009	Town Wide	Town of Brookhaven
•	Westhampton Senior Living	0900-33200-0200-001000 et al.	Town of Southampton
•	1545 Route 25 Project	0200-48800-0400-004000	Town of Brookhaven

- 7. Section A14-24 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code
 - Fairfield at Rocky Point 0200-07600-0600-007000 Town of Brookhaven
- 8. Discussion
 - Suffolk County Comprehensive Plan
- 9. Other Business
 - Nominating Committee

NOTE: The $\frac{next}{next}$ meeting of the SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION will be held on WEDNESDAY, $\frac{next}{next}$ in the Legislative Auditorium in Hauppauge.

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

c/o Suffolk County Planning Department

100 Veterans Memorial Highway, PO Box 6100, Hauppauge, NY 11788-0099 T: (631) 853-5192 F: (631) 853-4044 Thomas A. Isles, Director of Planning

AGENDA

- 1. Adoption of minutes for August 5, 2009
- 2. Public Portion
- 3. Chairman's report
- 4. Director's report
- 5. Guest Speakers
 - Hon. Philip J. Cardinale, Supervisor, Town of Riverhead
 - Tom Neely Public Transportation and Traffic Safety Director, Town of Southampton
 - Hon. Leland Hairr, President, Suffolk County Village Officials Association
- 6. Section A14-14 thru A14-23 & A14-25 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code

mprehensive Master Plan	Village Wide	Village of Lloyd Harbor
iter's Edge	0206-01100-0600-018000 et al.	Village of Port Jefferson
o. Law #26 of 2009	Town Wide	Town of Brookhaven
esthampton Senior Living	0900-33200-0200-001000 et al.	Town of Southampton
45 Route 25 Project	0200-48800-0400-004000	Town of Brookhaven
	o. Law #26 of 2009 sthampton Senior Living	o. Law #26 of 2009 Town Wide sthampton Senior Living 0900-33200-0200-001000 et al.

- 7. Section A14-24 of the Suffolk County Administrative Code
 - Fairfield at Rocky Point 0200-07600-0600-007000 Town of Brookhaven
- 8. Discussion
 - Suffolk County Comprehensive Plan
- 9. Other Business
 - Nominating Committee

NOTE: The $\frac{next}{next}$ meeting of the SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION will be held on WEDNESDAY, $\frac{next}{next}$ in the Legislative Auditorium in Hauppauge.

1	
2	CHEEOLIK COUNTY DI ANNI NO COMMI COLONI
3	SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
4	VAIL-LEAVITT THEATER 18 Peconic Avenue
5	Ri verhead, New York
6	
7	November 4, 2009 12:00 a.m.
8	
9	
10	
11	FINAL
12	
13	
14	
15	BEFORE:
16	DAVID CALONE, Chairman Town of Babylon
17	TOWN OF BABYLON
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY:
21	THERESA PAPE, Court Reporter/Notary Public
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	APPEARANCES:

Page 1

3	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt CONSTANTINE KONTOKOSTA, Vice Chairman Commission Member	
4	Villages Under 5,000 Population	
5	ADRIENNE ESPOSITO, Secretary, Commission Member	
6	Villages Over 5,000 Population	
7	LINDA HOLMES, Commission Member Town of Shelter Island	
8 9	BARBARA ROBERTS, Commission Member Town of Southampton	
10	CHARLA BOLTON, Commission Member At Large	
11	THOMAS McADAM, Commission Member	
12	Town of Southold	
13	SARAH LANSDALE, Commission Member At Large	
14	JOSHUA HORTON, Commission Member	
15	At Large	
16	VINCENT TALDONE, Commission Member Town of Riverhead	
17	JOB POTTER, Commission Member	
18	Town of East Hampton	
19	MICHAEL KELLY, Commission Member Town of Brookhaven	
20 21	MATTHEW CHARTRAND, Commission Member Town of Islip	
22	•	
23	ABSENT MEMBERS:	
24	JOHN CARACCIOLO, Commission Member Town of Huntington	
25	Town of hantington	
1		3
2	STAFF APPEARANCES:	
3	THOMAS A ISITS Dispostor of Disposition	
4	THOMAS A. ISLES, Director of Planning	
5	DANIEL GULIZIO, Deputy Director of Suffolk County Planning Department	
6 7	ANDREW P. FRELENG, Chief Planner Suffolk County Planning Department	
,	Page 2	

8	11 04 09_Planning.txt TED KLEIN, Senior Planner Suffolk County Planning Department
9	PETER LAMBERT, Principal Planner Suffolk County Planning Department
10	
11	JOHN CORRAL, Suffolk County Planning Department
12	LINDA SPAHR, County Attorney
13	DOTTY SONNICHSEN, Staff
14	
15	G U E S T S:
16	HON. PHILIP J. CARDINALE, Supervisor
17	Town of Ri verhead
18	TOM NEELY, Public Transportation and Traffic Safety Director
19	Town of Southampton
20	HON. LELAND HAIRR, President, Suffolk County Village Officials
21	Association
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 4
2	(WHEREUPON, this proceeding convened at
3	12:00 p.m. Off-the-record discussions
4	ensued, after which the following
5	transpi red:)
6	(Time noted: 12:09 p.m.)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Welcome to
8	the November meeting of the Suffolk County
9	Planning Commission. I would note that we
10	have a quorum present, and I would ask the
11	vice chairman to lead us in the Pledge. The
	B 6

12	flag is over to our right stage right.
13	(Pledge of Allegiance)
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you.
15	Obviously, we're here in Riverhead which
16	is we enjoy being here. We're actually
17	here in a very historic place, I think
18	Mr. Tria is here somewhere. He's up in the
19	lighting lighting booth.
20	We want to thank you, sir, for your
21	hospitality. And I know you just wanted to
22	say a word or two, so so thank you.
23	MR. TRIA: My small word is, I think the
24	Vail-Leavitt is honored to have you here.
25	This is the first time that we've ever
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 5
2	honored and had Suffolk County Planning
3	Commission in particular.
4	You all know that this building is
5	128 years old, we celebrated our birthday
6	September the 11th. We have a historic
7	standing, thanks to Thomas Edison who
8	developed his talkie here, and that's how we
9	got our historic standing.
10	I'm not going to bore you with a lot of
11	details, but this is pretty much the way it
12	was in 1881 (indicating). The paint is
13	pretty much duplicated. The fans are not
14	original because they didn't have electricity
15	then. They had candle power, which is up

front, then they went to gas. These here Page 4

17	lights were once gas lit, now, of course,
18	it's electric lit. And other than that, I'm
19	here to listen.
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, sir.
21	Thanks for having us.
22	(Appl ause)
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And I assure you, if
24	Thomas Edison had the first talkie here, we
25	certainly are good at talking. So we'll
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 6
2	continue his legacy.
3	I want to quickly I know the I
4	want to the Supervisor of Riverhead is
5	here, and I want to thank you for being here
6	and and acknowledge him and give him a
7	chance to say a few words, and first let me
8	say a few words to him on behalf of the
9	Commi ssi on.
10	We've had the great pleasure to work
11	with you and have very much appreciated your
12	support for what we're doing and your
13	leadership on a county-wide level as well as
14	here in Riverhead. So, again, thank you for
15	everything you've done, and we hope that
16	we'll have a chance to work with you again
17	sometime in the future.
18	SUPERVI SOR CARDI NALE: Thank you, Dave.
19	I wanted to be here just to welcome you
20	to Riverhead. We're really pleased you're

11 04 09_Planning.txt
21 here and meeting in our town, and also to
22 this great facility that has been restored
23 through the hard work of Vince and others
24 recently. The -- and also to commend you for
25 the reforms that you've made since -- over

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 7 the last year, which have made -- as I'm sure the planning board chair who is here today will confirm, it has made it a lot more sensible, the referrals that you receive and avoid a lot of unnecessary duplication of work and delay in the process of -- process of handling applications.

I'm told that it is tradition that
when -- when you're in a town, you take some
remarks about the planning issues in the
town. I think you know them, we've discussed
them personally. We are trying to
re-establish Main Street as a new Main Street
with -- since we've been -- as like many
other Main Streets, have had the guts of our
retail thrown to the bypass. We're trying to
re-establish it as a -- as a tourist area,
and we do have some success.

The Hyatt is going to come in next to the Atlantis Aquarium in the next year, and the Summer Wind project, as you know, which is financed in part by the county, affordable housing will be breaking ground shortly.

And at EPCAL, of course, we're going to Page 6

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 8
2	need the county's help as we develop that
3	site. And the two deals that have been
4	pending, still pend are pending out
5	there. And we're looking forward to Vince,
6	our representative on the Board, and the rest
7	of the Board giving us some planning insights
8	as we move along in that process.
9	So welcome to this great historic
10	structure. And as Vince just said, I think
11	I'll sit down for a minute and listen, too.
12	So thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Supervisor.
14	And, again, thank you for, you know, your
15	support. And, also, the role you played on
16	our task forces, certainly on both housing
17	and on smart growth, and we certainly trust
18	that you will remain involved going forward.
19	SUPERVI SOR CARDI NALE: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you.
21	The next item on the agenda is the
22	adoption of the minutes for August 5th
23	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Which were such a
24	di saster.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well okay
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 9
2	which which were challenging. And I think

	11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt
3	I speak for the editor-in-chief when I say
4	we we had a number of changes. So I think
5	it may make some sense for us to we've
6	given them to Teri, and just to be fair, it
7	was Teri was not the person taking the
8	minutes that day. But we've handed those to
9	Teri, I think it may make sense for us to get
10	those back just because they were so
11	substanti al .
12	So why don't we without objection,
13	I'd like to table the minutes, and we'll wait
14	to get the corrected minutes on that.
15	Seeing none, we move on to the public
16	portion. We have a few speakers here.
17	First, I'd like to recognize Mark Lyon.
18	Mr. Lyon, you have three minutes.
19	Please, if you would
20	Do we have a mic for
21	MR. FRELENG: Yeah. I'm going to get
22	it.
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
24	While we're waiting, I would just note
25	that Director Isles is not here, but he will

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 10
be here in a little bit. Director Isles and
I are both on the -- were asked to serve on
the Long Island Leadership Cabinet, which is
an entity that the Regional Planning Council
put together. And there was a meeting this
morning of that, which I only attended for a
Page 8

8	half hour or so, but Tom was going to stay a
9	little bit longer to make sure that Suffolk
10	Planning is used where we're adequately
11	addressed at that. So
12	And I will inform you if there's
13	anything interesting that comes out of that
14	group. Basically, it's an advisory group to
15	the Regional Sustainability Plan. But
16	there's there's some good folks in there.
17	I mean, Kevin Law was there from LIPA, and
18	the heads of some of the banks, Pat Foye and
19	others who are you know. And they are
20	leaders in the community, and so therefore
21	they' re involved.
22	Okay. Are we good to go?
23	MR. LYON: I think we're good.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Mr. Lyon, if
25	you could spell your last name for the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 11
2	record, and you have three minutes.
3	MR. LYON: It's Mark Lyon, L-Y-O-N, 503
4	Main Street, Port Jefferson 11777.
5	I'm here representing 1545 Middle
6	Country Road, as you are well aware. The
7	project, if you will, was conceived
8	approximately two months ago three months
9	ago. It was a creation of the Supervisor,
10	Mark Lesko, and also the sitting
11	councilwoman, Kathleen Walsh, and that

11 04 09_Planning.txt
12 it's in her district. They have determined
13 that this is a project that they want to
14 fast-track and -15 This is a program -- it's part of a
16 program that they have established, which is
17 a 100-day approval process. They've

a 100-day approval process. They've selected -- hand-selected a few projects along the Route 25 corridor, which they're trying to fast-track, if you will, to get them through the process as quickly as possible.

This is a blighted site. It's an item on their agenda on a constant basis when it comes to enforcement as far as vandalism and

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 12 things of that nature. They want to move this project along as quickly as possible.

The staff is -- we met with staff with Commissioner Bertoli, and we're actually showing a creation which was created by the Commissioner himself. This is his design which we're presenting to the town. And we hope that we can get some support from you folks to move this project along.

It's something that the community needs.

I know it's in a transition zone when it comes to the town's overall plan, but it's an important project because it's right on the -- the doorstep, if you will, of Centereach. It's right at the gateway. When Page 10

17	you come off of Nicolls Road or you go onto
18	Nicolls Road, it's something that is
19	extremely visible. And that site is
20	something they want to develop in a very
21	clean and concise manner, and I think we've
22	been able to, you know, accomplish that
23	poi nt.
24	I'll pass my microphone off to the next
25	speaker at this point because I think I've
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 13
2	made my point.
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: The next person is
4	Mark
5	MR. LYON: Mark is the owner of the
6	project, and I'm sure he'll give you a little
7	bit more history as to what this project is
8	all about.
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mr. Lyon.
10	MR. LYON: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Sir, if you'll just
12	give us your last name, spell it for the
13	record, and you have three minutes.

MR. McAVOY: My last name is McAvoy,
M-C, capital A, V-O-Y, 1 Seacrest Drive,
Lloyd Neck, New York 11743.
I'm the owner of the property, and the
reason it's taken so long to get developed is

because I had to try to buy the piece from the State of New York. So over those many

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt years eight years it took me to finally
22	put this together as one parcel. So I'm as
23	anxious as anybody to get this thing moving,
24	since I've I've held onto it for eight
25	almost nine years at this point. So I'm just
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 14
2	here for any questions anybody might have
3	about the project.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mr. McAvoy.
5	I appreciate your time.
6	Next on the we have Charles Southard.
7	MR. SOUTHARD: Southard.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Southard.
9	Please spell your last name for the
10	record, sir.
11	MR. SOUTHARD: Southard,
12	S-O-U-T-H-A-R-D.
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And you have three
14	mi nutes.
15	MR. SOUTHARD: 7 Waters Edge,
16	Smith Point, New York 11967.
17	This project is a combination of two
18	pieces of land. Mr. McAvoy owned one piece
19	of land, it's zoned J-2, and he's in the
20	process now of getting a purchase from the
21	State of New York for a J-4 piece of property
22	that's adjacent. The two parcels total about
23	2.75 acres, and the plans are to develop the
24	site with J-2 retail and and J-4, which is
25	business zoning, which would be we were Page 12

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 15
2	trying to get a bank with a drive-thru. But
3	there is a traffic light at the intersection,
4	there is an existing traffic light which will
5	be the entrance to the site.
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
7	Appreciate that.
8	MR. SOUTHARD: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Next we have
10	Mr. Gerhauser.
11	MR. GERHAUSER: Good afternoon.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If you could just
13	spell your last name for the record, and you
14	have
15	MR. GERHAUSER: It's G-E-R
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: three minutes.
17	MR. GERHAUSER: H-A-U-S-E-R. The
18	address would be Town of Brookhaven,
19	One Independent Hill, Farmingville.
20	I'm the chief building inspector for the
21	Town of Brookhaven. On your agenda this
22	afternoon is a proposed local law that
23	affects zoning, Local Law 26. And I just
24	want to say I'll be here, make myself
25	available for any questions, clarity if you

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 16 need it, and if your format provides.

3	11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, sir. I
5	appreciate your coming here.
6	Lastly, we have Mr. Badalamenti.
7	Sir, if you can just spell your last
8	name for the record, and you have three
9	mi nutes.
10	MR. BADALAMENTI: Yeah, hi. Alex
11	Badalamenti. It's B-A-D-A-L-A-M-E-N-T-I of
12	Baldassano Architecture, here on behalf of
13	Water's Edge, John Scolio's property in Por
14	Jefferson. We're also I'm here also if
15	there's any questions regarding the project,
16	I'd be happy to answer.
17	We have a very qualified team of
18	professionals. While it's taken us a year
19	and a half to enter into the process, we
20	think this is the beginning to start the
21	dialogue with the village and hopefully the
22	planning commission as well.
23	Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, sir.
25	Appreciate your time.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 17

Just so everyone is aware, the rules of the Commission generally prohibit us from entering into a dialogue with applicants and others to answer questions. However, there is an exception to that for town or village officials. And so to the extent we do have Page 14

8	questions for the Building Department of
9	Brookhaven, that would be germane.
10	So without any further indiction from
11	the public of interest in speaking, we'll
12	close the public portion and move on to the
13	Chairman's Report.
14	Just a couple things.
15	It's obviously good to be back here at
16	Riverhead. This is a beautiful space, and
17	thank you again to to Vince and everyone
18	for putting this together. Also, Vince
19	Taldone, I think, was helpful in making sure
20	that we had a place to be here in Riverhead.
21	So thank you.
22	As you know, we have a full
23	agenda today. We're honored to have
24	Supervisor Cardinale with us. And the
25	Mayor of Lloyd Harbor, who's also the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 18
2	President of Suffolk County Village Officials
3	Association, Lee Hairr, is here; we thank
4	him. And Mr. Neely from Southampton I
5	believe is here as well?
6	MR. NEELY: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Good. Good to see

A couple quick updates.

you. Thank you for being here.

8 9

10

11

As you know, our two main goals for this year were to begin the County Comprehensive

11 04 09_Planning.txt

Plan update.

I met with the county executive a few weeks ago, and he's working in conjunction with the Planning Department, as was discussed at our last meeting, on an outreach plan to the county legislature, the town supervisors, stakeholders, and others over the next few months.

The second major item on our plate was the intermunicipal task forces and keeping those moving forward.

You may have noticed the task force effort was mentioned in Newsday article a few days ago, talking about wind codes.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 19

We have a number of new electeds as a result of yesterday's elections. So we'll be reaching out to them -- supervisors and town council members, reaching out to them to see if they're interested in participating in the -- in our task force effort.

I mentioned earlier, or last time I
think, that Congressman Israel has asked the
Commission to co-sponsor an event to inform
municipalities about their ability to issue
federally backed bonds called PACE bonds.
And the purpose of PACE bonds would be to
create a pot of money that municipalities can
use as a revolving fund to help residents pay
for home energy efficiency retrofits.
Page 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The date of that event has been set by Congressman Israel's office. It's going to be on November 9th at 8:30 a.m. at the IBEW headquarters of our old friend Don Fiore in Hauppauge. I'll send out a letter on that, or I'll forward the letter I have on that, but just an FYI.

I'm not going to be able to be there, but Constantine is going to represent the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 20 Commission, and -- and all Commission members are invited to that event.

The other event that builds off of our task force work is a Solar Code meeting that LIPA is hosting on November 17th at 1 o'clock in Uniondale. This is going to be an opportunity for the municipalities in Nassau and Suffolk to Learn about the Planning Commission's task force effort on the solar And so Kevin Law has been, you know, codes. very supportive of our effort to help streamline the solar application process, and he's asked the municipalities to join him in a -- in a forum where we can -- we can present what we've done so far and get feedback from the towns and villages, including the building inspectors and others about how -- how we can best work together to move this thing forward.

	11 04 09_Planning.txt Also with respect to our task forces, we
ne	ed to have, you know, con some
СО	nversation, which we'll have a little bit
Ιa	ter, on how do we promulgate these best
pr	ractices and model codes.

21 22

2324

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

Adrienne and Constantine and I had a chance to talk about it a little bit, and our general feeling is that the task forces should kind of make a recommendation to the full Commission here. The full Commission would then have a chance to understand exactly what's being recommended, and

consider whether to adopt it or not.

And then if these model codes or best practices are adopted by the full Commission, we then could take a number of different We can include it in our Guidelines, post it on our Web site, send it to all municipalities. We would also be identifying who the first sponsors would be in different towns and villages, actually get this into And maybe there'll be events like this LIPA event, in certain circumstances, where we might be able to have a third-party kind of pull together the municipalities to help, you know, educate them about the kinds of work that we've been doing. We also possibly could do a newsletter from this Commission on a regular basis, maybe once or twice a year. Page 18

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 22
2	I've talked to the county executive about
3	maybe there being some kind of a Suffolk's
4	solutions meeting, maybe once or twice a
5	year, where the county executive would kind
6	of host the supervisors and mayors to kind
7	of, you know, share with them all the ideas
8	that the task forces have come up with, as
9	well as other things that the towns and
10	villages are working on.
11	So those are all possibilities as we
12	move forward here in terms of sharing ideas
13	across municipal lines here in Suffolk
14	County.
15	I wanted to do a brief update with the
16	heads of each of the task forces real quickly
17	on just where we are with each of those.
18	So if maybe we could start with with
19	Sarah with Energy and Environment, and the
20	task force meeting and this last month.
21	So
22	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: Thank you.
23	We had a task force meeting last month
24	where we had great attendance. And we
25	discussed the solar code, the wind code, and

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt tremendous progress in all of these areas,
4	and we look forward to continuing our
5	efforts.
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thanks, Sarah.
7	Constantine and the Housing Task Force,
8	update on that.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Yes. Thank
10	you, Mr. Chair.
11	Yeah. We recently had another meeting
12	about two weeks ago of the task force, which
13	was which was very productive. We have
14	put together a draft of certain policy
15	recommendations and issues key issues that
16	we're we're discussing. That's going
17	to some edits from that meeting are going
18	to go out this week, and we're going to push
19	for, I guess, a final version of the draft
20	before the end of the year for certain.
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Great. Thank you,
22	Constanti ne.
23	On Accessible Design and Smart Growth,
24	Vince, do you have a
25	You're very Loud, so
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 24
2	THE REPORTER: No, he's not.
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, okay.
4	(Laughter)
5	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I can try.
6	THE REPORTER: No.
7	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Thank you, Page 20

8	Chai	rman
U	CHAI	ı ınaıı

I would just like to say that our -- our work has culminated in the preparation of a draft Universal Design Incentive Code, which I'll be distributing today. It has been developed with the assistance of Suffolk Community Council, as well as other organizations, the AARP folks that are generally our -- our advisors. And it is in rough form. I'm going to pass it out to the Commission during this meeting, and hopefully get some feedback from -- from each of you.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Vince.

And consistent with what we were -- I was just mentioning in terms of a process, this is an opportunity for us to get some feedback, and then the whole task force can

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 25 hopefully kind of incorporate that feedback or think -- you know, synthesize that and come back with a formal recommendation of the Commission, you know, maybe next month or the next month or so, certainly.

The other thing just related to smart growth is I -- I mentioned last week -- last month, I should say, that the county executive liked the idea that this group came up with in terms of doing a Sewer Summit 2 to

11 04 09_Planning.txt focus on optimizing sewer revenues as kind of a companion effort to the sewer needs assessment that the county legislature is -- is working on.

I discussed this again with the county executive over the last couple of weeks, and he wants to gear up to do an event co-sponsored by his office and with the Commission probably in the first quarter of next year. So that's something that we'll let you know, you know, kind of a plan and probably start thinking about what that means, you know, over the next month or two. Lastly, on Public Safety, we're still

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 working on distilling what's been done elsewhere and compiling that. We had purposely kind of held off until after the election just because a number of the people who were on the task force were running for el ection. And one of our -- our members, Supervisor Kabot, was not reelected yesterday. But we do have some new people coming on board, so we'll also be working on trying to -- you know, as I said, try to incorporate new elected officials into our task force effort.

In general, our goal for this month for the task forces is just to keep the momentum going. And we have -- things are moving and Page 22

17	that'	S	great	to	see,	S0	thank	you	al I	for
18	your	ef	forts	i n	that.					

Just a couple last notes.

The Fall Planning Conference. Thank you all for -- for being there and for your work on that. And special hats off to Andy -there he is (indicating) -- a round of applause for Andy.

27

(Appl ause)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 CHAIRMAN CALONE: And Tom and Dan and Dotty and John and Ted and the whole crew. You guys did a great job. It was the largest turnout ever. It's the second year in a row we've had the largest turnout ever at that event, and I think it went great. We had the county executive there, Peter Kohler was there from Cablevision, Supervisor Bellone, and -- and others. So it was a -- it was a

great event. Thank you Andy and the team.

Thank you also for including our resolutions in the monthly e-mail, I think that's very helpful. You should have all seen that in your e-mails that you -- we now have the resolutions that are being sent to the villages and towns, so you now know what's being communicated.

We have to appoint a Nominating and Rules Committee at this meeting. And Sarah

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt Lansdale and Tom McAdam have volunteered for
22	that. And their job is going to be to report
23	in January on interests in officerships for
24	2010, and then we would elect then we
25	would elect officers at our February
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 28
2	organizational meeting.
3	So thank you Sarah and Tom for for
4	organizing or being being on that
5	subcommittee.
6	We probably could use one more person,
7	if anyone else is interested, to round that
8	out. Because if Sarah and Tom tie, I don't
9	know what happens.
10	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: They wrestle.
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: They wrestle I
12	don't know that's right.
13	So, anyway, thank you both to Sarah and
14	Tom for that.
15	Also, Barbara had headed it last year,
16	and I would just encourage both Sarah and Tom
17	to speak with Barbara. Barbara did a great
18	job last year in terms of making sure the
19	process was well followed, so that would be
20	my advice on that.
21	We will also need, probably starting
22	next month, a Guidelines Revision and Annual
23	Report Committee. We'll probably need three
24	or four people. It's going to be a
25	short-term thing working with staff on the Page 24

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 29
2	annual report that needs to come out the
3	beginning of the year. And, also, the idea
4	was to redo our guidelines each year. Now,
5	we did a massive amount of work on those
6	guidelines last year. This is going to be a
7	minimal amount of work this year. It's more
8	just going to be just looking back through
9	them and seeing if there's anything that
10	that staff has in mind, if they want to tweak
11	in our guidelines.
12	A couple of things have come up during
13	the year that we said, "Oh, maybe we should
14	include that in our guidelines." I've tried
15	to keep notes on that. So we'll need three

to keep notes on that. So we'll need three or four people just to help us work through that. So let me know if you're interested in that.

Lastly, you know, we like to mention when good things happen to people around this table. And a good thing has happened to all of us because we get to share the table with the person that Long Island Pulse Magazine has named the third coolest person on Long Island, and that's Adrienne.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 30

3	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: Oh, wow.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Adrienne.
5	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I love it.
6	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Can we have your
7	autograph?
8	(Appl ause)
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And that's all I had,
10	and the coolest person will speak.
11	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: And this is what
12	cool people talk about, they talk about money
13	for sewage infrastructure.
14	THE REPORTER: You went off.
15	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: I was cut out.
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, you're not that
17	cool, you're only the third coolest person.
18	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: I don't think I
19	need this, but I just want to just for
20	everyone's edification of course, one, I'm
21	going to kill Dave later but in addition
22	to that, the federal budget is allocating and
23	was signed for a \$3.6 billion for sewage
24	infrastructure upgrades nationally, but those
25	with the sewer plan will get the sewer money.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 31
2	So I think that's another reason for us to
3	continue along the lines of holding a Sewer
4	Summit in the spring.
5	Along with that, and in conjunction with
6	that, New York State EFC, the Environmental
7	Facilities Corporation, which allocates sewer Page 26

8	upgrade funding, has just about or just
9	announced that they will start to embark on
10	reassessing the criteria for allocations of
11	those funds. Right now, the funds are
12	allocated with the sole guiding principal of
13	water quality, which, of course, we all agree
14	with, but I think we've had discussions
15	internally in our Energy and Environment
16	Committee that it would be good also for them
17	to incorporate smart growth ideas as well as
18	affordable housing, building downtowns,
19	and and other reasonable and smart
20	development principles.
21	So they will be starting that process, I
22	believe, in January, and that's something for
23	our Commission to watch and and possibly
24	be involved in.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Adrienne.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 32
2	Anyone else have anything right now?
3	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, that was the
5	end of my remarks.
6	Any questions?
7	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes
9	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I'd like to know
10	why

CHAIRMAN CALONE: -- Job.

12	11 04 09_Pl anni ng. txt COMMI SSI ONER POTTER: (i naudi bl e)
13	(Laughter)
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Next time we'll stuff
15	the ballot.
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: So Tom is not here.
17	Dan, do we have anything from the
18	Department that you wanted to bring up at
19	this time?
20	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Most
21	importantly, Tom will be here in a little
22	while to provide the Director's Report.
23	(Laughter)
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Good work, Dan.
25	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Thank you, Dan.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 33
2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Well,
3	then, moving on, the next item on our agenda
4	is our guest speakers. We had Supervisor
5	Cardi nal e, obvi ousl y, al ready.
6	I want to also ask Mayor Hairr who's
7	here as the President of the Village
8	Officials Association the Village
9	Officials Association has been a partner of
10	ours in these task force effort. I want to
11	thank him for his leadership in getting that
12	group involved, and just offer him a moment
13	or two, if you'd like, just to say hello.
14	MAYOR HAIRR: Dave, I thank you for the
15	opportuni ty.
16	As you know, Suffolk County Village Page 28

Officials Association represents the
32 incorporated villages in Suffolk County.
You do have, as an at-large member of your
Commission, our Executive Director, Josh
Horton. So we are represented in part on
your Commission.

But on behalf of the -- the other mayors of the other villages, I would like to commend you on your efforts on streamlining

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 34 the review process. I think that was welcome among all of our villages. It does make it much, much better for all of us. And I think I commend whoever initiated it, whether it was Dave or someone else on the Commission, it's greatly welcome.

We also have tried to communicate and are supportive of your task force efforts. It think that many of our village officials are sort of anxiously awaiting to see what the outcome will be in terms of policies, and we will hopefully be able to communicate that for you.

As Josh may have mentioned to you, we do have monthly newsletters, electronic newsletters that go out to each of our village officials. And we have other special ones, we have a counsel's corner that goes out, so that we are trying to communicate

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt much more effectively with the villages and
22	less with paper as well.
23	So I I again thank you for the
24	opportunity to speak to you and encourage you
25	to keep up the good work.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 35
2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mayor. And

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mayor. And thank you again for your help in getting the word out to the municipalities, the villages in particular, and also for encouraging your fellow mayors to be involved in our task force effort. We do have a number of them who are involved, and that's thanks to your encouragement. So we appreciate that.

We are going to have a short presentation today from Tom Neely from the Town of Southampton on the interesting transportation initiatives that are underway and proposed for here on the East End.

So, Mr. Neely, the floor is yours.

MR. NEELY: I thank you.

I do appreciate the opportunity to come and speak and update you briefly on a recently completed study for the East End.

This was known locally as the Volpe Center Study, the East End Transportation Option.

The Volpe Center is an arm of the
United States Department of Transportation.
They were hired to conduct a study of the -of the possibility of developing a regional
Page 30

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 36
2	coordinated rail-bus network.
3	I'll briefly walk you through the
4	presentation, the PowerPoint that was given
5	at our most recent public forum, and
6	reference where we go from here.
7	As background for the project, this was
8	funded through a New York State Department of
9	State Shared Municipal Services Incentive
10	Grants. It's a mouthful, I think they've
11	since shortened it to Local Government
12	Efficiency Grants. These are grants that are
13	awarded, as the name implies, for opportunity
14	where municipalities may be able to join and
15	provide more efficient services.
16	The five East End towns cooperated in
17	applying for this grant. It was a
18	\$400,000 grant. It was awarded in 2006. The
19	contractor who conducted the study was signed
20	in 2007, and we got started.
21	The progress as of the time this forum
22	was held in on September 23rd, Volpe had
23	prepared an existing conditions report, which
24	basically is a snapshot of the transportation
25	options on the East End of Long Island, as

11 04 09_Planning.txt County Transit and Long Island Rail Road.

There were two concepts.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Originally, the first concept for a coordinated rail-bus network. This called for regular train service and regular bus service meeting each and every train. was a concept that was originally developed by a local advocacy group called Five Town Rural Transit. It came out of the SEED study, the Sustainable East End Development study, which I don't know if we have anybody here, but I did a little presentation to the Planning Commission on that a couple of years ago. That was one that I looked at land use and transportation through NYMTC, the New York Metropolitan Transportation Council.

To do this further analysis, we took the Five Town Rural Transit group, the local advocates, combined them with the East End Transportation Council, which is an organization of the towns and village representatives from each of the towns and

1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 38 2 each of the villages, as well as 3 representatives from the transportation, planning, and funding agencies; NYMTC's 4 5 involved, Long Island Rail Road is involved, Suffolk County Transit's involved, Suffolk 6 7 County Planning is a member. We combined the

Page 32

advocacy group and we combined the East End Transportation Council to form a technical advisory group, which is what the TAG stands for.

The first concept was for this coordinated rail and bus network. We also then developed a -- what we call the Flexible Transit Network for evaluation of a second concept for comparative purposes.

The Flexible Transit Network, as you'll see a little bit as we go through this, essentially called for more emphasis on bus, less emphasis on rail, greater flexibility in terms of demand responsive type of bus services or flex route type of services, again as an alternative to the first concept.

We had a presentation of the first half of the project on April 17th. We had a

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 39 transportation forum held here on the East End with many elected officials and -- and advocates and staff attending that original forum.

We had hoped, and the goal of the study was for all five East End towns to look at the two alternative concepts that had been analyzed, pick one, and there would be a more complete analysis done.

Well, as sometimes happens, the five

11 04 09_Planning.txt
East End towns didn't all agree. That often
happens, I guess. And I don't just mean the

East End.

Riverhead and Southold, our two north fork communities, were much more comfortable with the flexible transit network. The Town of Southampton and the Town of East Hampton were more comfortable with the coordinated rail-bus network; the kind of big idea, if you will.

So rather than Volpe going back and doing a full analysis of one or the other, they were asked to go back and look at combining the two, and how would we make the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 40 two concepts work together. Which is were the dual concept idea came up with.

A brief summary on this for the south fork, most of the Long Island Rail Road and Suffolk County Transit Services would be replaced by this coordinated rail-bus network. There would be shuttle trains between Montauk and Speonk, Speonk being the west end of our -- of our East End study would call for the building of four new railroad stations and other infrastructure investments such as sidings; we would need, I believe four new sidings from the south fork as well. Then, again, as in the original concept, this train service would still be Page 34

17	connected to fixed route bus service meeting
18	every train.
19	And I'd also like to say, I did not
20	bring copies paper copies of this for
21	everybody, but I'd be glad to get you the
22	PowerPoint in or a copy of the full report,
23	you can also get it on our Web site.
24	And I think, Vince, I I did write a
25	viewpoint, a local editorial summary of this
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 41
2	whole project
3	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yeah, we've got
4	that.
5	MR. NEELY: Yeah. You got it already?
6	Great. Okay.
7	The Web site is somewhere in that
8	article.
9	So, anyway, that was the concept for the

south fork. Let me keep going.

Okay. As I said, additional demand responsive and flex bus service was -- was worked back into this, because although the -- our elected officials and others chose to go with the fixed route, the coordinated rail-bus network, there was also discussion of picking up some of the flexibility from the flex network concept.

So Volpe did continued analysis where they looked at 30-minute peak periods, train

11 04 09_Planning.txt
21 and bus service, and 60-minute off-peak
22 service. It's a very important point to note
23 that one of the issues that we dealt with in
24 dealing with this is the fact that the
25 trains -- the regular train service --

regular Long Island Rail Road service is extremely heavy at peak times. And how to integrate this 30- and 60-minute service with existing trains coming out that are very busy and very full, especially in the summer and -- and spring weekends and fall weekends, was a major operational issue. So there are some conflicts there that will have to be worked out if this idea is to go forward.

The model also called to look at 14 to 18 hours worth of service; half of the year at 14 hours, and half of the year at 18 hours a day.

For the north fork, the concept was to keep the existing Suffolk County Transit Route structure, but make significant enhancements to the service. The -- and I should point out, if you are not aware -- you probably are, but if you're not aware, Suffolk County Transit is in the final stages of a route reconfiguration study. They've been looking at all of their bus routes across the county, have gone through a process over the last couple of years, put Page 36

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 43
2	out recommendations on some changes to the
3	route, and that final report, I believe, is
4	due out in December.
5	We used this our local group and
6	Volpe Center, the consultants up in
7	Cambridge, used the draft route
8	reconfiguration study to base some of their
9	recommendations on, because there's some good
10	stuff in it.
11	The S-92 remains the key route. That is
12	the route that goes from Greenport all the
13	way around to East Hampton, big horseshoe.
14	That is the second or third most popular
15	route in Suffolk County, so it's critical for
16	an awful lot of riders. It also physically
17	connects the north and the south fork, it's
18	really the only direct connection between the
19	two forks.
20	So that remains a key route. It would
21	be improved to every 15 minutes of service.
22	It would be extended the hours and the
23	days of the week would be extended
24	significantly. Again, probably many of you
25	are aware, there is no Sunday service no

1

2

11 04 09_Planning.txt seven days a week is kind of a no-brainer in -- in most people's minds. And as I said, it's a key link to the south fork.

 So there would be expanded hours. The Sunday service and additional frequency on several of the bus routes that are originating or connecting through Riverhead primarily. Some of these run west, some of these run east, some of them run south, but basically these connect in and out of Riverhead.

There would be a new route that we evaluated which would take people from Green- -- Greenport, basically be an express bus service from Greenport west to Tanger. We also looked -- to Tanger, and then onto Riverhead and Ronkonkoma and Islip Airport for a direct connection there as an express service. And, again, the north fork concept, the flexible transit concept, has much more emphasis on flexible routes and demand responsive transit services.

There would also be some incremental

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 45 improvements in Long Island Rail Road schedules and possible new service. But, again, the concept did not call for -- did not make specific suggestions about when those incremental improvements should be.

We're taking that up locally.

Page 38

This came out in black and white, I don't know why (indicating), it's lovely color on mine.

We won't spend a whole lot of time on it, but this does show -- there's a little color in there somewhere.

This really shows the fixed routes. The little circles that you see are areas where the demand responsive services would run. You got the train tracks, of course, running through both the north and south forks. So this incorporates the existing routes, the existing railroad stations, the proposed new railroad station, which, again, in the dual concept system, would only be reopened on -- or opened on the south fork. The original concept did call for significant rail improvements for the north fork.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 46

And let me say that was a concept that Volpe was asked to evaluate. They did not develop the concept. They did not actually recommend the reopening of stations. They evaluated it for us to see what it would cost. But the combination of the two calls for much more of a flexible service, and as I said, on the north fork, less of the ray of emphasis on the rail.

The upfront capital cost for this duel

11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt system, the range of estimates, it's from 117 to 148 million. There are variations in the cost of rail vehicles and other vari ati ons. The annual operating expenses are estimated at about \$44 million a year. One of the things that was identified through this as well is that there may be

One of the things that was identified through this as well is that there may be some possible savings at the town and county level for what's traditionally been called human service transportation.

And at the federal level, and I think it's trickling down through NYMTC into the local level, you know, there is great emphasis on trying to blend or make work in a

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 47 much more coherent fashion, the traditional public transit services, it's a traditionally fixed route, combined with human service transportation, which is what's known as demand responsive, generally.

The towns run a significant amount of human service transportation. I estimated on the East End, the five East End towns probably spend about a million dollars a year through their own human service offices -- human service departments, providing local human service transportation. So there may be an opportunity here to try to combine for greater efficiencies.

The upfront capital cost of 117 to Page 40

than the original concept which called for those significant rail improvements on both the north fork and on the south fork. By backing that out of the north fork, we've reduced the cost somewhere in the range of 25 to \$50 million.

The study also looked at institutional options in terms of creating -- you may have

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 48 heard along the way, there's been a lot of discussion about creating an East End Transportation Authority separate from the MTA, those kinds of discussions. So one of the tasks that the Volpe Center had was to outline some possible options for management, infrastructure changes, if you will.

They outlined five possible ones, which is basically no change at all, just continue to work with the existing providers. A dedicated operating unit which essentially would call for something within each organization, Suffolk County Transit or Long Island Rail Road, to kind of develop an East End subgroup, if you will. There's also, in that report, outline of an Enhanced Regional Transportation Council. As I mentioned, we have an advocacy group, Five Town Rural Transit, which is some citizen advocates. We

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt also have this East End Transportation
22	Council, which is the establishment, for want
23	of a better word. But neither and
24	especially on a on a municipal basis,
25	there is no authority within this East End
	- -
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 49
2	Transportation Council to take any action.
3	All we can do is advise the towns and
4	villages of possible things they may want to
5	do. And, again, work on a day-to-day basis
6	with the transit providers. Then there was
7	discussion of a supplemental service, and
8	there was discussion of a new Regional
9	Transportation Authority. And the full
10	report gives some some insight into the
11	pros and cons of each of those options.
12	Then we got into major federal funding
13	programs, which we're going to stay away from
14	for the moment. That gets a little bit
15	way ahead of ourselves. Although, at the
16	presentation to to the East End officials,
17	Volpe did talk a fair amount about the
18	opportunities within each of these possible
19	funding programs.
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Maybe you can talk,
21	kind of as you're wrapping up here, about
22	just how how this thing gets paid for
23	in in
24	MR. NEELY: Okay. Great.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: you know Page 42

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 50
2	MR. NEELY: Great.
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Because obviously it's
4	an interesting idea, and it's very capital
5	intensive in these days. So maybe you can
6	kind of conclude with that.
7	MR. NEELY: Yeah. Let me get we're
8	going to get through the next steps real
9	qui ck.
10	We did a lot on fair policy and
11	collection. I won't go too far into that.
12	Another one that I thought you folks
13	would be interested in would be some of the
14	supporting strategies for an improved transit
15	system. Because, quite frankly, I think this
16	gets lost at the municipal level, and I think
17	you can probably appreciate that from from
18	where you sit.
19	Land use and transit oriented
20	development, pedestrian and bike
21	accessibility, car sharing, parking
22	management; those are all the kinds of things
23	that are, you know, under the radar but need
24	to be implemented for any kind of improved
25	transportation.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 51

Then transportation and land management.

1

11 04 09_Planning.txt All kinds of interesting ideas there.

We identify some environmental issues.

We all have to deal with grade crossing and train whistle issues, fuel consumption and emissions, vehicle maintenance and storage facilities. Again, those are some tough -- especially when you start to talk about building maintenance and storage facilities, I think that, you know, those are tough issues you have to deal with.

Okay. Path implementation, we have the institutional and financial issues that have to be dealt with, technical, environmental, and public outreach.

Okay. To do the original concept for the large-scale train improvements, we are probably looking at ten years between all the various steps here, which you, I'm sure, can appreciate; the environmental reviews, preliminary engineering, things like that. We're probably ten years away from -- from implementing any kind of a big idea, if you will.

Okay. So we talked about improving in the interim, and we're going to jump to -That was the end of the Volpe presentation. As our consultants, of course, they could only go so far in outlining these ideas. And they presented us with these

Page 44

ideas. So, then the question is, how do you make some progress from here.

So, at that point, we jumped up -- I jumped up and kind of gave a local perspective to it.

The next steps are adopting municipal resolutions of support for a \$1 million federal grant to continue this project. We are working with Congressman Bishop to develop funds to continue this effort, and we are getting resolutions from the five East End towns and some of the villages, and we have to reach consensus on an institutional option.

And, again, this goes up to our elected officials. They will have to take a leadership position as to which option they want to pursue for us to move forward on

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 53 this. We need some sort of a structural -- or some sort of an agreement either with Suffolk County and the MTA to, you know, create one of these options.

Now, what we're working on short term are pursuing discussions with the Long Island Rail Road about incremental service improvements, and we're just beginning that process now. Both the north fork and the south fork -- well, the north fork, and --

and Vince has been a very key member of the -- the north fork contingent and of this whole process. The north fork has prepared some recommendations or ideas on incremental improvements for some rail service on the north fork. The south fork, we're doing the same thing, working with Southampton and East Hampton Town, and we hope to -- working with our state assemblyman, hope to have a meeting with the Long Island Rail Road and the president of the Rail Road to talk about some of these incremental improvements in the near future.

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

Similarly, we are looking forward to the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 54 completion of the Suffolk County Transit Route Reconfiguration Report, and talk to them about some of the incremental train -incremental bus improvements. A lot of which, as I said, are right out of Suffolk County Transit's own route recommendation Al though, I -- they have said, and report. I'll tell you -- they outlined additional improvements that could be made, but they don't know where the money's coming from So that's the age-old question. ei ther. We do want to work on increments -local transportation -- human service transportation. Again, a tough -- a tough haul here is to -- at the local level, have

Page 46

17	town and village boards adopt
18	transit-oriented, transit-supportive type of
19	policies. And I'm sure I'm preaching to the
20	choir to say that's that's very difficult
21	when you start to try to get those kind of
22	changes in your zoning, your land use to
23	support these kind of initiatives. So
24	that's that's going to be a tough one.
25	And that's where we ended up.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 55

So where we get the 150 million, there's a whole lot of questions. And we've got to get the million that Congressman Bishop's working on to get to the steps of --

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.

MR. NEELY: -- those next steps. And he has included in his request -- through the SAFETEA-LU Federal Transportation Funding Bill, he has included a million dollars in that request to go into that bill.

That transportation bill was supposed to be signed by September 30th. Congress delayed -- or enacted a 30-month extension (sic), which expired October 30th. They just enacted a six-week extension of the existing bill. All of which means the new bill hasn't been introduced yet, and this money is in the new bill. They are now talking when this six-week extension ends this December,

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt they're talking about an extension of another
22	six months. So
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: So some day.
24	MR. NEELY: Some day, right.
25	As I as I tell a lot of our our

1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 56 2 local folks that have worked with us on this, this does demonstrate how those federal 3 4 bills -- when you read about and see on the 5 news, how they translate down to, you know, some of the things we're trying to do here 6 7 locally. It all --CHAIRMAN CALONE: But it also --8 9 because -- because it's such a -- because the 10 federal process work so slowly, one of the big issues you have is that, if you get -- if 11 you don't get in that bill, you have another 12 13 five years to go to get in the next one. So it's a real challenge. And that's why I 14 15 think obviously working the municipalities as 16 much as possible to support this is great. 17 Obviously, I think whatever we can do to be helpful -- and particularly, I think on 18 the -- you know, working with the towns and 19 villages on, you know, transit-oriented 20 21 design and, you know, zoning policies and codes that could be supportive of 22 23 transit-oriented design is something I know, 24 you know, we're interested generally.

obviously have an expert on here in -- in

Page 48

25

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 57
2	Vince, so
3	MR. NEELY: Absolutely.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think to the
5	extent we could be helpful in that
6	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: May I ask
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: let us know.
8	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Mr. Neely
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: So, yeah, if
10	you're done if you're done, we'll take
11	briefly a question or two, and then we need
12	to move on.
13	But, yes, Linda.
14	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I know that
15	Shelter Island, there's been a lot of
16	consternation about the concept of transit
17	buses going across Shelter Island.
18	MR. NEELY: Right.
19	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: And I see in in
20	your article here, you are saying Shelter
21	Island would be serviced by one community
22	shuttle vehicle.
23	What does that mean?
24	MR. NEELY: Well, that's the current
25	plan idea, and there we've had I've
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 58
2	been up to meet with the Shelter Island Town

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt Board three or four times on this over the
4	last and all the East End Town Boards.
5	We're not 100 percent sure yet what that
6	would translate into.
7	What we've done from a financial point
8	of view is budget for one vehicle. Now,
9	whether that bus ran up and down Route 114
10	and I know there's some opposition to that
11	thought, but whether it went up and down
12	Route 114 in a fixed route, or whether it
13	kind of ran all over Shelter Island not on a
14	fixed schedule but just picked people up and
15	took them where they needed to go, that's
16	still subject to
17	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I see. So it's
18	local
19	MR. NEELY: to discussion
20	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: It's confined to
21	Shelter Island?
22	MR. NEELY: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes.
24	MR. NEELY: Right now, it would not
25	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I see.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 59
2	MR. NEELY: I mean, again, the
3	concept now would be, it would probably take
4	the folks to the ferry. Now, where we get
5	into and this is where the lines blur
6	between public transportation traditionally

defined and human service transportation -- Page 50

7

8	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Right. Because
9	MR. NEELY: that's where the lines
10	get blurry.
11	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: people would
12	need transportation to get to a pickup spot,
13	which a lot of people don't have,
14	particularly, people who aren't able to
15	drive. And, as you know, we have a steadily
16	aging population on Shelter Island, we're a
17	naturally occurring retirement community.
18	But, you know, I know that that was part
19	of an issue, so
20	MR. NEELY: Yeah, and that's, again, one
21	we will have to we will have to pursue
22	whether that you know, that bus would
23	leave the Island to take somebody someplace,
24	or simply get them to the ferry and would
25	have a connection on the other side, or just
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 60
2	take them within Shelter Island.
3	I will, while we're talking about that,
4	just point out real quickly, one of the human
5	service areas that we, again, think can be
6	improved is this kind of service and figure
7	out a way to link between the towns.

taking people to Stony Brook Professional

years on a community shuttle bus that's

8

9

10

11

Southampton Town and East Hampton Town

have been cooperating for the last couple of

11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt 12 Medical Center --13 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Oh, yeah. MR. NEELY: -- twice a week. This is an 14 15 example where the two towns got together and -- and East Hampton does it one day, we 16 do it another day. There's a lot of 17 Instead of a bus going up with 18 advantages. 19 two people, now a bus goes up with four or six people, and instead of one town not 20 taking the other's residents, they're --21 22 they're sharing that. 23 So I think this is an example of where 24 we have to sit down and figure out how to 25 coordinate, especially on Shelter Island, you

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 61
2	know, to
3	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yeah.
4	MR. NEELY: to the other ports.
5	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: (Indicating)
6	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Okay. Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Sarah.
8	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: I had the the
9	pleasure of serving on the MTA Blue Ribbon
10	Commission on Sustainability last year. And
11	one of their goals that they created was to
12	have over the next 20 years, absorb a third
13	of all trips made absorb that by public
14	transportation. That was kind of our working
15	goal on the on this particular Commission.
16	And I wanted to see how this effort Page 52

17 and I commend your efforts, I think it's 18 fantastic. What your goal is -- your 19 overarching goal in terms of offsetting or 20 absorbing the number of trips made currently, 21 absorbing those with all of these 22 improvements by public transportation. 23 MR. NEELY: Volpe had a -- a hard time 24

25

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20 estimating numbers. So there is a wide range with the numbers. The reasons for that are a

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 62 coupl e.

This was a \$400,000 grant, but that money didn't even go real far when you look at -- at covering the geography in the number of municipalities and the changes in the service that are proposed. We think --

Either concept improves public transit options four to five times over what we currently have. So Volpe's estimates are generally that we would probably -- some would --

Currently, the East End, there's about 1.1 million transit trips taken on the railroad and/or Suffolk County Transit. Their current -- Volpe's initial estimates are that this would probably increase transit usage between 2 million and 4 million. I think 3.1 million, I think, was their -their number. But, again, a lot of work has

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt 21 to be done on that. Those are the best numbers that we have 22 23 at the moment. But we haven't factored in, 24 for example, how many we might get out of 25 existing town human service transportation 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 63 2 trips. 3 The Town of Southampton, there is about 4 50,000 people a year through its human 5 service program. How many of those can we get into this combined new service. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mr. Neely. 8 9 And thank you, Sarah. 10 Any other questions at this time? 11 (WHEREUPON, there was no response.) CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, I've no doubt 12 13 that this is going to be an ongoing process, sir, and we look forward to working with you 14 15 on that. And like I said, I think there's a 16 specific way so we can be helpful. 17 In addition to -- I'm sure that through the East End's Supervisors and Mayors 18 19 Association, and other organizations like that, you have pretty good, you know, 20 21 communication East End-wise, but to the extent we can help with any of that, please 22 23 let us know. 24 MR. NEELY: Sure. And one minor point that I -- I -- I 25 Page 54

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 64
2	will point out that was pointed out very
3	early in this, we talked about the five
4	East End towns. Ronkonkoma isn't in the five
5	East End towns. And Ronkonkoma is the
6	western point for for this service. So,
7	obviously, we're going to have to expand our
8	outreach.
9	And on the south fork, we may, as we
10	move forward, see if possibly expanding the
11	concept to East Patchogue, for example, as
12	opposed to ending at Speonk. We think that
13	would greatly could greatly change the
14	the numbers if we connected all the way up to
15	Patchogue.
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right. Interesting.
17	MR. NEELY: We're going to be bringing
18	you back in and
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Good.
20	MR. NEELY: a couple other towns as
21	well.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mr. Neely.
23	Thank you for your time.
24	The next item we're going to start
25	moving into our administrative agenda, but we
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 65
2	have the first item on that is the

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt Lloyd Harbor Comprehensive Master Plan. I
4	believe the village has a PowerPoint or an
5	overview or something.
6	As you all recall, we typically, you
7	know, give the municipality a chance to give
8	a brief overview of the of the plan.
9	COMMISSIONER HORTON: (Indicating)
10	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Before we get going,
11	Mr. Horton.
12	COMMISSIONER HORTON: Yeah. If you
13	don't mind, sir, as a as a matter of
14	personal policy, before I get a substantive
15	question answered by the Suffolk County
16	Ethics Board, I'd like to recuse myself from
17	discussions relating to matters from Lloyd
18	Harbor. My firm represents the Suffolk
19	County Village Officials Association, of
20	which Mr. Hairr, the mayor of Lloyd Harbor,
21	is a Board member of that organization that
22	pays my firm. I don't think this relates to
23	villages that are not directly on that Board,
24	however, my firm has a relationship with that
25	Board of Directors, and therefore I'd like to
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 66
2	recuse myself.
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. So for today
4	that that makes sense.
5	Thank you thank you, Mr. Horton.
6	Mayor Hairr.
7	MAYOR HAIRR: Yes. I we're going to Page 56

make this very brief. I hope to give you an overview of a comprehensive plan.

This has been a -- a work in progress for over two years. Many of you, I hope, have visited our village. We think it's unique.

We're intent to preserve the heritage that it was established 80 years ago when we were incorporated as one of those unique villages that has parkland at all five levels of government, from the U.S. Federal Target Rock Nature Preserve to the Caumsett State Historic Park to the Coindre Hall Suffolk County Park on the water -- on the water, and then the West -- Town of Huntington West Neck Beach, as well as the village parklands. So we are an area where there are many visitors. Many people have come to appreciate it, and

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 67 while the emphasis of my trustees and I have been that our comprehensive plan dated back to 1977, many things have changed, and we wanted to bring it up to date. And there's been great recognition of the ecological significance throughout Lloyd Neck and parts of the West Neck peninsula of Lloyd Harbor, and in particular, the water body of Lloyd Harbor, and these were all incorporated into our plan.

11 04 09_Planning.txt Working with us has been Frederick P. They've been the village Clark Associates. planner for probably 25 or 30 years, long before I was there. We have today David Stahlman who is the president of Frederick P. Clark, they're of Rye, New York, and he will very briefly give you an overview PowerPoint on the comprehensive plan. Davi d. MR. STAHLMAN: Thank you, Lee.

I'm going to try to stand over here unless the feedback from the microphone is too great.

I think the word "briefly" has been used

at least two or three times in the last couple of minutes. We have the longer PowerPoint presentation that was given to the public in the village, but I'm going to be -- I'm going to skip through parts of this to try to keep this fairly brief. I brought the whole presentation just in case you wanted to go back to any particular part of it and look at anything more closely. But as Lee said, we've been working on this for a considerable length of time, the document -- the draft comprehensive plan is a rather substantial document.

The contents of the plan -- I won't go through the contents of the plan. I'm sure Page 58

17	you're aware of what plans must consist of in
18	accordance with the with the New York
19	State Village Law. This plan certainly
20	complies with the requirements of the
21	New York State Village Law for a
22	comprehensive plan, and we've listed the
23	the various components right here
24	(i ndi cati ng).
25	We first did quite a bit of

We first did quite a bit of

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 69 environmental analysis, inventory analysis of a whole variety of things. We found that a considerable portion of Lloyd Harbor is highly environmentally sensitive, including the undeveloped and underdeveloped portions of Lloyd Harbor.

We did analysis regarding wetlands (indicating).

We did analysis regarding important ecological areas in the village (indicating).

We did analysis regarding soils (indicating).

There's a map showing existing zoning of the village, which is largely zoned for single-family homes that -- with a minimum lot size of two acres (indicating).

We then looked at the larger lots in the village, and then performed a development potential analysis of those larger lots,

11 04 09_Planning.txt
21 undeveloped and underdeveloped lots in the
22 village (indicating).
23 The objectives of the plan: To preserve
24 the village's residential character; to
25 preserve and enhance property values; to

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 70 preserve the village's rural appearance and natural fauna and flora; to preserve ecology, water resources, vegetation, and wetlands; to protect the community character and natural environment through proper zoning and other development regulations; and to cooperate with other jurisdiction, and we're going to get into that a bit later with respect to affordable housing.

And then there are a variety of recommendations -- and this comprises the heart of the plan, the recommendations -- and there are recommendations regarding environmental resources -- a whole variety of recommendations.

I'm not going to go through these individually, but I'd be happy to go back and go over these more specifically if you have the time to do that, or the interest.

There are recommendations regarding the village's tree line. As Lee said before, there are recommendations regarding the preservation of Lloyd Harbor, the harbor itself. Recommendations regarding lighting; Page 60

ı	Suffork County Pranning Commission 11/4/09 /
2	rising sea level; reducing greenhouse gas
3	emissions in a variety of ways; preserving
4	or enhancing local carbon sinks, there's a
5	variety of recommendations. And then we get
6	into the language and zoning recommendations,
7	which are perhaps the most important from a
8	zoning point of view, obviously (indicating).
9	Skipping through this
10	Going back to the development potential
11	analysis, the one of the significant
12	recommendations in this plan is to take most
13	of the properties that you saw highlighted in
14	red on that last map and rezone them from two
15	acres to four acres, basically for
16	environmental reasons because of the highly
17	environmental sensitivity of the property.
18	And this four-acre lot size was was chosen
19	as an appropriate minimum lot size because
20	it's deemed to result in the minimum decrease
21	in residential density needed to achieve the
22	benefits and preempt the significant adverse
23	environmental impacts which would be
24	associated with higher levels of development
25	(i ndi cati ng).

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 72

There are recommendations regarding the

1

	11 04 09_Pl anni ng. txt
3	village's park overlay district (indicating).
4	It's currently an overlay district. There's
5	a recommendation to take that overlay
6	district and create or change it into an
7	underlying zoning district, a basic
8	conventional underlying zoning district.
9	That underlying zoning district would be
10	applied to Caumsett State Historic Park, the
11	Target Rock National Wildlife Refuge, the New
12	York State Department of Transportation, the
13	(inaudible) property, another parkland within
14	the village.
15	There is also discussion in the plan of
16	creating a critical natural resource
17	protection area surrounding the harbor to
18	protect the harbor, as well as the use of
19	conservation easements to do the same thing.
20	And then there's a proposed land use
21	plan in the comprehensive plan which shows
22	all of these things, all of these
23	recommendations, but more most
24	specifically, the zoning recommendations
25	(i ndi cati ng).
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 73
2	There are recommendations regarding
3	looking at the buffer regulations in the

And then something of particular interest to the -- to the Commission, the village recognizes the need for affordable Page 62

village (indicating).

housing in the greater Huntington area, and is supportive of the development of affordable/workforce housing within and in close proximity to commercial centers consistent with Horizons 2020, which is the Town of Huntington's comprehensive plan update (indicating).

Further, regarding affordable housing, to the extent village residents can provide housing for their resident workforce, the village's zoning law permits and the village supports providing such living accommodations within the single-family dwellings comprising the village's housing stock. And further, the village is supportive of joining with other incorporated villages in the Town of Huntington to explore additional and alternative ways in which to provide

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 74 affordable housing in the town. The village is also supportive of intermunicipal agreements to advance the provision of affordable and workforce housing (indicating).

And then there are recommendations regarding roads and traffic (indicating). A whole variety of recommendations.

10 CHAIRMAN CALONE: What did it say about 11 LIPA?

	11 04 00 Dl omi na tut
12	11 04 09_Pl anni ng. txt SECRETARY ESPOSITO: He's not aski ng as
13	a Board member.
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, I just wondered.
15	MR. STAHLMAN: Well
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Don't worry about it,
17	tell me later.
18	MR. STAHLMAN: The village had attempted
19	to have LIPA remove the power lines at its
20	own expense.
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: At LIPA's expense?
22	MR. STAHLMAN: At LIPA's expense.
23	Recommendations regarding historic
24	preservation (indicating).
25	And I know I went through that at light
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 75
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 75 speed
	· ·
2	speed
2	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back
2 3 4	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one?
2 3 4 5	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical
2 3 4 5 6	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. MR. STAHLMAN: regulatory
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. MR. STAHLMAN: regulatory protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. MR. STAHLMAN: regulatory protection COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Gotcha.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	speed COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Could you go back to that last one? COMMISSIONER HOLMES: The historical yes. MR. STAHLMAN: Sure. In order to provide a direct municipal COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. MR. STAHLMAN: regulatory protection COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Gotcha. MR. STAHLMAN: from

	11 04 07_11 alilling. txt
17	alterations
18	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I understand.
19	MR. STAHLMAN: of the village's
20	significant sites and properties, a local
21	historic preservation law should be
22	consi dered.
23	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Great.
25	MR. STAHLMAN: Sure.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 76
2	We're here to answer questions
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Appreciate that.
4	Are there any questions at this time on
5	what we've seen?
6	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, we do also
8	have a presentation, of course, from staff
9	who's taken a
10	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: you know, a close
12	look at this.
13	But, yeah, Job, do you have any
14	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I just because
15	I know it's going to come up.
16	THE REPORTER: Mi crophone.
17	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I could ask this
18	of the staff, but since we're there.
19	Specifically, what does it mean to
20	provide affordable workforce housing within

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt existing single-family dwelling? Does that
22	involve an actual separate apartment with a
23	kitchen, or what what's the real
24	real-world manifestation of that statement
- · 25	i n-house?
20	TH House.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 77
2	MR. STAHLMAN: The real-world
3	manifestation is that on three acres, one can
4	have household help living in the same
5	dwelling.
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: So, in other
7	words, we would be talking about, for
8	example, a housekeeper who has a room in a
9	house?
10	MR. STAHLMAN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Okay. I'm not
12	sure that's what well, we'll okay.
13	That answers my question.
14	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Charla.
16	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I wanted to ask
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Speak into the mic,
18	Charl a.
19	COMMISSIONER CALONE: In doing the
20	inventory of resources for this plan, did you
21	include an inventory of historic resources in
22	the village?
23	MR. STAHLMAN: Yes, as a matter of fact,
24	we did. And if you look in the back of if
25	you look in the back of the plan, this rather Page 66

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 78
2	substantial document is the direct plan
3	(indicating), there's a listing of all the
4	historic resources that are on the state and
5	national register register of historic
6	places. We didn't do a brand-new survey, but
7	we incorporated new information into the
8	pl an.
9	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Thank you.
10	MR. STAHLMAN: Un-huh.
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Any other
12	questions at this time?
13	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, I believe
15	Dan
16	Thank you
17	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: David.
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: sir.
19	Yes, Barbara.
20	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: How much public
21	participation was there in the process of
22	putting this plan together?
23	MR. STAHLMAN: There was a considerable
24	amount. It started when the Village Board of
25	Trustees asked the Planning Board to create
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 79
2	the first draft of the plan. And the

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt Planning Board had quite a few meetings
4	public meetings. They also had at least a
5	couple of public hearing that I can recall.
6	At least a couple. And the Village Board has
7	had public hearings as well. So and
8	and the document's been discussed at a
9	variety of public meetings other than public
10	hearings. So there's been a considerable
11	amount.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Thank you, sir.
13	Appreciate appreciate your time. And
14	we're going to move on formally to the
15	consideration of the administrative items,
16	and the first item on the agenda is the
17	Comprehensive Master Plan from the Village of
18	Lloyd Harbor.
19	Deputy Director.
20	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: It's
21	interesting being in this forum
22	Is it all right if I stand here? So I'm
23	not
24	On one hand I'm thinking of Thomas
25	Edison because of the history of the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 80
2	building; on the other hand, when I'm holding
3	a microphone like this, it's hard not to
4	think of Wayne Newton and being
5	(Laughter)
6	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: in a lounge
7	act. Page 68

8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: You can sing come
9	up here and sing later.
10	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: My choice
11	would be Danke schön, one of my favorites.
12	The Village of Lloyd Harbor, it is one
13	of four villages located in the Town of
14	Huntington. It's located in the northwest
15	corner of the village, in addition to the
16	Village of Asharoken, Northport, and
17	Huntington Bay. It is 9.2 square miles in
18	area; it has 19 miles of waterfront; and
19	significantly, 1,500 acres in the center of
20	the village was comprised of the historic
21	Caumsett State Park.
22	(WHEREUPON, Director Thomas Isles joined
23	the proceeding in progress.)
24	(Time noted: 1:22 p.m.)
25	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: If anyone
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 81
2	hasn't been to Caumsett State Park, I would
3	advocate you strongly to go to Caumsett State
4	Park. There's a tremendous resource
5	regional resource located in the Village of
6	LI oyd Harbor.
7	The village is comprised of
8	approximately 3,675 residents, according to
9	the 2000 census. I had according to the
10	notes on the comprehensive plan, the original
11	master plan was done in 1965. There was an

11 04 09_Planning.txt update to the master plan done in 1977. In 1995, the village adopted a local waterfront revitalization plan program, or LWRP. And the most recent regional or comprehensive planning initiative is the one presented here today.

The village is largely, if not, almost exclusively residential in character. There is no commercial center within the village to speak of. The pedestrian-oriented downtown of Huntington, I believe, serves more than adequately as a commercial center for the village.

And, interestingly, the village is

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 82 actually divided. If you look by Lloyd Harbor, which almost bisects the village into a north and south end along West Neck Road, right here (indicating).

The village is surrounded by waterfront with the Long Island Sound to the north, Huntington Harbor and Lloyd Harbor to the south and to the east, and Cold Spring Harbor to the west. So it is uniquely situated as a peninsula surrounded by water.

The predominant zoning category for the residential development in the town is two-acre zoning. And I don't believe there's a higher density zoning category in the village, I believe it's all two-acre zoning.

Page 70

The mixture of lots is, again, predominantly two-acre with some larger -- I believe one of the earlier slides presented by the village showed the four-acre parcels and larger that exist in the village.

The village has done, I think, an outstanding job in terms of inventorying the natural resources within the village and looking at the environmental constraints of

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 83 development within the village. The comprehensive plan does contain a substantive component of a comprehensive plan enumerated under village law, and similar to what exists under town law. So I think all the essential elements of a comprehensive plan do exist.

Again, the local economy or analysis of potential economic concerns associated with the village are somewhat mitigated by the fact that there is no commercial zone in the village. And, again, they rely upon the Town of Huntington -- the unincorporated portion of the Town of Huntington to the south.

One of the issues that we look at in conjunction with any comprehensive plan is also the issue of housing. It's one of the essential components of a comprehensive plan. And, in particular, village law and town law

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt speaks to the need to look at the need not
22	only on a village-wide housing, but also
23	the issue of affordable housing throughout
24	the village.
25	As has been indicated previously by
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 84
2	Mr. Stahlman, there are three basic
3	components to their approach to affordable
4	housi ng.
5	One is to, as village law permits, allow
6	for accessory units within properties
7	within single-family homes on properties that
8	are free for a larger inside;
9	The second is a willingness to cooperate
10	with other villages in the Town of
11	Huntington; specifically, Northport, again,
12	Asharoken, and Huntington Bay in the
13	development of affordable and workforce
14	housi ng;
15	And the third is to support the idea of
16	intermunicipal agreements, or IMAs, on the
17	development of affordable and workforce
18	housing, in cooperation, I would assume, not
19	only with the other villages, but with the
20	Town of Huntington as a whole, consisting
21	with their recent comprehensive planning
22	effort known as Horizons, I believe, 2020.
23	One of the recommendations we would
24	make, respectfully, to the village is to
25	continue that effort. And I should point out Page 72

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 85
2	at the outset that we have appreciated
3	greatly the village's willingness to discuss
4	with staff the comprehensive plan, the
5	housing components to the comprehensive plan.
6	They've been very cooperative in dealing with
7	the village attorney, as well as the planning
8	consultants. You know, and we're very
9	appreciative of that that level of
10	cooperation.
11	However, we would still like to see some
12	degree of additional specificity in the
13	affordable housing area. Ideally, the plan
14	should incorporate the concepts of
15	quantifying the actual need for affordable
16	housing within the village, within the town,
17	within the region, and then to establish
18	goals and policies designed to attempt to
19	meet that fair share or the village's fair
20	share of that need for affordable housing.
21	Again, in summary, the comprehensive
22	plan does contain, I believe or we
23	believe, the essential components of a
24	comprehensive plan. I think they've done an
25	outstanding job in the natural resources

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 86 section inventorying existing conditions, and Page 73

1

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt identifying the many wonderful assets to life
4	within the village.
5	I think the one recommendation we would
6	have in summary is, again, to continue to
7	work on the idea of establishing an
8	affordable housing strategy in order to meet,
9	again, not the regional need for affordable
10	housing, but their fair share of that need.
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Dan.
12	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave, I have a
13	questi on.
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, sure.
15	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dan, you mentioned
16	providing housing within single-family
17	dwellings, and you termed it "accessory
18	apartments." But I'm under the impression
19	that typically an accessory apartment is
20	something which is available for rent in a
21	community, such as the Town of Huntington's
22	program. In this case, it's really accessory
23	in the most minor degree, which is to provide
24	housing for the person employed in the
25	family.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 87
2	That's my understanding from
3	Mr. Stahlman.
4	MR. STAHLMAN: That's correct.
5	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Again, there
6	are all types of accessory apartment units

out there regulated by a variety of Page 74

municipalities. One example would be exactly what you referred to, the idea of a rental unit within the existing single-family unit. Some accessory apartments that are out there are limited to family members within certain degrees of consanguinity, others are limited to any individual not related to the family.

Again, the broader the approach, the more I think -- the better they'll be able to meet the -- the really dramatic need that out- -- that exists for affordable and workforce housing in our region. Certainly, a significant issue to the region.

COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Dan, let me ask you a question.

Well, first let me agree with you, because I've been part of some of the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 88 conversations that -- A, it's great that the village is doing a master plan, it's the kind of thing we want to encourage, you know, all of the villages to be doing; and two, I think Lloyd Harbor has done a particularly good job of working with our staff at -- the county in terms of addressing a variety of concerns, and I think the plan is much -- you know, is very strong for it.

The affordable housing issue is one that

has been out there. It is obviously one of
concern to our entire county, it's one of
entire concern to our to our
commission. But the question I had was with
regard to something you said, which was with
regard to them kind of determining their fair
share. And I wonder how how can a village
best go about doing that, you know, take
the take Huntington Town. I mean, I
wouldn't feel like the Village of Lloyd
Harbor would even be in a position to kind of
determine what are the needs are for the
Town of Huntington in order to then determine
their fair share.

 Ω / Ω 0 Planning tyt

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 89
Obviously, there's some need, but I just wondered if the -- the Huntington plan, and I don't remember when we -- they did their presentation a couple of months ago, what their plan said about, you know, fair share and how to spread that burden.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: As the Board may recall, the Huntington plan was another, I think, again, great example of a community trying to do the right thing by developing a comprehensive plan and meeting the changing needs of a changing community.

One of the concerns we had with the plan was that it spoke to the idea of developing additional plans, and the response went Page 76

further into the comprehensive plan. One of those additional plans that was mentioned in Horizons 2020 was the idea of a housing plan specifically to address the need of housing throughout the town. So it lacked some specificity also in terms of identifying that need.

In terms of a town or a village going forward to meet that need, number one, I

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 90 think there are a significant number of studies that are out there that have tried to quantify the need for affordable and workforce housing. One of the ones that always comes to mind, which we've actually discussed with the village is -- is a study that was prompted by the Long Island Housing Partnership, and looked at the idea of how many existing households spend more than 35 percent of their adjusted gross income on housing costs as an indicator of a lack of affordability.

I believe at that time, which the study was done some time in 2002-2003, there was an identification of approximately, in the by-county region, 165,000 housing units. It exceeded where -- residents were exceeding 35 percent threshold in terms of income devoted to housing.

21	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt So certainly if you put that in context,
22	in the ten-year period between 19 the
23	early 1990s and the early 2000s, there were
24	about 65,000, 62,000 housing starts in Nassau
25	and Suffolk County. So if the need is at
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 9
2	165,000 or there's 165,000 arguably
3	unaffordable housing units out there in the
4	marketplace, and we only built or constructed
5	about 60,000 over the ten-year period
6	preceding that study, certainly the need is
7	significant and not easily able to be
8	achieved. But, again, I think the most
9	important thing from our standpoint, from the
10	staff's standpoint, is simply making an
11	effort to quantify that need and to make a
12	rational basis approach to addressing that
13	need on an equal, fair-share basis.
14	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job.
16	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I don't know if
17	you can answer this, but if the Town of
18	Huntington builds affordable housing or
19	increases its indebtedness by borrowing money
20	for the affordable housing, do those expenses
21	become part of the tax bill the residents of
22	the village is that a way in which the
23	village residents would participate?
24	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GUIL710: I'm not sure

how that debt service would be handled at the Page 78

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 92
2	village level, and, again, I wouldn't want to
3	speculate. My initial guess is, no, I don't
4	think the village is responsible for debt
5	services in the town.
6	Again, one of the options that we would
7	encourage in a village that specifically
8	focuses a part of its plan, is the idea of
9	entering some type of intermunicipal
10	agreement to allow for the development of
11	additional affordable and workforce housing.
12	Again, we'd like to see a little bit more
13	specificity with that, but I think that's one
14	approach to addressing that need. To form an
15	intermunicipal board, sit down together and
16	figure out an approach to addressing that
17	kind of town-wide need or at least the town's
18	fair share or the regional need for
19	affordable housing.
20	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Can I just
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, sure. And I
22	think maybe the mayor may be able to answer
23	that question, and I think it's appropriate
24	for us to allow him to answer that, or permit
25	him to.

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt Harbor and the other three villages in the
4	Town of Huntington are all assessed as part
5	of the open space bond. And that Frank
6	Patrone and I have discussed this at great
7	length. We are, certainly, I think, amenable
8	if a similar kind of funding, a bond funding,
9	was to be established by the town for
10	affordable housing, that we would expect that
11	we would all proportionally share in that,
12	too. We currently do for open space, and
13	that's assessed on everyone's taxes each
14	year.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Mayor.
16	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job, go ahead.
18	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Just one quick
19	comment on that.
20	That sounds like a great model. And I
21	come from East Hampton where we've done a lot
22	of upzoning over the years, and one thing
23	that we were always told in our comp plan is
24	never mention to supporting the value of the
25	real estate. And so I just throw that out to

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 94
2	you since that's if you do find yourself
3	in a legal situation or a challenge, that's
4	apparently not something you want to have in
5	your plan.
6	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Mr. Vice Chairman. Page 80

8	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Job.
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: While I
11	appreciate what appears to be a collaborative
12	effort both at the local level and l
13	certainly agree with the county, I have
14	serious reservations about what I've heard
15	and seen regarding this plan regarding some
16	of the Language and what appears to be
17	missing in some of the planning for some
18	pressing planning issues, including housing.
19	We here on the county on the
20	Commission, of course, have a responsibility
21	to look at regional efforts, we look at broad
22	planning issues from a broad perspective. We
23	just spent an extensive period revising our
24	guidelines to look at things like

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 95 community character, housing, of course. And we looked at this under a rubric of sustainable development policies. So from this perspective, looking at things where we have economic growth and new development that are balanced with environmental protection and preservation, and a concern for social equity.

transportation, environment, preserving

At the local level, there was really a requirement that not only the best localities

11 04 09_Planning.txt meet the needs of their current residents, but also consider future residents, and also accept their position in a larger regional community. I don't see that happening.

One thing that occurs -- what we cannot allow and not encourage is certain communities, no matter how unique, to become gated communities, to become walls that perhaps ignores some of their great responsibilities.

What I'm seeing here, especially in terms of the affordable housing, and what perhaps is my personal concern, is that the working families here in the county and in

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 96 the area are being handled as a burden that should be put somewhere else, rather than a real effort made to provide for these families in this village. So there are some responsibilities that have to be met.

So, again, I would be happy and welcome the village's participation on our housing task force, for example, to discuss some of these ideas and how these objectives can be met, but I do, as it stands, have some serious concerns about the language, including the overt reference to preserving property values in an area where the average house sold for \$2 million is -- is quite dramatic and quite striking.

17	So thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you,
19	Constanti ne.
20	Any other thoughts or
21	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Me.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: questions?
23	Yes, Adri enne.
24	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Mayor, do any
25	members of the village still drink from
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 97
2	private water wells, or are they hooked up to
3	the Suffolk County Water Authority?
4	MAYOR HAIRR: Everyone has the
5	availability of Suffolk County Water
6	Authority. There are still some few
7	residents who did not hook. The lines are in
8	place down the streets, they still have the
9	option of not connecting. So we do have a
10	few people in Lloyd Lloyd Neck who still
11	have wells by their own choosing.
12	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. That's what
13	I thought. That was you know, obviously,
14	when you have members of the public drinking
15	from private wells, for what needs to be put
16	into the preservation of the quality and
17	quantity of the water and the aqua system
18	but you certainly have enough open spaces to
19	do that. But I was wondering, was there
20	anything in those plans that talked about

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt fertilizer use and pesticide use, not only
22	from a drinking water perspective and
23	protection, but also for the many marine
24	environments that you have?
25	MAYOR HAIRR: The answer's definitely

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 98 yes, listed in the recommendations.

As part of the overall concern about runoff, in particular, the -- the body of water, Lloyd Harbor itself and the surrounding lands, they are all sloped down to the water, and our concern is with further or higher density development or -- or more intense development, then the increase will run off not only from -- from the yard of pesticides and all, but from other septic tanks and everything else that degrade that particular body of water, which has been designated as a very -- as a significant fish and wildlife habitat by the state.

SECRETARY ESPOSITO: It just makes me think that your village would be a perfect example of the type of municipality that may want to emulate the Suffolk County Law which is -- has banned pesticide use during the winter months from November to April, for instance -- I'm sorry, fertilizer use. Just as one small example to help you on your endeavor of storm water runoff and drinking water protection.

Page 84

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 99
2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you.
3	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: (Indicating)
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Linda.
5	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I just want to
6	expand upon what Job touched on earlier,
7	which was the concept of a unit within the
8	single-family house that would be for
9	somebody who worked for your family. And I
10	wonder, does that in your concept, does
11	that make it a maid's room, a room, or would
12	a unit be the traditional type of unit that
13	would be, you know, an accessory apartment,
14	which which would include a kitchen and a
15	bath?
16	That's I wonder if you can enlarge
17	upon that.
18	MAYOR HAIRR: In the context of which it
19	is in the zoning now, it would not be a
20	separate accessory apartment. It is space
21	where domestic help or others can live. You
22	are allowed, with the larger parcels, to have
23	a guesthouse, but that is also not for
24	outside not to be rented.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Any
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 100
2	other

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Job.
5	COMMISSIONER POTTER: This is more of a
6	Commission question.
7	l would feel understanding that
8	nothing that's put in the comp plan
9	represents a commitment by a town or village
10	to do anything, I'd feel a lot more
11	comfortable with this if this comprehensive
12	plan at least laid out some of the options
13	that that you've mentioned actually, so
14	that at least those existed in the comp plan
15	when and if the time came that the village
16	did choose to implement some of these
17	possibilities. Because it's I haven't
18	read the plan, which you need to understand,
19	but it doesn't seem that there any of that
20	is actually formally addressed in the plan;
21	is that correct? And maybe that maybe we
22	could make some kind of a conditional
23	approval.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, we certainly
25	can. And there is a condition in here that
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 101
2	talks about the staff recommendation is
3	approval with the condition that the village
4	better identify regional and local housing
5	needs and particularize strategies and goals

needed to meet its fair share of the regional

need for workforce and affordable housing. Page 86

6

8	It would seem to me that you're saying
9	that maybe there needs to be some more
10	specificity to that condition.
11	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Well, would that
12	come back to us then?
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No.
14	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: No.
15	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I think that's
16	more of what I'm saying.
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I don't know that
18	there's I don't think these things come
19	back to us; right?
20	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: (I naudi bl e)
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah. I mean, this is
22	not un unlike with something where we
23	have a zone and then a site plan, this is
24	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Like with any
25	application, if there were a substantial
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 102
2	change to the document
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.
4	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: and, again,
5	substantive as interpreted by the village,
6	then we would see an amendment to the
7	comprehensive plan potentially. But, again,
8	typically, if there's just some additional
9	editorial work or tweaking of the existing

see again at a subsequent time.

10

11

plan, then no, it's not a document you would

12	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt CHAIRMAN CALONE: I don't know if that
13	answers your your question, or if you had
14	something specific you think we it should
15	be included, you know, in a condition.
16	COMMISSIONER POTTER: It answers the
17	question, I just I mean, I I would hate
18	to vote disapproval because I can see so much
19	work has been put into
20	THE REPORTER: Job, I really I can't
21	hear you.
22	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I'm sorry.
23	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry.
24	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I was just saying
25	I would hate to disapprove the plan which has
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 103
2	got so much good good in it, and so much
3	work has been put into it, but I just, as a
4	Commission member, know I'll never see this
4 5	Commission member, know I'll never see this again, and we'll really never know what
5	again, and we'll really never know what
5 6	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor
5 6 7	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for
5 6 7 8	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave
5 6 7 8 9	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla.
5 6 7 8 9 10	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: this is just a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: this is just a point of protocol.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: this is just a point of protocol. Dan said that if there was an amendment
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	again, and we'll really never know what what took place. Maybe that's just a factor in our system here for COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Dave CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: this is just a point of protocol. Dan said that if there was an amendment to the comprehensive plan, then it would be

	_ 3
17	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: It would have to
18	be declared substantive by the village;
19	ri ght?
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah.
21	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I mean
22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: A couple of
23	poi nts.
24	In terms of whether or not the
25	Commission could place a reasonable condition
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 104
2	requiring an amendment of the plan with
3	respect to the housing component, I I
4	think you could do that. Whether or not that
5	amendment came back as a re-referral or as a
6	new referral, to be honest, you know, I don't
7	want to put counsel on the spot, but I'm not
8	certain it would still come back if that was
9	the need for the condition. It's hard to
10	speculate when I I don't know what the
11	condition is actually, but
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, the other thing
13	is this whole thing is an amendment.
14	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Right.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: So they're actually
16	amending the whole plan now we're adopting
17	the new one, however you want to it's a
18	wholesale amendment, essentially, to replace
19	the existing comprehensive plan.
20	So I would think that what we would do
	D 00

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt is put a condition in there saying that, you
22	know, we want we would ask the village to,
23	you know, do as staff says, which is better
24	identify regional and local housing needs.
25	And that could be made stronger, perhaps,
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 105
2	with something more specific along the lines
3	that Job mentioned, or or maybe
4	Constantine has some ideas.
5	But, you know, my personal feeling is
6	that I agree with Job, a lot of work has gone
7	into this, and and putting that aside,
8	there's actually a lot of good stuff in here,
9	perhaps, other than you know, we have
10	our our view on regional on affordable
11	housing, that's from a regional perspective,
12	and, you know, that I hear concerns around
13	this table, and that's that's
14	fi ne.
15	So the question, really, may be just how
16	do you strengthen the condition that's in
17	there
18	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Well
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: a bit?
20	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: One other
21	option and, again, not to put words into
22	the Commission members' mouths or to put the
23	village on the spot, but there's nothing that
24	would preclude any municipality from

requesting additional time to perfect their Page 90 $\,$

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 106
2	referral and to come back at a subsequent
3	commission meeting, even if it's within a
4	month at the next commission meeting, in
5	order to provide additional support to
6	address existing concerns of the Commission.
7	That being said, keep in mind that if
8	the village were not amenable to that
9	approach, then the Commission is obligated to
10	render a decision within the 45-day period,
11	or to have the referral result in a de facto
12	approval.
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, and I think I
14	mean, I don't think the village could
15	decide you know, I don't think the mayor
16	is authorized to speak on behalf of the
17	vi I I age.
18	Well, I shouldn't put words in your
19	mouth, but
20	MAYOR HAIRR: I understand the desire
21	for more specificity, but we we, at the
22	same time, have undertaken a detailed study.
23	If you go to the Huntington's Horizons
24	2020 that was issued in December of 2008 and
25	you read through the housing section, they
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 107

make reference for the need for housing in

 the whole town-wide Huntington. They say the Suffolk County Planning Department has estimated demand for approximately 3,500 new housing units -- that's total, nontraditional and traditional -- in Huntington between 2005 and 2030. That is the only specific number that we have seen.

You referred to the Long Island Housing
Authority for a bi-county one, but within the
3,500 units of traditional and
nontraditional, nontraditional being
affordable housing, there was no more
specificity as to what part of the 3,500
should be affordable housing, and there was
no other breakdown of that.

So referring to the town's plan, which has been approved, we can make reference to that number, but to try then -- assess the fair share for the village is very difficult as well. I think the plan or the condition that we are suggesting that if we have an intermunici - -- municipal agreement with the other villages, as we would hope with the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 108

Town of Huntington, that if they want to do bonding or something for a way of financing affordable housing -- and they've identified areas along the -- the corridors where they would see for high density areas and redevelopment of depressed or economically -- Page 92

marginally -- marginal areas to develop for affordable housing which has pedestrian transportation and other, you know, services nearby, then we would share with the other villages and the financing of that through the bond in a similar matter that we do for open space -- the open space preservation.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think one of the tricky things about affordable housing in Lloyd Harbor, putting everything else aside, is that it's not near anything in terms of commercial development or jobs or anything like that, and it -- there's no -- you know, as far as I know, no public transit anywhere close to it. So --

SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Right. There's no stores, there's no downtown.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 109

We can talk fair share, but obviously
there are places in the county that are
better suited to having affordable housing
units than others. That doesn't mean to say
that Lloyd Harbor shouldn't certainly
contribute in a meaningful way to that -that issue. So -MAYOR HAIRR: I think we share the same
situation as Huntington Bay and the Village

of Asharoken being a -- essentially a bedroom

12	community which doesn't have public
13	transportation within it, but and we don't
14	have sewer systems or, you know, there's
15	there's not the provision for high density
16	development, but that we were we're
17	willing to work with the Town of Huntington
18	to support them in in appropriate ways to
19	try to improve and add to the nontraditional
20	housing. I don't know how much more specific
21	we can get. We struggled with this.
22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: (Indicating)
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Dan.
24	Thank you, Mayor.
25	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: The only point

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 110

I would make is that the courts and their housing statutes talk about the need of each municipality to have a diversity of housing types meet the needs and tastes of all residents at all income levels.

So one of the concerns is that it shouldn't -- I don't think in the view of the court in Westchester, as the most recent example of it in terms of this increasing scrutiny on affordable housing, that's it's not just a matter of also making a financial contribution to the regional need of the affordable housing, but that also we at least have a responsibility to provide a diversity of housing types at various cost levels.

And so that's something that's -- again, it's not an easy issue to wrestle with, particularly for some municipalities as opposed to others that are more diversified based upon the history of their development patterns, but I don't think it abdicates the responsibility to think very specifically about how to meet those -- those needs and those guidelines being increasingly

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 111 identified by the courts.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Dan, obviously you have a sensitivity -- you know, you're focus on this affordable housing issue more than -- is knowledgeable as anyone up here, except for maybe Constantine. You came up with this condition, and I'd be interested to know why you kind of thought that was the right balance, you know, for that condition.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Well, what we tried to do with the condition is, again, not to dictate what the terms of the affordable solution ought to be, or what the specific terms should be to be included within the villages plan, but to simply, again, in a generic way, identify that there needs to be some type of rational approach, the identification of the need, and some type of rational response to that need in the form of

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt 21 a series of policies that would help to meet 22 that need. 23 So that's what we tried to do is to, 24 again, not to dictate what the terms ought to be, but to try to spell out what the approach 25 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 112 2 should be. And, again, approach should be 3 looking at the regional need, trying to 4 quantify what fair share of that regional 5 need ought to be included within the village, and then the development of strategies to 6 7 actually meet those goals established to the 8 inventory. 9 I think that's something that we would 10 look to and expect of any municipality. 11 Again, it's not easy. We have historic development patterns that make it very 12 13 challenging, because, again, we're dealing with 42 different municipalities throughout 14 15 Suffolk County alone. But I still think that 16 what town law and village law requires, and 17 what the courts are increasingly asking for is, again, additional effort to specifically 18 19 identify the need and to specifically identify the strategies to meet that need. 20 That was the basis behind the condition. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Any other 23 comments or questions? 24 (WHEREUPON, there was no response.) CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, I just want to 25

Page 96

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 113
2	entertain a motion that
3	COMMISSIONER McADAM: (Indicating)
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Tom.
5	COMMISSIONER McADAM: I make a motion.
6	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Seconded by Adrienne.
8	All those in favor of approval of the
9	comprehensive plan with the condition as
10	indicated that the village should better
11	identify regional and local housing needs and
12	particularize the strategies and goals needed
13	to meet its fair share of the regional need
14	for workforce and affordable housing, please
15	rai se your hand.
16	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And all opposed?
18	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Two, and we have one
20	recusal. So it's 10 to 2 and 1 recusal.
21	Okay. Next item on our agenda
22	Thank you, Dan.
23	The next item on our agenda is Water's
24	Edge from the Village of Port Jefferson.
25	Is that Andy or is that who's it?
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 114
2	MR. FRELENG: Ted.
_	WIR. FREELING. FGG.

3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right, Ted, let's
4	roll.
5	MR. KLEIN: Okay. Like you said, the
6	application is Water's Edge. It's referred
7	to the Commission by the Village of Port
8	Jefferson. The property is located on the
9	southeast corner of West Broadway and Brook
10	Road in the Incorporated Village of Port
11	Jefferson.
12	The Commission's jurisdiction for review
13	is West Broadway, that's State Route 25A, and
14	that it's also adjacent to wetlands from Mill
15	Creek.
16	The regional significance of the
17	property is that it's a 42 unit apartment
18	building opposed adjacent to a body of
19	water. And, therefore, the 42 units exceeds
20	33 percent threshold of a project or action
21	that involves the construction of 100
22	residential units to be connect to an
23	existing sewage treatment plant.
24	The subject site is can be
25	described it's presently used as a power
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 115
2	boat sales and service business, and a
3	resi dence.
4	The existing structures consist of one
5	and two story commercial buildings and one
6	resi dence.
7	The character is it's a corner parcel, Page 98

8 irregular in shape, mostly level and cleared, 9 and contains some wetlands associated with 10 Mill Creek. 11 The cover is -- well, it's mostly 12 cl eared. Some landscaping, some asphalt 13 paving, and crushed -- crushed stone parking. 14 Like I said, it is adjacent to a body of 15 water, Mill Creek. The total area of the site is 1.84 acres. It is zoned C-1 16 Commercial -- Central Commercial, and R-B2 17 18 Resi dence. 19 There is the boat yard in operation 20 (indicating). 21 And there is the zoning map 22 (indicating). 23 The applicant is proposing to raise the

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 116
adjoining three-story apartment buildings,
one mixed-use, which would contain
1,900 square feet of office use, a ventilated
parking garage on the first floor, and
apartments on the second and third floor
consisting of 52 units consist- -- which
would contain two studios, 34 one bedroom,
and 16 two bedroom apartments. The mixed-use
apartment building is -- is permitted in the
C-1 zoning, which is -- which the building is

existing buildings associated with the boat

business and residence and build two

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt There's a --12 situated in. 13 Go one back, please. MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng) 14 15 MR. KLEIN: There is an outline of the building (indicating). 16 17 According to village ordinance, 54 --18 excuse me, 84 parking stalls, that's one and 19 a half stalls per apartment and one stall per 300 square feet of office space, is required 20 21 to be provided on site. And the applicant is 22 proposing 94 parking stalls on site. 23 The requested variance is for relief for the building height limit of 35 feet, as the 24 25 applicant is proposing a three-story building 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 117 2 with the average roof line height of 50 feet 3 6 inches; that's 44 percent above what would 4 be permitted in accordance with zoning. 5 the actual roof ridge, or the height of the 6 building --7 Can we go to the slide showing the profile, please. 8 9 MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng) 10 MR. KLEIN: The ridge of the building -the actual height from the front curb would 11 be 62 feet. The building height is measured 12 13 from the vertical distance measured from the average finished grade at the -- at the front 14

of the building to the mean average height

between the eave and the ridge of the

Page 100

15

17	building. So this is the height is
18	measured between the average of these points.
19	Access to this to this site,
20	pedestrian vehicle access is from both
21	West Broadway and Brook Road, and an
22	additional point of pedestrian access is over
23	a footbridge which connects Caroline Avenue
24	Park to the site, which also connects the
25	rest of the downtown area.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 118

Environmental conditions of the site,
there are several.

One, the high groundwater table at some point within one foot of the surface;

DEC regulated wetlands is on and adjacent to the site;

And the site also contains soil -contaminated soils resulting from the plume
emanating from the Lawrence Aviation
Industrial site to the southwest.

As far as the comprehensive plan of the site the village has, the village currently doesn't have a comprehensive plan.

Staff recognizes the -- the benefits associated with this proposal of a mixed-use apartment and retail in the downtown area, which is conveniently accessible to the amenities of downtown shopping, restaurants, retail, and professional services. However,

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt there appears to be nothing in the referral
22	material indicating that any of the units
23	will be designated as affordable, and
24	therefore there's no clear clear
25	benefit clear public benefit associated
	bellet, the creating past to be bellet the decease area.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 119
2	with the variance request.
3	Furthermore, given the nature of the
4	proposal and the character of the surrounding
5	area, the proposed one the first floor
6	parking facility and excessive height for the
7	proposed building appears out of character
8	with the surrounding area, and over-intense
9	for the property.
10	Can you give me another slide.
11	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
12	MR. KLEIN: This is the view from
13	Brook from Brook Road (indicating). It is
14	evident in the referral material that, for
15	one, the scenic views of the adjacent
16	residences along Brook Road would be blocked
17	as a result of this proposal, and that the
18	benefit that the applicant does seek could be
19	achieved by other means other than a height
20	variance it requests.
21	Therefore, the staff recommends
22	disapproval for the following reasons.
23	The applicant would satisfy the on-site
24	parking requirement
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Ted, I think we can

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 120
2	I think I think we'll just I think we
3	can all read those two requirements, just to
4	speed things up.
5	MR. KLEIN: Yeah, but you don't think it
6	should be read into the record? It won't be
7	I ong.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, that's fine. I
9	think we can attach it to our the
10	approval.
11	MR. KLEIN: That's fine.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thanks.
13	Right. So just everyone's clear
14	MR. KLEIN: Go ahead.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I'm just going to
16	summarize for you, Ted.
17	Just that the it's for the reasons of
18	the on-site parking, as well as not
19	demonstrating compliance with the applicable
20	vari ance cri teri a.
21	Sorry, I just wanted to go ahead.
22	MR. KLEIN: Okay. I don't think I took
23	that long.
24	I'm done.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Good. Great.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 121
2	Okay. This is in Port Jefferson at the
	Page 103

	11 04 00 Dl anni na tut
3	11 04 09_Planning.txt village.
4	Adrienne, the village's perspective.
5	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Let's see, where
6	should we begin?
7	First off, I think we need to accent
8	that it does not it fails to demonstrate
9	that there'll be no adverse physical and
10	environmental impacts; that it also is one
11	foot from water table and that they want to
12	fill in the wetlands, which pretty much
13	guarantees flooding.
14	So we have a classic case here of
15	potential flooding of the development. We
16	have a classic case here of wanting to ignore
17	New York State Wetlands Laws, which will also
18	facilitate flooding. We have range of slopes
19	from 0 to 35 percent.
20	It doesn't say if the construction will
21	be on the highest slopes or or not, I'm
22	not sure, maybe you could answer that
23	questi on.
24	MR. KLEIN: Those slopes are associated
25	with the soil on the property.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 122
2	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yes.
3	MR. KLEIN: I think the steepest slope
4	would be near the creek. It's a relatively
5	flat site.
6	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. But it says
7	0 to 35 percent.
	Page 104

8	MR. KLEIN: That's according to the
9	soils on property. The soils in the soils
10	map
11	SECRETARY ESPOSI TO: Uh-huh.
12	MR. KLEIN: so I threw that in. I
13	didn't mean to confuse
14	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay.
15	MR. KLEIN: the Commission.
16	They aren't that steep. It appears
17	maybe at the bank of the wetlands it might
18	drop down.
19	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. And then the
20	other thing that I just need a little
21	clarity on also, please, is when you say the
22	plume from Lawrence Aviation has contaminated
23	the soils there, I assume that's with the
24	VOCs, Volatile Organic Chemicals.
25	Obviously, one of the concerns now is
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 123
2	that with VOCs is the infiltration when they
3	are in the soils into the building structure
4	which allows for buildup in the home. So,
5	normally, when you are going to do
6	construction of residential over known areas
7	of contamination, there would be assessments

Do we know if that was done for this?

MR. KLEIN: Well, the referral materials

Page 105

and tests done to understand better if that

potential exists.

8

9

10

12	regarding this application did not contain
13	that, but we did
14	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay.
15	MR. KLEIN: have something on file
16	that did address the evaporation of the
17	moisture in the ground up into the building,
18	while they did mention that the parking
19	parking facility on the first floor would be
20	ventilated.
21	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. That's the
22	new way to get around
23	MR. KLEIN: Un-huh.
24	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: VOC buildup.
25	Okay. Those are my questions for now.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 124
2	MR. KLEIN: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I'll just say I'm
4	pretty familiar with the area, having grown
5	up there, but I think that it's actually I
6	understand Adrienne's concerns, but I think
7	on that particular site, it certainly
8	would would be nice to see some
9	development there. It's part of the village
10	that kind of doesn't have anything there.
11	I'm not I think the design is it seems
12	to be pretty well done.
13	I I I certainly agree that it
14	it would seem to be a rather intense use of
15	that site, and that's something I think that,
16	you know, we can speak to and obviously the Page 106

village can ultimately decide. But in terms of actually -- as some kind of an anchor --as Danford's is an anchor on the other side of the village, have some kind of an anchor and some -- some residential space on that side of the village, I think would be a great thi ng. I am similarly also concerned with the

I am similarly also concerned with the lack of -- you know, the mention of the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 125 affordable housing. I think that is certainly a concern.

Michael, anything from the Brookhaven perspective generally about -- about this?

COMMISSIONER KELLY: No, but, you know, in terms of -- in terms of the -- some of the issues that -- that Adrienne raises, it's a very good point with the VOCs, but there are many -- many ways to solve that and to resolve it.

SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yeah, clean up the soil would be one.

COMMISSIONER KELLY: Vis-à-vis the soil basins, the soil barriers, and even the venting if -- if it goes that route. So --

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, I mean, just to be clear, that -- it certainly isn't these folks fault that the aviation plume is -- goes down underneath their property, though.

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt The guy's in jail who did it, and and
22	there's a superfund site you know, an EPA
23	si te now.
24	But that being said, it is what it is.
25	There is contaminants under their soil, and
25	There is contain hairts under their sorr, and
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 126
2	it's it's obviously a concern if we
3	have if residents are thinking to live on
4	top of that land. So and there are
5	mediation you know, there are ways to
6	mediate that, to some degree; right?
7	Is that what you're
8	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Well, you have
9	to you the way that the real way to
10	mediate it is to remediate the source area.
11	You're not going to change the hydrological
12	flow of plume. The reason it comes up in
13	that area is because of the creek, it's the
14	area of less pressure. The plume comes up in
15	that area, which means the VOCs are coming up
16	with the plume. The higher they are to the
17	surface, the more likely they are to be able
18	to migrate from the surface, through the
19	soil, into a building structure.
20	Yes, they vent buildings. But the new
21	philosophy is, if you know it's already
22	there, don't put the building on top of it.
23	Where venting is useful, it's it's when
24	the building was already constructed and they
25	didn't know VOCs would be entering and Page 108

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 127
2	building up into high quantities, they knew
3	then to buy an area to prevent further
4	contamination to public.
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Others?
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job.
8	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I have a question
9	for you, Dave, since you know the site. But
10	before I ask
11	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you.
12	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Sorry.
13	I wanted to ask you, Mr. Chairman,
14	because you're familiar with the site about
15	how you feel about the height of the
16	bui I di ng.
17	But, also, an interesting thing here is
18	that apparently or maybe this needs to be
19	explained. They're going for the Zoning
20	Board of Appeals variance before going to the
21	Planning Board, and the staff make a comment
22	about that. So I think that deserves a
23	little discussion.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Any other color on
25	that, Ted, or any thoughts on that?
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 128
2	MR. KLEIN: Well, just a comment.

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt We thought it would be helpful to the
4	village that that they review this site
5	plan and approve it subject to a variance.
6	So, therefore, they would not restrict the
7	by approving a variance, it restricts the
8	planning board from making appropriate
9	modifications to it. You know, regarding
10	the height, it is pretty out of character
11	with the area. It is significant. It's
12	44 percent above what would be permitted, and
13	there are other options that the applicant
14	does have to develop this site.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, I would say,
16	with regard to your question, Job, and
17	consistent with what Ted's saying, it
18	certainly would be, you know, the highest
19	thing on that side of the village. Directly
20	across the street, on the north side of the
21	street, there's a small shopping center. It
22	had a restaurant
23	MR. KLEIN: We have a picture of that.
24	I can show you a picture of that.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: which has some
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 129
2	haight host it was know this comments to

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 129
2	height, but it you know, this seems to be
3	considerably, you know, higher than that.
4	MR. KLEIN: What's the height of a
5	telephone pole; 35, 40 feet?
6	You know, it would be could be
7	20 feet above a telephone pole. Page 110

	= 3
8	Could we show the photo from the
9	frontage?
10	It's going to be pretty high.
11	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
12	MR. KLEIN: Go one one one more.
13	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
14	MR. KLEIN: Here's what it looked like
15	from downtown coming heading east on
16	25A, it would be about the height of the tree
17	I i ne.
18	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Can we
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, Job.
20	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Can we go back to
21	the elevation drawing.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, can you go back
23	to the elevation there, Ted.
24	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: That one. That one.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 130
2	Okay. I'm talking about the the
3	restaurant I'm talking about, II Maradoro's
4	on the right side, and it is which is
5	pretty high, actually, you know, for that
6	area, and so that would be considerably
7	hi gher.
8	MR. KLEIN: We have a picture of it;
9	right?
10	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Again, I think the

12	11 04 09_Planning.txt design is great if you're going to have
13	something like that. I just think it's just
14	rather intense.
15	MR. KLEIN: One more, John.
16	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
17	MR. KLEIN: Okay. Here is that
18	building maybe is this what you're
19	referring to?
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, that was the
21	restaurant I was referring to.
22	MR. KLEIN: Well, actually, this is just
23	an office building, and the restaurant would
24	be the next slide over.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, they're kind of
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 131
1 2	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 131 connected.
-	, , ,
2	connected.
2	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
2 3 4	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go.
2 3 4 5	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall.
2 3 4 5 6	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher than that building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher than that building. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher than that building. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. MR. KLEIN: And also, the parking the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher than that building. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. MR. KLEIN: And also, the parking the on-site parking, the only way they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	connected. MR. CORRAL: (Complying) MR. KLEIN: There you go. That's probably 30 35 feet tall. We're talking 20 feet higher than that. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Would it be higher than the tree line in that photo? MR. KLEIN: It would be 20 feet higher than that building. SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Okay. MR. KLEIN: And also, the parking the on-site parking, the only way they can feasibly achieve 52 units on site and provide

17	of the premises. They would not not
18	otherwise achieve that number of units.
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Other thoughts,
20	comments, questions, other observations?
21	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Ms. Bolton.
23	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I know this site
24	actually pretty well, and I have to say my
25	great concern with it is really the plume and

 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 132
the environmental issues, because there
really has to be a more clearly defined
responsible way of developing this site. And
that's my concern. I'm not concerned with
52 units, I'm not concerned with the design,
the height.

I am also concerned with -- along with that height and density, including a provision for affordable housing, which I believe is much needed in that area, and is -- would be, in fact, fairly conveniently located in terms of services and transportation.

So I think from those two, you know,
I -- I think that's a very important
consideration, and I think the -- the plume
and how one deals with that -- and it's not
my field, but I trust that the information
that I've heard is accurate and appropriate,

21	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt and I would tend to go along with that.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, I I don't
23	know that I guess the question would be
24	is there anything we can say about the plume
25	when it isn't their plume, and the EPA is
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 133
2	doing what they're doing? But certainly the
3	affordable housing is a good point for sure.
4	Maybe others have thoughts on if you
5	want to add a rationale as being to
6	include the plume, but
7	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: Excuse me.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Sarah.
9	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: I just have a
10	questi on.
11	Whether or not there was any information
12	in the referral material about whether or not
13	this site is going through the New York State
14	Brownfields Program. Because there are very
15	clear cleanup standards for soils, especially
16	in regard to use space standards of having
17	highest cleanup standards, protective of
18	human health, with residential properties and
19	the redevelopment of these sites.
20	MR. KLEIN: Not with this application
21	specific application regarding the variance,
22	but we did have something that was submitted
23	to us some months ago on file regarding the
24	mitigation of the of the the you
25	know, the gas potential gas evaporation of Page 114

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 134
2	the contaminants. Whether it went to a
3	Brownfields Program, I have no idea.
4	COMMISSIONER LANSDALE: Okay.
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right.
6	MR. FRELENG: Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Andy.
8	MR. FRELENG: Just one point of
9	information. I learned today that the Zoning
10	Board of Appeals is going to Pos Dec this
11	project, put it in environmental impact
12	statement process. That more than likely
13	will be referred to the Commission staff, and
14	we will definitely include the comments that
15	the Commission made back to the village so
16	they would investigate those issues that you
17	rai sed.
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Excellent. Thank you,
19	Andy.
20	COMMISSIONER McADAM: (Indicating)
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Tom.
22	COMMISSIONER McADAM: I have a question
23	maybe Adrienne if you don't mind.
24	You were talking about the plume and
25	and how how you could vent vent it
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 135
2	where the buildings are located, but do you
	Page 115

3	know how this affects a body of water, the
4	creek that's next to it?
5	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Well, it yes and
6	no. Yes, it depends on the chemicals
7	constituency of the plume. I'm not sure
8	exactly what chemicals are in this plume.
9	But with VOCs in particular, which are
10	Volatile Organic Chemicals, once they're
11	released from that pressurized situation,
12	they volatilize or become airborne. So
13	they're not known for their ability to settle
14	in soil or in sediment in a river or marine
15	environment.
16	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay.
17	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: But there could be
18	other constituents in that plume. I just
19	don't know heavy metals or or whatever,
20	I'm not sure. Those move much slower than
21	VOCs, but I don't know what that plume is
22	disbursing once it gets to the creek.
23	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Now, would that
24	come up in a SEQRA
25	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yes.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 136
2	COMMISSIONER McADAM: in a SEQRA
3	process
4	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: I would think
5	that
6	COMMISSIONER McADAM: (i naudi bl e)?
7	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Absolutely. Page 116

8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, let me ask a
9	question of Director Isles or the other
10	staff.
11	Given the information that Andy's just
12	shared, is there any reason why we
13	shouldn't we should, you know, forebear
14	moving forward with this now if there's going
15	to be, you know, significant additional
16	information coming down the pike?
17	In other words, you know, is this
18	premature?
19	MR. FRELENG: No, Mr. Chairman. I think
20	that the Commission has sufficient
21	information to act on the variance request.
22	It is an area variance, and I think that's
23	staff has presented the details related to an
24	area variance. This will come back to the
25	Commission as a site plan, so we will have
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 137
2	another chance to take a look at this
3	application, as well as it will go through
4	the SEQRA process. So I do not believe it's
5	premature to act on this referral.
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Thank you,
7	Andy. Appreciate that.
8	Why don't we move along. We had one
9	other item was added by Charla, without
10	objection, on affordable housing. I think
11	that's, you know, a pretty tried and true

12	11 04 09_Planning.txt thing to include in this.
13	So, seeing no objection. And then
14	Any other comments or questions?
15	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, we'll
17	entertain a motion.
18	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I'll make a
19	motion.
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Moved by
21	Commissioner Potter.
22	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: (Indicating)
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Seconded by
24	Commissioner Holmes.
25	The motion is for disapproval for the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 138
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 138 three reasons.
2	three reasons.
2	three reasons. First being that the height variance
2 3 4	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking;
2 3 4 5	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application
2 3 4 5 6	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the
2 3 4 5 6 7	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend to substantially undermine the effectiveness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend to substantially undermine the effectiveness of the zoning ordinance;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend to substantially undermine the effectiveness of the zoning ordinance; And three, that there is no provision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend to substantially undermine the effectiveness of the zoning ordinance; And three, that there is no provision for affordable housing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	three reasons. First being that the height variance as a result of the parking; The second being that the application does not demonstrate compliance with the applicable variance criteria and would tend to establish a precedent that would only tend to substantially undermine the effectiveness of the zoning ordinance; And three, that there is no provision for affordable housing. All in favor, please raise your hand.

17	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And abstentions?
19	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. That's 13-0.
21	Let's move on to the next thing, which
22	is the Town of Brookhaven town-wide law.
23	Who's got that?
24	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I do.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Dan.

 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 139
DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Good
afternoon.

Nonconforming uses are simply uses which were valid when established or rendered nonconforming by subsequent either adoption or amendment of a Town Zoning Code or Village Zoning Code. So a nonconforming use could be something as simple as a commercial use that was subsequently rezoned by the town to a residential district, thereby rendering it a nonconforming status, meaning, the use is not permitted by the zoning requirements or the zoning district.

Towns have also distinguished between nonconforming uses and nonconforming structures, traditionally. The nonconforming use could be a use that didn't comply to the requirements in the zoning code, but towns also distinguished to nonconforming buildings

11 04 09_Planning.txt
or structures, meaning, the structure doesn't
comply with the requirements of the code.

Meaning, they could possibly not need setback
requirements, front yard or a side yard
setback requirements.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 140

So it's important to keep that in mind as we talk about nonconforming uses that -- it could be the use or it could be the structure. And there are -- are used by -- in both capacities by towns and villages.

The purpose behind a nonconforming use is that it was designed to provide some type of a re- -- restyle for those uses which existed prior to the adoption of a zoning code or prior to the amendment of a zoning code, so that there would be some return on reasonable investor-backed expectations associated with the use.

The presumption behind nonconforming uses, though, is that certainly over time, they would be extinguished or terminated. In that, once someone recouped their reasonable investor-backed expectations, that the nonconforming use would be terminated typically within the lifetime of the structure.

To that regard, most local codes that have nonconforming use provisions have a series of regulations that restrict the Page 120

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 141
2	expansion, enlargement, restoration, or
3	reconstruction of nonconforming uses. They
4	also talk about terms such as abandonment,
5	subdivision, amortization of nonconforming
6	uses. And the Town of Brookhaven's code
7	currently does have many of those provisions
8	that you would see in a traditional
9	nonconforming use provision.
10	Most codes, when they talk about
11	reconstruction or limit reconstruction to a
12	certain percentage of a total either value of
13	the structure or the total square footage of
14	the structure, and there's usually two
15	distinctions made on that.
16	This one simply wants to reconstruct a
17	portion of it, then there's the town or
18	the village is typically more restrictive on
19	the percent of the structure they will permit
20	to be reconstructed. Most codes that I'm
21	familiar with look at about 25 percent.
22	However, most codes also make a greater
23	allowance or greater flexibility when there's
24	an act of God or a natural disaster that has

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 142 codes say that if you're simply constructing
Page 121

damaged a portion of the structure. Some

25

11 04 09_Planning.txt
a portion of the structure, you can only
reconstruct up to 25 percent, but if it's
damaged by fire or other natural disaster,
you can reconstruct a greater percentage, up
to 50 percent. And I think if you look at
most of the codes, the percentage varies
between 25 and 50 percent.

 Most of the codes also look at the idea of enlarging a nonconforming use or -- or minimizing the expansion of a nonconforming use. In the codes that I'm familiar with, I've seen everything from, you can't expand the nonconforming use beyond what currently exists, so you can only expand up to a certain percentage of a nonconforming use. And, again, what I've typically seen is someplace around 25 percent for expansions, sometimes maybe 50 percent at the liberal end of the spectrum.

Codes also talk about alteration of a nonconforming use. And when we talk about alteration, we're really talking about changing the nonconforming use from one

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 143
nonconforming use to another. And that's
typically prohibited by a zoning code or a
nonconforming use provision. Meaning, if
your nonconforming use is a retail store in a
residential district, that doesn't mean you
can change it to any other nonconforming
Page 122

commercial use, such as a warehouse facility or an outside storage facility associated with, say, industrial development.

So, typically, what you have is what you have, and you can have it for the lifetime of the use, but changing it to another use, extending the lifetime of it for restoration are typically things that are prohibited by most nonconforming use provisions.

Just two other -- quickly, two other provisions that are looked at.

One is abandonment. Traditionally, courts looked at abandonment very strictly. And what they said was, in order for there to be abandonment of a nonconforming use -- in other words, the property owner simply abandoned the use and forfeited the rights of that use, courts have said that it had to be

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 144 completely abandoned for the period of the -- of -- that's specified in the code, which is usually one year. So if you had, say, outside storage as a nonconforming use on your property, and you kept one car on that property as outside storage on a week during the year, courts typically looked and said, Nope, it wasn't abandoned.

Codes have since been amended to say that the substantial abandonment or

11 04 09_Planning.txt discontinuance of the use is enough to terminate that nonconforming use. So if half of the year that use is abandoned, that might be enough in some courts for you to say the use was abandoned or discontinued consistent

with a code provision.

The subdivision of a property on which a nonconforming use sits also typically terminates that nonconforming use, because in the court's view, if you subdivide the use and say you had outside storage on a 10-acre -- 10-acre parcel, and then you subdivided five of the acres off and sold it to development, even if that condensified the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 145 nature of that nonconforming use by reducing the density of it down to five acres from what was a 10-acre parcel.

Finally, most codes look at the idea of amortizing out nonconforming uses. Courts have looked at that typically as a balancing test. Meaning, has -- what is the impact to the neighborhood by the nature of the nonconforming use? Does it border on nuisance provisions where courts would typically perform an injunction on it anyway, and what is the reasonable investor-backed expectation associated with that use? Is there a significant amount of investment in the use that would justify the amortization Page 124

of that use or not justify the amortization of that use. So they kind of look at the idea of the potential impact or if it's a value to the property owner in trying to regulate nonconforming uses.

The code provision that we have today presented -- or referred by the Town of Brookhaven makes two fairly substantive changes to their nonconforming use provision.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 146

The first change -- and I'm paraphrasing so bear with me, but the first change allows for the reconstruction, restoration, or rebuilding of a nonconforming use, in whole or in part.

So what that would mean is that if you had a nonconforming structure, you could knock down, as we interpret it, the entire structure and simply rebuild it in place in kind without terminating the nonconforming nature of the use and nonconforming status of the property. That would seem to us to be somewhat inconsistent with the whole picture behind nonconforming uses.

And as it's indicated in the staff report, the courts have termed nonconforming uses as -- they have a "grudging tolerance" for those uses. Another term that the courts have used is that the law "affords"

11 04 09_Planning.txt nonconforming use. And I think that goes to
the basic premise that nonconforming uses
should have some rights to exist in light of
their uses, but, ultimately, the towns and
villages should be seeking a compliance with

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 147 local zoning requirements in termination of these nonconforming uses.

The other provision that's in the code in addition to restoring and reconstructing the nonconforming use up to 100 percent of that use is also permitting, as we interpret it, unlimited expansions to the nonconforming use subject to the other underlying setback requirements contained within the ordinance.

The concern that we would have with that is that, again, a nonconforming use is something that typically should be grudging tolerated by the town and by the courts, and if we're taking that nonconforming use and substantially expanding it, we're substantially intensifying the nature of that use and then again increasing the risk of basic incompatibilities with surrounding conformed uses which are adjacent and surrounding a property.

You know, one way of thinking of it is thinking of it in terms of examples, I think.

If we had a nonconforming use of, let's say, 1,000-square-foot country store that Page 126

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 148
2	happened to exist on a particular piece of
3	property over a period of time, it wasn't
4	very intensive, it was kind of a mom and pop
5	store that people went to. And then that was
6	expanded from 1,000 square feet to, say, a
7	15,000-square-foot convenience store, I think
8	most rational people or reasonable people
9	would look at that and say that that's a
10	potentially significant adverse impact to
11	surrounding properties, and certainly a
12	significant change in the nature and
13	character of development in the area.
14	The other thing we would point out is
15	that, we're not saying that any individual
16	nonconforming use couldn't be brought in to
17	conform with the codes or amendments of the
18	zoning ordinance, but per some other
19	mechanism. But it seems like simply allowing
20	for unlimited expansions for nonconforming
21	uses or unlimited restoration, repair, or
22	reconstruction of a nonconforming use would
23	be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of
24	the zoning authority that's implicit in in
25	local town zoning codes associated with

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt establishes a dangerous precedent to
4	perpetuate these these uses forever into
5	the future, and also allows for those uses to
6	be greatly increased in terms of the
7	intensity and potential impacts to
8	surrounding properties.
9	We think a better mechanism, if you want
10	to preserve an individual use, is to simply
11	allow the applicant to come in and seek a
12	rezoning of the application consistent with
13	the Local comprehensive plan and the Local
14	zoning codes. But, again, to kind of, on a
15	town-wide basis, allow for these unlimited
16	expansions or reconstructions is it seems
17	to us to be a significant concern.
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Dan.
19	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I have a
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Charla.
21	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: just a quick
22	questi on.
23	Do you have any background on why they
24	would have done this, since it effectively
25	would abrogate the purpose of nonconforming
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 150
2	use statute?
3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: We only have
4	the material that's referred to us as part of
5	the referral application, and it didn't go
6	into the background behind it.
7	The only other thing I would point out, Page 128

8	and I apologize for not mentioning it
9	earlier, is that some of these provisions for
10	reconstruction and expansion would almost
11	seem to be in conflict with other provisions
12	regarding discontinuance or
13	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Exactly.
14	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: other
15	provisions that are already incorporated in
16	the code, but I don't know the intent behind
17	it quite honestly.
18	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I do believe we
20	have
21	Is the building inspector still here?
22	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yeah, he's here.
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah. I mean, we
24	certainly have the ability to ask municipal
25	officials for help with our reasoning.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 151
2	So if you wanted to, sir, respond to
3	that question as to the genesis of that
4	bri efl y.
5	MR. GERHAUSER: This actually the
6	intent, and I think it was written correctly,
7	is that it doesn't affect nonconforming uses
8	but nonconforming buildings. The heading in
9	our Town Code 85-372 is "Nonconforming Uses,"
10	but then it goes on to cover "Uses,
11	Buildings, and Lots." And so the intent was

	11 04 00 Dl
12	11 04 09_Planning.txt not to affect nonconforming uses, to still
13	restrict that, but the nonconforming
14	buildings. And really for the one-family
15	dwelling. That dwelling that has the
16	nonconforming side yard, and we send them to
17	the Board of Appeals all the time. And the
18	Board of Appeals just routinely grants that
19	nonconforming whatever, 10-foot side yard.
20	So, right now, the code, once they were
21	exceeding the scope of work that's
22	exceeding 50 percent of the reconstruction of
23	that structure, we say you can't do it, and
24	then they go to the Board of Appeals.
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Gotcha.

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 152
2	MR. GERHAUSER: Did I answer it?
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job.
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: It makes me
7	wonder, though, if this isn't too broad an
8	amendment which is seeking to address one
9	particular problem that may bring in all
10	kinds of other headaches in addition.
11	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I agree.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think that's
13	maybe that's where staff's going on this
14	with this, too. It's just like they're
15	and and I think it's consistent with what
16	the gentleman from Brookhaven is saying, that Page 130

- 17 there may be situations where that -- that's 18 more an administrative hassle that you're 19 trying to avoid than anything else. And so 20 maybe the issue is just -- making it more 21 narrow itself. 22 May I comment on that? MR. GERHAUSER: 23 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Of course. 24 MR. GERHAUSER: Yes. 25 Okay. I -- I wrote this, but it was at
- 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 153 the direction of Commissioner Martin Haley, 2 and although the intent was certainly to help 3 the one-family dwelling, the way it's written 5 does affect all buildings as long as the use is conforming. So conforming uses and 6 nonconforming buildings, no matter what the 7 8 use.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: (Indicating)
CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay, Dan.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Just one of the things to point out in connection with -- even if we're talking about just buildings and not uses, one of the concerns that we have from the staff's standpoint is that, say if you had a nonconforming set back of 5- or 10-foot for, say, a sunroom -- a 10-foot-wide sunroom, the way we interpret -- again, if

11 04 09_Planning.txt
we're misinterpreting, we're happy to stand
corrected, but that would mean that you could
continue that set back for another 40-foot
provided it didn't encroach any closer to the
nonconforming setback that currently exists.

21

22

2324

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 154
And, again, in our view, that would be
further intensifying a nonconforming setback,
and there would be, I think, a host of other
issues associated with a larger structure
closer to the property line than a smaller
structure.

I certainly also, as a former town employee, recognize the significant problem they have with nonconforming structures. Because, again, as I think I've mentioned in other meetings, there are over 101,000 one-acre zoned properties in the Town of Brookhaven, 93 percent of which don't meet the minimum one-acre zoning requirements. you have 93,000 structures that potentially don't meet the basic minimum setback requirements, and every time you want to do an addition to that nonconforming structure, it raises the potential of having to go to the Board of Appeals, as well as having to do title reports to determine when those setback requirements were actually imposed on that property.

It's an incredible bureaucratic
Page 132

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 155
2	nightmare, which I think the town should be
3	applauded for trying to address. I'm just
4	not sure if, again, it's too broad and causes
5	other problems in addition to trying to
6	address those immanent problems.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Dan.
8	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating)
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And Michael.
10	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Just trying to go
11	back and back over time. I believe a lot
12	of the rezones or upzones happened back in
13	early 2000, 2002-2003. So, you know, I just
14	don't know what the percentage of those types
15	of uses or those buildings at that point in
16	time were conforming at that time, and now
17	are not. And, you know, I don't I don't
18	see how fair it is to those landowners or
19	those property owners or those business
20	owners that now that they are
21	nonconforming because of any rezone back
22	then, you know, where do they stand now
23	having to go for having to go for a rezone
24	is a is a substantial undertaking. And if
25	you're a small you know, if you're a small

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 156 business owner, I think it's -- it's overly Page 133

11 04 09_Pl anning.txt burdensome to have to put them through that 3 4 task, especially if the town is trying to 5 ease the burden on that. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: It's a delicate balance, and it's a difficult 7 balance. I would say that I think the 8 9 overall majority of the residential 10 structures that the chief building inspector was referring to previously occurred as a 11 result of a town-wide rezoning back in 1996. 12 13 It was done as a part of their comprehensive plan update. That's when, in effect, the 14 15 entire eastern portion of the town was 16 upzoned from, say, quarter- and half-acre 17 zoning to one-acre and two-acre zoning. That's where I think the bulk of those 18 19 nonconforming structures stem from. actually not the commercial properties. 20 think as a significant problem as it is, 21 22 those single-family residents in the A-1 23 district, the one-acre zoning district. 24 CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Any other 25 thoughts or comments? 1

Sı	uffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 157
	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I mean, to cut to the
(chase here, I mean, it seems like there's
Ť	there's probably good public policy in here,
ŀ	but it may just be to broad and and,
ı	perhaps, we should simply just say that. Page 134

2

8	That we're concerned that while there might
9	be a that there we're simply just
10	concerned that it's too broad, may may
11	be may be the answer. And, you know
12	and I think you've heard it from us, kind of
13	some of the concerns around the table, and
14	maybe that's something you can can help,
15	you know, the town and your thinking.
16	Any other thoughts or questions?
17	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I'm just looking at
19	these reasons. I want to make sure that none
20	of the reasons are no longer valid.
21	(Perusing a document)
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think that those
23	reasons probably still hold. We may want to
24	add the an additional reason, which is
25	simply that, you know, the law as written may
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 158
2	be, you know, applicable more broadly than is
3	necessary, or something like that.
4	Any objection to adding that as a
5	reason?
6	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I thought
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, Barbara, go
8	ahead.
9	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I thought you'd
10	go further, perhaps, David, commending
11	THE REPORTER: I can't hear you,

11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt 12 Barbara. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: I might suggest 13 going a little further, commending on intent. 14 15 But -- that it's -- it's gone too broad. CHAIRMAN CALONE: So while the intent of 16 17 the law may be good --18 SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Val ue. 19 CHAIRMAN CALONE: -- may be of value, the scope as written may be -- may be too 20 broad and lead to unintended consequences. 21 22 SECRETARY ESPOSITO: 23 COMMISSIONER HORTON: What state of --24 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Go ahead, Josh. 25 COMMISSIONER HORTON: What -- what stage 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 159 2 in the legislative process is this? It's 3 gone to public hearing and awaiting our 4 comments and feedback, prior to closing the 5 public hearing to vote on this? 6 MR. GERHAUSER: This had a public 7 hearing on Tuesday, October 27th. And at 8 that time, the Town Board held it over. 9 one of the things they were looking for was 10 comments from this Commission. COMMISSIONER HORTON: 11 The --12 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Go ahead, Josh. COMMISSIONER HORTON: 13 The reason I ask 14 that is that -- and I may be incomplete -- I may be alone on this, but it -- I feel like 15 this is a code that would be a matter for 16

	11 04 07_11 allilling. txt
17	local determination by that municipality. I
18	think when you're talking about, perhaps,
19	subdivision codes, that has a potential to
20	subdivide mass acreage in a community. I
21	mean, here we're talking about you know,
22	that seems to be something where the planning
23	commission would exercise some input. But
24	this this is, you know, dealing with, you
25	know, basically nonconforming matters that
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 160
2	are you know, can are and can be
3	complicated beyond anybody's wildest
4	imagination, and I think that municipality
5	you know, trying to address them, I think
6	should be left to do so.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: With the comments.
8	You're you're
9	COMMISSIONER HORTON: No, I'm saying
10	I feel like it's a matter for local
11	determi nati on.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Without these
13	comments. I mean, you would
14	COMMISSIONER HORTON: Yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: say okay.
16	COMMISSIONER HORTON: I do.
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. That's fine.
18	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Go ahead, Charla.
20	COMMISSIONER HORTON: I'm a former

```
11 04 09_PI anning. txt
21
           supervisor --
22
                COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I feel --
23
                COMMISSIONER HORTON: -- who's very
24
           protective of that, so --
25
                CHAIRMAN CALONE:
                                  No, that's fine. I
1
          Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 161
 2
           wasn't sure if you were saying that --
 3
                COMMISSIONER HORTON:
                                      (I naudi bl e)
 4
                CHAIRMAN CALONE: -- you leave it for
 5
           local determination, but you -- these
           comments -- that there's a concern about
 6
 7
           being overbroad, or just say local
           determination without comments; that was my
 8
 9
           questi on.
10
                COMMISSIONER HORTON: Well, I haven't
11
           made -- taken a position either way on that.
           I --
12
13
                CHAIRMAN CALONE:
                                  0kay.
                COMMISSIONER HORTON: I do feel it's a
14
15
           matter for local determination.
                                             Comments, I
16
           think, are always constructive and helpful.
17
                CHAIRMAN CALONE:
                                  0kay.
                                         And the
           comments -- if it's local determination, the
18
19
           comments are merely just comments. If it's
           disapproval, then the comments have a
20
21
           little -- have -- have -- have teeth to it,
           to some degree. And your point is just local
22
23
           determination would be how you would see it
24
           going -- okay. That's fine.
25
                COMMISSIONER HORTON:
                                      Yeah, and I didn't
                           Page 138
```

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 162
2	make myself very clear in my argument for
3	that, but
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, no. And I
5	think
6	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, Charla.
8	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: It is vis-à-vis
9	Josh's comment, I don't believe it's a matter
10	for local determination, and I do believe we
11	have a role here for the simple reason that
12	it's a very poor example that should not
13	be it's a situation where two wrongs don't
14	make a right.
15	I mean, the initial rezoning that was
16	done that rendered some incredible number of
17	nonconforming parcels, I believe, should be
18	addressed in and of itself, and then another
19	wrong, which is really inappropriate and too
20	broad, should not be slapped on top of it to
21	solve a problem which was created in the
22	first place by an ill-conceived zoning
23	scheme.
24	And for that reason, I think we do have
25	a role in in making sure that the law, as
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 163

3	serve as a precedent and of some utility,
4	possibly, in fixing other ill-conceived
5	zoning schemes.
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
7	COMMISSIONER HORTON: If I may.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Josh.
9	COMMISSIONER HORTON: Well, I don't
10	think it's the position that at least from
11	the staff that I didn't understand that
12	staff was declaring that the the rezoning
13	was an ill-conceived zoning scheme. This
14	is what what the building department
15	and the town board seem to be trying to
16	address is the issue that arises any time
17	properties are rezoned and properties are
18	rendered preexisting nonconforming. And
19	even even if even in the best of
20	planning, preexisting nonconforming happens
21	when properties are rezoned.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER HORTON: Is it is this a
24	quick-fix or too broad a fix to in
25	response to what the planning staff feels is
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 164
2	poor poor zoning or bad zoning or
3	ill-conceived zoning?
4	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I wouldn't
5	characterize Brookhaven's approach I'm
6	reluctant to criticize any approach as
7	ill-conceived. I I would say that what Page 140

the town has is they -- they are struggling to balance a series of competing interests.

The basis behind those rezonings -- I think a Laudable purpose behind those rezonings in 1996 and in the Late 1980s was simply to minimize the amount of environmental impact associated with density and development that was being proposed and planned and permitted by zoning at that time, and, in fact, what they sought to do is to match Suffolk County's Department of Health Services regulations related to groundwater density.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: If I could interrupt for a second. I don't think -- it is what it is, I mean -- and I think the question for us probably is simply, is this -- is this a concern on a county-wide basis because of a

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 165 precedent that it would set that might be looked to -- by other towns and villages? I think that's a legitimate concern. And for that reason, we might consider doing it as -- you know, as a disapproval.

It seems to me that there probably isn't any disagreement about whether actually we convey the comments about the concerns. It's just a question of whether it's a local determination or whether it's disapproval,

12	11 04 09_Planning.txt the slight differences in the impact of those
13	two things.
14	And I think, Josh, what you're saying
15	is is, what?
16	I think what Charla may be if I can
17	capture it, is saying it's more it would
18	be a bad precedent, that other you don't
19	want other towns looking to something so
20	broad, as an example, and therefore we should
21	weigh in on it. At least that would be my
22	perspective on it.
23	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Dave.
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Job.
25	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Is the building
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 166
2	inspector still there?
3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: In the shadows.
5	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: In the shadows.
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Okay. My personal
7	feeling is that this is something that we
8	should act on
9	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER POTTER: because of its
11	precedent. But I just want to say that in
12	East Hampton we had this issue, and we ended
13	up developing a sliding scale for setbacks on
14	residential lots that related the the
15	setbacks to the size of the lot, and that got

us out of this ZBA situation. Page 142

	= 3
17	MR. GERHAUSER: Well, certainly,
18	whenever I'm writing a code, I always look to
19	other townships rather than reinvent the
20	wheel.
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. We have I
22	think there's two
23	Well, why don't we just take a quick
24	pol I .
25	Does anyone feel strongly, in addition
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 167
2	to Josh, that this should be a local
3	determination?
4	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating)
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Michael. Okay.
6	Anyone el se?
7	COMMISSIONER McADAM: In addition to
8	Josh?
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, yes, in addition
10	to Josh.
11	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Yeah, me.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
13	And what about those who feel like we
14	this should be a disapproval as opposed to
15	the local determination?
16	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. So that's the
18	maj ori ty.
19	Okay. Without objection, I'd like to
20	add in the rationale or comment that while
	Page 143

Page 143

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt the intent of the law may be of value, the
22	scope that's written may be too broad and
23	lead to unintended consequences.
24	Is there any objection to that either
25	way we go?
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 168
2	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
3	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. It seems like
4	we have a well, certainly a majority
5	probably for disapproval. So l'II entertain
6	that motion.
7	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: So moved.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Moved by
9	Commissioner Bolton.
10	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: (Indicating)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Seconded by
12	Commi ssi oner Tal done.
13	All in favor of adopting the staff
14	report for disapproval for the four reasons
15	indicated, please raise your hand.
16	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: That's nine.
18	Opposed?
19	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: That's three. And we
21	have no abstentions.
22	Thank you very much.
23	Let's move on to the next thing, that's
24	the Westhampton Senior Living.
25	(Discussion held off the record) Page 144

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 169
2	MR. FRELENG: Okay. The next regulatory
3	item before the Suffolk County Planning
4	Commission is the application of the
5	Westhampton Senior Living. This is being
6	referred to us from the Town of Southampton.
7	Jurisdiction for the Commission is that
8	the subject application is adjacent to
9	Old Country Road, which is County Road 71,
10	and adjacent to Suffolk County Land, and also
11	within the Central Pine Barrens. That's a
12	typo there, it's not within 500 feet of
13	wetlands.
14	The applicants are seeking Town Planning
15	Board special exception/site plan approval to
16	permit the construction of a two-story,
17	100-bed proprietary rest home, otherwise
18	known as an "assisted living facility," and
19	this would be approximately 61,360 square
20	feet. The proposed facility is to share
21	access, off-street parking, and wastewater
22	treatment with an adjacent 180-bed nursing
23	home. That's the Westhampton Care
24	Incorporated. All the parking that is
25	required by Southampton zoning law is in

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 170 conformance.

11 04 09_Pl anning.txt As you can see, the subject property is located on the north side of Old Country Road, approximately 600 feet west of Summit Boulevard which is a town road, and this is in the hamlet of Westhampton. The land use and zoning pattern in the vicinity --If you take a look at the zoning map a second, you can see that the zoning in the vicinity is predominantly residential

 (indicating). You have several forms of residential zoning; CR-200, WRPDD, which is a Residential Planned Development District, and south of County Road 71, you can see again that that's all predominantly R-40 with a little finger of R-20 slipping in.

The land use in the area south of Route 71 is pretty much in conformance with the zoning.

North of 71 -- I don't have my pointer with me -- but north of 71, just to the east of the subject site, is a -- is a long narrow strip of land belonging to Suffolk County,

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 171 and adjacent to that site is the Southampton Town resource recovery facility and waste transfer station. We can see that the town is encroached a little bit onto county land, but that's not relevant to our review (indicating).

West of the subject site is the existing nursing home facility, and west of that is a little bit of residential zoning. And the -- the compound looking thing right there is the Suffolk County facility that the BOMARC -- the old BOMARC missile installation (indicating).

If you take a look at the site plan (indicating), the applicant is proposing access -- shared access with the existing nursing home. There is a cross access agreement, presumably, that would exist between the two homes. What isn't quite clear is that there may be pedestrian cross access proposed for the -- for the site plan.

With regard to environmental constraints on the subject property, it should be noted that the property is located in

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 172
Hydro-geologic Ground Water Management
Zone III. It is located in the Central
Suffolk New York State Special Groundwater
Protection Area. This site is located in the
Town of Southampton Aquifer Protection
Overlay District, and the site is located in
the Central Suffolk Pine Barrens zone also
designated as Compatible Growth Area pursuant
to the Central Pine Barrens Joint Planning
and Policy Commission's Comprehensive Land

12	11 04 09_Planning.txt Use Plan. Pursuant to the Comprehensive Land
13	Use Plan, the site is designated as a
14	Residential Receiving Area District for
15	as-of-right development and as-of-right
16	retirement of Pine Barren Credits.
17	The Town of Southampton Comprehensive
18	Plan and Implementation Strategies designate
19	this site for residential use and as a TDR
20	receiving site.
21	It's the belief of the staff that the
22	requested special exception/site plan is
23	reasonably appropriate notwithstanding the
24	following issues. And I won't enumerate all
25	the issue verbatim, but I will tell you that
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 173
2	the most significant aspect of this project
3	site is not necessarily the design, it's
4	Go to the aerial a second.
5	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)

site is not necessarily the design, it's -Go to the aerial a second.

MR. CORRAL: (Complying)

MR. FRELENG: -- it's the fact that this site has been designated as a RRAD, an as-of-right residential receiving area district. The applicant, while recognizing that, makes no further elaboration on the need to retire credits on the site, why he hasn't proposed to retire credits on the site, or why the town, for that matter, hasn't imposed retiring credits on that site.

What is significant about that is that there is a balance equation between areas

Page 148

that are sending development rights and areas that are intended to receive development There's supposed to be a ri ghts. market-demand ratio of sending to receiving si tes. You should have more receiving sites than you do credits to absorb so there's a demand for credits. Southampton has a limited number of as-of-right receiving If you don't have an as-of-right si tes.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 174 receiving site, you have to go in for a change of zone for additional density, and that is a time-consuming process.

As-of-right receiving site, a RRAD, means that you can go to the Town Board and say, I'm going to bring in X amount of credits for increased density. And that is not a discretionary tool, that's as-of-right.

The problem with this application is that they're not retiring any credits. So that's a fundamental policy issue that we need to remind the Town of Southampton that they are obligated to implement the Pine Barrens Plan, they have a limited number of receiving sites, and they should retire credits on this site.

Having said that, the analysis in the plan -- the environmental analysis of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan assumed that

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt every site would assume 20 percent additional
22	density than their as-of-right. If you read
23	from the staff report, this is a five-acre
24	zone. As-of-right development for this
25	ten-plus-acre site is two lots. 20 percent
	·
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 175
2	of two is less than one, but if you round
3	off, you would require the retirement of one
4	Pine Barren Credit.
5	So it is not a significant amount of
6	credits that the town should retire, but it
7	is a logical argument that they need to
8	analyze every time they change the zone or
9	allow increased density in a receiving site,
10	particularly an as-of-right receiving site,
11	they need to address that equation. How much
12	receiving area do we have as opposed to how
13	much credits we have to absorb. And the town
14	does not do that.
15	So we believe that that's the most
16	significant problem with this application.
17	There are a few other issues with regard
18	to the application. The clearing analysis on
19	the site
20	Go to the site plan a second, John.
21	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
22	MR. FRELENG: The oblique.
23	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
24	MR. FRELENG: The clearing analysis on

the site is somewhat in conformance with the $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$$ 150

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 176
2	site plan. They have this piece over here
3	that they're including as part of their
4	clearing calculations (indicating). So when
5	you include this and the overall site
6	together, they're just over 25 percent
7	clearing. The Commission's require
8	standard for clearing within Pine Barrens is
9	25 percent for projects such as this, zoned
10	CR-200. So we believe that the town should
11	just take a closer look at the clearing
12	proposed to this site and make sure that it
13	is in conformance with the Pine Barrens
14	clearing standards.
15	In addition, if they are above the
16	clearing requirement, that would trigger the
17	Central Pine Barrens Commission to have to
18	take a look at this site in the compatible
19	growth area. If they are in conformance,
20	though, with clearing, they would not need to
21	go to the Commission.
22	We also feel that while this site is a
23	proprietary rest home which is working in
24	conjunction with the adjacent nursing home,
25	I'm sure you're familiar that a proprietary

	11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt
3	apartment-style units and have nurses or
4	doctors on call. The whole facility in total
5	is considered a living an assisted living
6	facility were you rent a unit on a
7	month-by-month basis, you have a certain
8	amount of medical available to you, and if
9	you need to in the future, you can move into
10	the nursing home. So it's a one-stop
11	shopping, if you will, for aging in place.
12	So while the application is set up this
13	way, the applicants make no provisions for
14	affordable units. Staff wrestled with this a
15	little bit. This is a commercial enterprise,
16	they will be collecting rent on a monthly
17	basis. Presumably, seniors are on a fixed
18	income, so we believe that there should be
19	some provision for affordability in this
20	in this application. For as-of-right
21	applications, the Commission's standard is
22	10 percent affordability of the units, and we
23	are recommending that the Commission put
24	forward that condition.
25	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: All right.

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 178
2	Well, there's
3	MR. FRELENG: Just two more things.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay. Sure.
5	MR. FRELENG: I'm sorry.
6	Real quickly, there was no indication
7	that there was any pedestrian accessibility Page 152

between the parking that they're going to share between the two sites. And the two sites, presumably, if you drive up into the assisted living facility and can't find parking, you'll have to park here (indicating), and then you'll need to walk back over there. And there are no sidewalks on site.

And then the last point from staff is the application materials referred to the Commission did not indicate whether there was any energy efficiency in the design of the project, or public safety taken into account. And, as you're aware, the Commission does have standards for public safety and energy efficiency.

So the staff is recommending approval subject to the following conditions, and they

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 179 are enumerated in the staff report. I won't need to go through them, but the most significant one is the retirement of the Pine Barren Credits.

VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: All right.

Thank you very much, Andy. I'll just fill in for the chairman for a moment.

I guess we'll start with our representative from -- from Southampton, so Commissioner Roberts, any thoughts on this?

12	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: This project, as
13	you can imagine, is being closely watched. I
14	would just point out that the project already
15	was cut back in size.
16	The biggest concerns in the community
17	were some concerns with demands on emergency
18	vehicles, and the developers have worked out
19	well are cooperating with those issues.
20	So it has a good feel in the community.
21	I think most people feel that this definitely
22	is a necessary and/or any serious issues
23	that have evolved.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay. Great.
25	Thank you.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 180
2	COMMISSIONER McADAM: (Indicating)
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA:
4	Commissioner McAdam.
5	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Andy, I just
6	wanted to go over the geography a little bit.
7	To the left on the top, is that the
8	impound yard for the county?
9	MR. FRELENG: Yes, the this site here
10	is the BOMARC missile facility, which is no
11	longer a missile facility (indicating). And,
12	yes, there are several county facilities here
13	(indicating). The impound yard is here
14	(indicating). There's
15	COMMISSIONER McADAM: The firing range?

MR. FRELENG: -- the firing range is Page 154

	11 04 07_11 allining. txt
17	here (indicating). There is county
18	records are here county storage of records
19	are here. There's several several
20	facilities that are ongoing. Suffolk County
21	Water Authority has a well site here
22	(indicating). So there are various things
23	going on at that facility.
24	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Now, what about
25	the property immediately to the east of it, I
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 181
2	guess?
3	MR. FRELENG: Immediately to the east
4	COMMISSIONER McADAM: It looks like a
5	loop road. Maybe to the west then, the other
6	wa y .
7	MR. FRELENG: This here (indicating).
8	COMMISSIONER McADAM: No. All the
9	wa y
10	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: On the other side
11	of the project.
12	COMMISSIONER McADAM: On the other side
13	of the project.
14	MR. FRELENG: On the other side of this
15	proj ect
16	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Yes. The other
17	way.
18	MR. FRELENG: That way (indicating).
19	COMMISSIONER McADAM: That way.
20	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: Yes.
	Dago 155

Page 155

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt MR. FRELENG: That is the Town of
22	Southampton's solid waste transfer station
23	and resource recovery facility.
24	There is a buffer strip although this
25	dirt road encroaches into the buffer strip,
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 182
2	this strip here is Suffolk County land
3	(i ndi cati ng).
4	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Can we see a
5	bigger picture of that?
6	MR. FRELENG: Do you have the oblique?
7	MR. CORRAL: (Compl yi ng)
8	MR. FRELENG: That's the best we can do
9	for you.
10	COMMISSIONER McADAM: So that, to the
11	right then, is just a transfer station?
12	MR. FRELENG: Solid waste transfer
13	station
14	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay.
15	MR. FRELENG: and resource recovery.
16	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Resource recovery.
17	Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
19	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Charla.
20	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Actually, this is
21	both a question and a statement.
22	Assisted living facilities typically
23	and I I could be corrected on this if
24	someone knows more.
25	Typically, if you go into a nursing Page 156

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 183
2	home, you abandon you basically give up
3	your unit. And were you to say you just
4	have an injury or some other disability for a
5	short time requiring nursing home care, there
6	is no assumption that you can return to your
7	unit because they are basically on a
8	month-to-month. So I I don't know if
9	there's any change in that, you know, sort of
10	program on whether
11	I just wanted the Board to the
12	Commission to understand that this just
13	because they're side by side does not mean
14	you're guaranteed a return to your unit.
15	And if anyone knows differently, by all
16	means, correct me.
17	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Does anyone
18	have any more clarification on that?
19	Andy, do you
20	MR. FRELENG: No. I I can only say
21	that the applicants specifically wrote that
22	this was a month-to-month rental-type
23	arrangement, and that they are regulated by
24	law which govern assisted living facilities,
25	but I can't answer whether or not there's any

3	11 04 09_Pl anni ng. txt after
4	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: So so what I
5	would just say is that it's probably more
6	important to have those affordable units
7	avai I abl e.
8	MR. FRELENG: Yes.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay. Great.
10	I'm just curious, does the application
11	make any mention of a reference to the the
12	State Workforce Housing Act?
13	MR. FRELENG: The application did not,
14	no.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay.
16	Any other comments or questions?
17	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: (Indicating)
18	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay.
19	Commi ssi oner Tal done.
20	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Thank you.
21	Andy, just one quick question about the
22	Pine Barrens Credits.
23	The density, as it is proposed now, does
24	not require additional development rights so
25	there is no mention of of additional
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 185
2	credits to be applied, because it wouldn't be
3	necessary unless the building were larger and
4	had more units there.
5	Am I correct in making that statement?
6	MR. FRELENG: Well, I believe you are.
7	The applicant comes in under a special Page 158

exception use which allows the assisted
living facility at this density. So it is
not the applicant that is shrugging the
Pine Barrens Plan, it's actually the town's
designation of the site as a RRAD and the -and the need for the town to continuously
monitor how many credits they have and how
many credits they can absorb. So the
applicant is coming in as-of-right.

I should point out, though, as you see in the staff report, that the wastewater flow -- by comparison to a two-lot subdivision compared to the 100-bed nursing facility, there's a significant jump in the wastewater flow.

COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Right. Which is being handled by --

MR. FRELENG: Which is being handled by

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 186 a sewage treatment plant, but the wastewater flow is an indication of density.

commissioner taldone: Well, I -- I mean, I understand your point in -- in terms of the town not, perhaps, doing its best to create that market for development credits, but looking at it from the owner's perspective -- I own the property, this is what I want to build, it doesn't require Pine Barrens Credits, and -- so we're

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt suggesting that I go out and buy Pine Barrens Credits solely for the purpose of retiring them as a market maker in a -- in a way. MR. FRELENG: I could change the language in your condition to be. The town shall retire or cause to retire. The town does have a bank for --COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Right. MR. FRELENG: -- Pine Barrens Credits, and they could -- if I may, they could very

easily just take one out and retire it to satisfy that equation. The problem is that set a precedent, and the next developer that comes in is going to say, Well, we need you

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 187 to retire four, and the next guy needs eight.

So it is an issue that the town needs to address. And if they're going to continue to change the zone in their receiving area, they need to create receiving areas if necessary. The problem is they're not providing and haven't provided that analysis.

COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Right. I -- I understand, I just -- I wouldn't want to be taking part in an extra burden to a developer, who's absolutely innocent in this situation, where the town is promoting the preservation of certain properties but then not creating receiving site or -- by keeping the -- the density of this low so that it Page 160

doesn't require additional credits, when, in fact, it was a site recognized as a perfect place to apply those credits. It's really the town that's done the deed and not the developer, and I'm just concerned that the person who's trying -- or entity that's trying to create this not be burdened with the purchase of Pine Barrens Credits when the density isn't there.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 188

MR. FRELENG: Well, if I might,

Mr. Commissioner, I think that your condition
on this application will force the findings
that the town needs to make in order to
override the Commission. So, in a way, when
the town hears that argument from the
applicant, they will need to address that
argument and put in their findings why
they're not -- why they don't find this site
as a suitable receiving site and where

So the condition, while may be interpreted to be heavy-handed on the applicant, forces the grist for the mill or forces the finding that the town must make in their decision.

suitable receiving sites are.

COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Just my last comment, because I know we want to close this out.

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt In that case, we're if the town then
22	just decides to force the developer to retire
23	credits for the purpose of retiring credits,
24	pushing up the costs and making the place
25	evermore expensive, and most of these
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 18
2	assisted living places are are off-limits
3	to anyway anyone of moderate income in the

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

39 to anyway -- anyone of moderate income in the first place, and it has to become more and more expensive by doing that.

So I'm just a little concerned about that -- that burden that the town could pass on to the developer, when, as you said and I know very well, the town has a huge bank of credits with no place to go.

VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: 0kay. Thank you.

> COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER POTTER: I just -- I agree with Vince, and I -- this is such a regional need for assisted living that I would not want to see any burden put on this owner in terms of having to buy credits. It's as-of-right, they already have the density. So if the town wants to kick in a lot of credits and get them off the table, that would be one thing, but I don't think that we should be participating in anything that puts pressure on the owner, if that's what's Page 162

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 190
2	happeni ng.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Thank you,
4	Job.
5	MR. FRELENG: If I could just add.
6	Currently, a Pine Barrens Credit is sold
7	for \$80,000 in this market. So it may be
8	construed as a burden, but, in my opinion,
9	it's not a significant burden.
10	DIRECTOR ISLES: I just want to add
11	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA:
12	Director Isles.
13	DIRECTOR ISLES: a point, too,
14	that if I could.
15	This is currently, five-acre zoning. So
16	currently they could build two houses on this
17	property. They are requesting a change of
18	zone to substantially increase the density.
19	The calculation that Andy has done on this
20	indicates that they need to buy one credit
21	for that.
22	Andy presented this as a policy issue
23	because when the Pine Barrens Act was put
24	forward, there was a method of dealing with
25	property rights within those within the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 191

Pine Barrens which was, the -- the government

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt agencies will buy land, will be one
4	technique, but there'll also be the ability
5	of private property on to sell credits
6	outside of the Pine Barrens. In order for
7	that to work, there have to be receiving
8	sites. The three towns in the Pine Barrens
9	agreed to that.
10	So I think the PowerPoint and the
11	staff's standpoint is, if we're going to
12	uphold the Pine Barrens Plan in terms of
13	the one of those three legs of the Pine
14	Barrens Plan the third leg, by the way,
15	was hardship variances in the Pine Barrens;

Acquisition, TDR, Hardship.

have receiving sites.

So, here again, in this case, this is not something where they already can build this assisted living facility as-of-right, it's a case where it's a rezoning to come up with the calculation of one credit. We think it's an important policy question, we think it's reasonable. Obviously, we'll defer to your judgment and the town's judgement, but I

The TDR has to

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 192
2	think in the context of the Pine Barrens
3	Plan, it is important.
4	Thank you.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Thank you
6	very much, Director Isles.
7	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating) Page 164

8	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Yes,
9	Commissioner Kelly.
10	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Thank you.
11	Andy, is the proposed facility tapping
12	into a treatment plant at the existing
13	facility?
14	MR. FRELENG: That is correct. There's
15	an existing sewage treatment plant at this
16	facility, and they plan on tapping into it.
17	It's back here (indicating).
18	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Okay. And just
19	on on the calculations. I believe the
20	health department is under reviewing
21	their requirement of 300 gallons per day for
22	seniors, and they may be staggering that down
23	based on a square footage, the proposed unit.
24	So that may be reduced which could be a
25	significant savings to the developer.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 193
2	MR. FRELENG: Commissioner Kelly, I
3	pulled Article 6 and looked at the latest
4	multipliers for various land uses, and the

the staff report. It's 110 gallons, I

Suffolk County Department of Health Services

facilities. They don't specify by size of

room or by number of beds. So every unit in

the -- I'm sorry. Every bed in an assisted

living facility is, no, 110 gallons. It's in

has a multiplier for assisted living

5

6

7

8

9

10

	11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt
12	believe, per bed.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay. Thank
14	you very much.
15	We have the staff's recommendation for
16	approval subject to the seven conditions.
17	Commissioner Potter and Taldone, are you
18	okay with the first condition as it's
19	written
20	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I am.
21	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: as per the
22	cl ari fi cati ons?
23	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Yeah.
24	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Okay. So we
25	just need a motion for approval subject to
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 194
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 194 conditions.
-	, , ,
2	condi ti ons.
2	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating)
2 3 4	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA:
2 3 4 5	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts.
2 3 4 5 6	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
2 3 4 5 6 7	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor? (WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor? (WHEREUPON, the members voted.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Opposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor? (WHEREUPON, the members voted.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Opposed? (WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor? (WHEREUPON, the members voted.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Opposed? (WHEREUPON, there was no response.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Abstentions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conditions. COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Commissioner Roberts. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Seconded by Commissioner Bolton. All in favor? (WHEREUPON, the members voted.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Opposed? (WHEREUPON, there was no response.) VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Abstentions? (WHEREUPON, there was no response.)

17	MR. FRELENG: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: David, I just
19	wanted to make one comment, that I think
20	we're going to be seeing a lot more of these
21	kind of facilities as we're going forward.
22	And in some of the work that I sort of looked
23	at, New York State passed a new law on this
24	issue in March. So I think within either our
25	staff or on the Commission, we should
1	Suffelly County Diamine Commission 11/4/00 105
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 195
2	maybe even maybe on Constantine's task
3	force, be working to have best practices or
4	including assisted living in some of our
5	work.
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: What was the law
7	pertaining to?
8	COMMISSIONER ROBERTS: It just enacted.
9	New York State I in the reading I did
10	in the last few days with New York State
11	just enacted their law controlling assisted
12	living facilities in March, and it took
13	almost three years to work out all of the
14	details.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right. Interesting.
16	That's a good point.
17	Keep in mind, not only because we need
18	more of these places, but, you know,
19	obviously, the need is there as our
20	population ages, as we've discussed. So

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt Okay. Next we have the Route 25 project
22	in Centereach; whose got it?
23	DEPUTY DI RECTOR GULI ZI O: Good
24	afternoon.
25	The subject parcel is located on the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 196
2	northeast corner of Nicolls Road, County
3	Road 97, and Middle Country Road, a State
4	Route 25, in the hamlet of Centereach in the
5	Town of Brookhaven.
6	As we heard earlier, the property
7	maintains a lot area of about 2.75 acres. We
8	actually had 2.35 acres, but it wasn't
9	denominated on the site plan so we'll say
10	about 2 and a half acres. The property is
11	split zoned. The eastern portion of the
12	property
13	John, if we could just get a close up of
14	the zone.
15	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)
16	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Thank you.
17	The eastern portion of the property,
18	this third of the property, is zoned
19	J-2 Business, which is the town's standard
20	commercial retail zoning district. The
21	western two-thirds of the property is zoned
22	J-4 district which is an office zoning
23	district within the Town of Brookhaven.
24	(WHEREUPON, Commissioner Roberts Left
25	the proceeding.) Page 168
	rage roo

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 197
2	(Time noted: 3:07 p.m.)
3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: What the
4	applicant is proposing is retail building of
5	about 14,408 square feet on the eastern
6	one-third of the property, along with a
7	4,000-square-foot bank with drive-thru
8	facility on the western two-thirds of the
9	property. All of the parking for both uses
10	is actually situated on the office portion of
11	the property or the J-4 Business district of
12	the property.
13	This application is also located in
14	what's referred to as the "Middle Country
15	Sustainable Community Plan," which was
16	previously reviewed by this Commission. It
17	was a corridor study and comprehensive plan
18	document which was prepared by consultants
19	for the town, adopted by the town in August
20	of 2008. The plan had six basic chapters to
21	it, including the introduction section, a
22	community profile section, a visioning
23	section, goals, recommendations, and
24	implementation matrix. There are 11 basic
25	categories of goals included in the plan,

11 04 09_Planning.txt pedestrian opportunities, public safety, infrastructure, policy, housing, among other chapters, including transportation.

 The basic thrust of the plan involved the promotion and creation, and I should say, development of two planned development districts or town centers identified within the plan. One town center was located in the hamlet of Centereach just west of Nicolls Road, the other town center was located in the hamlet of Selden just east of Nicolls Road by Boyle and Coleman Road.

The basic component of the plan was that these town centers would provide for a pedestrian environment and enhanced public safety and transportation safety within the corridor by concentrating development within these town centers for a planned development districts. The areas outside of those town centers would become transitional zoning districts.

The subject property, which is located here (indicating), just east of Nicolls Road,

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 199 is clearly within the transition area of the planned development -- of the corridor study, again, known as the Middle Country Sustainable Community Plan.

When staff looks at an application for rezoning, we look at it on typically three Page 170

8	different levels.
9	Number one, we look at it in terms of
10	how does this proposed rezoning comport or
11	comply with the town's overall zoning
12	policies as embodied by its comprehensive
13	plan. In this case, we're very fortunate in
14	that we have a comprehensive plan. It was
15	just done. It specifically addresses not
16	only this property but this general region
17	and location within the communities of
18	Centereach and Selden.
19	On a secondary level, we look at the
20	application for rezoning in terms of its
21	compliance and consistency or compatibility
22	with the nature and character of developments
23	surrounding the property. Does it have any
24	adverse impacts on the surrounding
25	development, is it consistent and compatible
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 200
2	with the character of development surrounding
3	the property?
4	And, finally, on a third level, we look
5	at it on a site-specific level.
6	John, could you just go back to the site
7	pl an, pl ease.
8	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)
9	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: In terms of
10	the site-specific criteria, does it meet the

11

landscaping requirements of the town code?

12	Does it have adequate parking? Are the
13	buildings properly oriented? Are the
14	setbacks in compliance with the with the
15	code?
16	And when we looked at this plan on a
17	site-specific level, I think we would tend to
18	agree that, generally speaking, this meets
19	the town code requirements in terms of
20	setbacks and parking, in terms of landscaping
21	elements. And so from a site-specific
22	standpoint, we don't have a concern with the
23	application.
24	Our main concern with the application
25	goes directly to the adoption of a plan that
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 201
2	was previously considered by this Commission,
3	and previously adopted by the town board in
4	August of 2008. Specifically, that plan
5	I'm sorry, John. Could you just jump
6	back to the overall?
7	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)
8	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Thank you.
9	That plan specifically encouraged
10	developed of town centers here in Selden and
11	here in Centereach, outside of these
12	transition areas (indicating). What the plan
13	also identified was that there was an
14	abundance of strip commercial shopping malls

within the corridor, particularly within the

transition areas, and that the town should $$\operatorname{\textit{Page}}$$ 172

15

consider rezoning or amending the town code to discourage shopping center development and standard suburban development, encourage mixed-use buildings and a downtown feel.

Our concern with this plan is that this seems to continue the pattern of strip commercial zoning that has plagued
Middle Country Road and created that kind of,
I think, poster child of strip commercial

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 202 sprawl that we now identify in terms of the associated traffic impacts, lack of community character, congestion, and public safety issues along a road like Middle Country Road, which, by the way, has one of the highest accident rates of any regional arterial in our -- in our county.

So on a site-specific level, it's a nice plan, it's a nice site plan. On a comprehensive planning standpoint, this seems to be inconsistent with the goals of the comprehensive plan that was recently adopted by the town, which is to promote retail development in these town centers and to discourage additional strip commercial shopping center development outside of those town centers.

We feel it would adversely impact the existing traffic concerns along the corridor,

increase the number of trip movements,
increase the amount of congestion on the
roadway, because retail uses tend to generate
the highest number of trips that are -- are
the uses along the corridor. And, again, it

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 203 continues to undermine the ability of the town in the long term to develop these town centers. If it's just as easy to build outside the town center, than what's going to be impetus or the encouragement to actually develop these town centers. That's our concern with the plan.

Again, respectfully, we applaud the town for developing the comprehensive plan. think it was a great initiative. We think it was much needed along this corridor, again, as it is one of the most problematic corridors within the county, within the region, certainly, within the town. our approach to this is simply look at the town's own documents, look at the recommendations within that comprehensive plan, and this application, while well designed, seems to be inconsistent with the overall policy of the plan, which is to promote the development of the town centers and discourage the development of additional commercial shopping centers and typical suburban development outside of those town Page 174

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 204
2	centers.
3	Based upon those concerns, we
4	respectfully recommend disapproval of the
5	application. Again, based upon specifically
6	the goals and recommendations contained
7	within the town's own study.
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Dan.
9	Let me I want to turn to Michael, but
10	I had a quick question before that.
11	Didn't we had a nursing home or
12	assisted living facility on the same
13	stretch, somewhere along there
14	DEPUTY DI RECTOR GULI ZI O: John
15	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)
16	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Here
17	(i ndi cati ng).
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
19	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Right here
20	(i ndi cati ng).
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: And I'm just
22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: And I should
23	point out there's another shopping center
24	location pending right here (indicating),
25	also outside of the town center, and there's
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 205
2	an existing shopping center here
	Page 175

3	11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt (i ndi cati ng).
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right. Okay.
5	And what did we do on the nursing home,
6	do you remember?
7	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: It's a little
8	painful memory, but yes, I do
9	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Sorry.
10	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: recall.
11	Again, we were concerned because the
12	assisted living facility was recommended
13	well, it was proposed in connection with that
14	rezoning, was actually one of the uses that
15	was not permitted by the recommended code
16	changes adopted within the corridor study.
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Okay, good.
18	Right. And that was obviously
19	something we heard was a critical community
20	need, obviously, as well.
21	Okay. Michael. Sorry, I wanted to
22	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Thank you.
23	Thanks, Dan.
24	Just a concern or a comment in terms of
25	trying to balance the overall plan that was
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 206
2	done and the visioning with the the need
3	for a hamlet center versus what the existing,
4	I guess, state of the the the parcel is
5	right now and what it could potentially be.
6	Whether it be a a small office park and a
7	bank, or should it be something else. Page 176

8	I believe the the landowner went
9	through significant troubles, and it sounded
10	like he had some type of support at the town
11	level to say you know, specifically, l
12	know the supervisor is is preaching this
13	100-day approval process, especially on a
14	corridor like this. This is this is a
15	both at the town and the county want to see
16	some type of redevelopment in this stretch.
17	So how do you balance that and say,
18	All right, this landowner has an application
19	that he has been fighting for for for many
20	years, balance that with, you know, leaving
21	it vacant as it as it sits, and trying to
22	redevelop an area. So I have a hard time
23	not not putting this back to a local
24	determination and saying, All right, if the
25	town and the supervisor is supporting this,
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 207
2	you know, maybe that's what should be done.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: (Indicating)

2	you know, maybe that s what should be done.
3	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: (Indicating)
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Constantine.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Dan, just a
6	point of clarification.
7	So they're not this isn't the change
8	of zone application.
9	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: (Head gesture)
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: And the town
11	has not considered changing the zone of this
	Page 177

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt property as of yet; is that correct? DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: The only consideration I would say is that the -- the recommendation within the corridor study specifically identified the need to change the zoning code to discourage shopping centers and suburban development patterns. VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: So my concern maybe, and you can help me with this, is

maybe, and you can help me with this, is that -- the study is a fantastic study and it -- it's great that it's been adopted, but I'm somewhat concerned about using planning studies as a proxy for zoning changes.

Whereas, the town really should be rezoning

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 208 this property to be in accordance with the planning study, not the other way around. I mean, the applicant shouldn't bear the brunt necessarily that just because -- they're building as-of-right as opposed to what the planning study might say, but the town hasn't adopted that officially through legislative act to change the zoning to -- to require consistency with that zoning -- with that plan, excuse me.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Well, I think the point is that once a town adopts a comprehensive plan, the idea is that land use decisions of all types should be in

Page 178

Is that kind of correct?

accordance with the comprehensive plan, not just zoning actions. In this case, the town could take a more proactive approach and consider rezoning this on its own motion.

Maybe that's something that comes up as a result of this application, but the only point we're making -- and, again, we're not saying what decisions the town ought to make in conjunction with the policies for the

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 209 corridor study, but once you adopt a corridor study, you ought to follow it.

VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: So there's probably a recommendation to the town here that they should, you know, make some zone changes. But isn't -- isn't there a concern of putting the plan, as a precedent, ahead of as-of-right zone -- zoning in this case? Which is a concern.

I'm not sure if you could respond -- if
you could answer that either --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I don't know if I can answer it adequately, but I would say that you would have to do the plan first before you consider any theories of town board or motion rezonings within the corridor. So I think the first necessary step is, yeah, what's -- let's look at the corridor, let's come up with a vision, and

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt then let's start to implement that vision.
22	Part of that implementation process should be
23	a series of town board or motions, but in the
24	interim, which is reality, this is what it
25	is, we have pending applications. So you
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 210
2	have to make decisions about those
3	applications as they're moving also.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Others
6	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: (Indicating)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Vince.
8	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Dan, I didn't
9	follow you before.
10	What happened to that assisted nursing
11	or facility that was proposed on that
12	corner?
13	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: The Commission
14	ultimately recommended that as a local
15	determi nati on.
16	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Because I
17	recall the
18	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: You made the
19	motion
20	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: discussion
21	here.
22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I believe.
23	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Do you know
24	(Laughter)
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: This is like the Page 180

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 211
2	sequel where we're going to rehash the first
3	one. All right.
4	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Hold on. I'll
5	only because, you know, there is a continuity
6	here.
7	There was a group I forget their
8	name I think Kevin McConnell, and there's
9	a another a woman I whose name
10	escapes me, that's part of that big visioning
11	plan for that corridor. They were supporting
12	the assisted living center and felt it
13	somehow fit in, and would bring people into
14	the the little village that they imagined
15	a few blocks away, and people could walk
16	over, wheel over, however, get there, and
17	and spend time. You know, so it was more
18	responsive to the community's vision, I
19	thought, the last time around.
20	This is not in in concert with that
21	vision. I assume they're not supporting it,
22	but we don't really know. Although, I I
23	do respect the issue of zoning is what I
24	look at when I look at a property's
25	potential, and I might miss a study
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 212

So it's sort of unfair to the

2

somewhere.

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt owner of the property that he should also be
4	required to go and find every every report
5	that's been done before, you know, making
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: This was just done
7	this year last year.
8	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I mean, the
9	zoning map is what I grab, and that's what
10	should tell me what what's what's going
11	on.
12	But is there any way we can we can do
13	this with a comment to the town that it
14	really should be looking at rezoning these
15	properties?
16	I'm not against the recommendations of
17	the staff, I actually support it. I just
18	agree also that the town really should be
19	taking some action, and not relying on
20	reports to inform property owners.
21	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I I don't
22	di sagree.
23	I would state that the town has stated
24	within some of its corridor studies, and I
25	believe within this study, if they don't like
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 213
2	the idea or prefer not to rezone properties
3	on their own motion, but to create overlay
4	districts to help better guide development.
5	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Right.
6	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: One of the
7	problems with that approach is what we see
	Page 182

here today, where you have an overlay district that gives you design guidelines, but the underlying zoning remains in effect.

And so you have an inconsistency between where they say they want development and where development is actually proposed.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, if we have an inconsistency, the town's the one that needs to sort that out, I would think.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: And we should convey to them that they're causing, you know, problems not only for the developer but also for just their own plan, because unless you're going to take action to validate your own plan, no one's going to pay attention to it. And I think the issues you guys raised are -- are very valid ones.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Again, just to be perfectly clear for the record, our criticism isn't of the design of the development as proposed by the applicant. The applicant is playing by the rules and proposing a development plan in accordance with the code. According to their testimony, they worked with the current planning commissioner, they've met with town counsel in the supervisor's office. That's not the

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt 12 concern. 13 Again, the concern that we have and the 14 opportunity we have to consider as to this 15 referral is that the town also, at the same time, has a plan to guide development 16 decisions, and this particular application 17 18 appears to be inconsistent with that plan. 19 COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating) 20 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Job. 21 COMMISSIONER POTTER: Can we say that, 22 make it look -- a matter of local 23 determination --24 THE REPORTER: I can't --25 COMMISSIONER POTTER: -- and add that 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 215 2 comment? 3 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, we can make it a 4 matter of local determination. 5 What Job said was, can we make it a 6 matter of local determination and add that 7 comment? 8 THE REPORTER: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN CALONE: And I said, yes, we 10 can. The question becomes kind of, for this 11 12 body, is do we see ourselves as kind of 13 validating plans that are out there, that are 14 adopted, or in this murky situation where you

have a plan that was adopted but no zoning --

you know, the zoning has not caught up with

Page 184

15

16

17	that, do we say in that interim time period
18	that it is a local determination, but, Hey,
19	town, you know, figure out what you want to
20	be?
21	I think those are maybe our two choices.
22	Let me get a sense of where people are
23	on whether this should be a local
24	determination versus a a disapproval.
25	Who would be more inclined to do a local
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 216
2	determination as opposed to a straight
3	di sapproval ?
4	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
5	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. That's
6	basically it's about half and half.
7	Okay. The key question may be what we
8	put as the as the conditions.
9	But let's let's see if there's any
10	other conversations that anyone wants to
11	have.
12	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: (Indicating)
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, Vince.
14	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I just need to be
15	a little more clear on on the what you
16	had just mentioned, Dan. That there is an
17	overlay there other than the study,
18	what what took place after the study was
19	completed; they had a visioning, they know
20	what they want in that location, it's part of

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt a comp plan. But if I go into the Buildings
22	Department and say, What is my property zoned
23	for, what can I do here? Would I be aware of
24	that? How would I be aware of that?
25	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Well, there is

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 217 an overlay zoning district incorporated within the zoning code that applies to these properties outside of the planned development districts or town centers. So you're certainly going to be aware that you're within an overlay zoning district that relates back to a comprehensive plan injunction.

COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Which also has design guidelines, as I think you mentioned?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Yes, it does.

And keep in mind, this was also an exhaustive public outreach process. There were over 600 people that attended the process, through a series of public meetings. There were notices, there were fliers, there was public hearings. So I think it was a fairly extensive public hearing process to get the word out on -- on the plan and discuss the vision for the community. So I -- I don't think it's something that people aren't aware of. I don't think that's one of the concerns. I think that most property owners are probably aware of it.

1

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 218

2	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Okay. So that
3	what you're saying is the property owner, in
4	this case, would be fully aware of this
5	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: Well, I don't
6	want to speak for a property owner. I think
7	the biggest point that we would make, and I
8	apologize for extending this, is that there's
9	simply an inconsistency, it appears, between
10	what the goals of the plan are and what the
11	zoning actually permits. And that's an issue
12	that, you know, as we receive applications
13	along this corridor, one of our obligations
14	is to consider what type of long-range
15	planning documents exist that apply to these
16	properties, and to apply those standards to
17	these properties and applications.
18	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating)
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Mi chael.
21	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Dan, this study was
22	done last year?
23	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: 2008 it was
24	adopted
25	COMMISSIONER KELLY: 2008 it was
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 219
2	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: in August.
	Page 187

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt 3 COMMISSIONER KELLY: -- adopted. So, if I recall the testimony, the 4 5 landowner purchased the property under the 6 zoning that existed then, I think that was 7 over eight years ago. So he purchased the 8 property under a zoning that existed that 9 would have met his plan, and it still 10 exists -- yeah -- and then a -- then a 11 visioning came into play, and now he's no 12 longer -- you know, he's no longer viewed 13 as -- as something that's useful. So I -- I have a -- I have a hard time, 14 his property rights are just shot down, 15 16 because he owned a piece of property, tried to get it zoned, and then this visioning took 17 18 place and he's no longer able to do what is 19 originally intended to do. And I -- I 20 struggle with it. 21 COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating) 22 COMMISSIONER McADAM: (Indicating) 23 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job, and then Tom. 24 COMMISSIONER POTTER: I -- and I 25 appreciate Michael's point, but, 1 Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 220 2 unfortunately, that happen- -- does happen 3 with zoning to owners. But you mentioned a 4 overlay district. 5 Is this application consistent with the 6 overlay district? 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: The overlay

Page 188

8 district applies to -- applies to 9 architectural design guidelines and site 10 design guidelines. Our concern is not with 11 the lack of compliance with the overall 12 design guidelines, I think it's something 13 that, from a planning standpoint, seems 14 reasonable and appropriate. 15 COMMISSIONER POTTER: Okay. All right. DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: It's the use 16 17 that --18 COMMISSIONER POTTER: That doesn't help. 19 0kay. 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: 21 CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Tom. 22 COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay. I just want 23 to make sure that I understand this now. 24 If we -- if we have a study or a 25 comprehensive plan for -- say for a -- for a Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 1 221 2 section of Middle Country Road -- we had 3 another one in Ridge or Middle Island. 4 Before we even entertain that, we have to 5 make sure that the zoning is in place for

I'm -- that's the way -- the way I understand
it. If we approve the particular plan, and

And -- you know, I'm not --

there were some zoning matters that

presenting.

6

7

8

9

10

11

weren't -- weren't cleared up at the time.

whatever the plan is that the town is

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, what you have is 12 that the plan was -- the notion behind the 13 plan is to change zoning to encourage res- --14 15 development in areas not including this one, but the zone is kind of -- this development 16 17 is appropriate for the zone as it is now. 18 that what you would ideally have is a plan 19 adopted by the town, and then immediately 20 thereafter or in conjunction with it, they 21 would change the zone. And then you would 22 have a plan and a zoning that's -- that is 23 zoning that's consistent with it. If that had happened, this would probably no longer 24

However, the town didn't

be zoned as it is.

(Laughter)

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 222 do that, they took one of two steps. did a -- they adopted the plan, but did not adopt the change of zone. So we're left in a situation where this is an as-of-right development, and yet it is inconsistent with the plan as developed for Middle Country. COMMISSIONER McADAM: So the question is how do we handle this in the future when we get more of these studies? CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, the question is -- yeah, the question is how do we handle it today --COMMISSIONER McADAM: Yeah. CHAIRMAN CALONE: -- but --

Page 190

17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, I don't mean it
18	as yes. But it sets it sets certain
19	parameters, which is why no matter what we
20	do, we should say, I think, that the town is
21	to choose one or the other. You know, start
22	to move your zoning towards the way your
23	plan's is going to be, or or tell us
24	you're not going to abide by the plan.
25	Because this is not fair to developers, it's
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 223
2	not fair to, you know, anyone, quite frankly.
3	The community who is asking in between, Well,
4	what are you doing here? You pass our plan
5	but you didn't do the zoning; to the
6	developer, you're doing this plan but, you
7	know, I'm in this limbo. So either way I
8	think we need to speak strongly to the town
9	on that.
10	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Charla.
12	COMMISSIONER HORTON: (Indicating)
13	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Charla, and then Josh.
14	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: There are two
15	i ssues.
16	One, I see the the plot
17	I'm sorry, I am very tired, and
18	something just went out of my head.
19	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, we come back to
20	you, if you want.

21	11 O4 O9_Planning.txt COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Okay. I see an
22	issue for this Commission, which is that the
23	plan the comprehensive plan devised for
24	this area was developed over a period of time
25	and is of regional interest. It expresses
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 224
2	the need to change the whole development,
3	character, so to speak, of that Route 25
4	corridor in that location. I know that
5	there's been an incredible amount of effort
6	over a long period of time. I can't say
7	which came first, the developer or the plan.
8	At the same time, I do have problems

At the same time, I do have problems with the fact that the Town of Brookhaven did take a zoning action, so to speak, subsequent to the plan, which was the development of these design guidelines in the overlay district, but failed to really consider how to -- how to change the zoning consistent with the plan's intentions.

And as a result of that, I, at this moment, am really struggling to make the right decision. And I'm leaning toward local determination because of the fact that Brookhaven did take this action but failed to take the necessary action to execute the plan.

CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.

Josh, and then I'd like to repoll and see where we are. We're going to do this one Page 192

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 225
2	way or the other.
3	COMMISSIONER HORTON: I'm good.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: You're good. Okay.
5	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: (Indicating)
6	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I'm sorry, Vince, did
7	you
8	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I do want to say
9	one more thing. I'm sorry.
10	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Quickly, and then
11	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: we're going to I
13	just want to see where we are.
14	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I just want to
15	say quickly that, you know, we do encourage
16	communities to do these visionings and for
17	communities to adopt these plans, and and
18	then if they're ignored, what's the purpose?
19	So I $\operatorname{}$ to some extent, we also need to stand
20	behind these efforts of communities to vision
21	their own futures.
22	And they did put steps in place, they
23	didn't rezone the the land. Perhaps that
24	should have been done. Maybe that's a
25	recommendation we need to make to the
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 226
2	municipality, the town, but if we do want

11 04 09_Pl anning. txt to back this. 3 4 The last time we -- we didn't, only 5 because we found the actual use, in our view, 6 to be somewhat compatible and also an urgent 7 regional need to have more housing. 8 for it. This is a bank and an office park. 9 There's no regional issue here, that I can 10 find, but I don't want to be seen not -- not standing behind the visioning idea. 11 12 Otherwise, why do it? I mean, why would 13 communities do it if it's going to be ignored even by the County Planning Commission? 14 15 COMMISSIONER McADAM: (Indicating) 16 VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: (Indicating) 17 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Vince. Tom, and the Constantine, and then we're 18 19 stopped. 20 COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay. According to the report, this is J-2, J- -- J-4 and a 21 22 J-2; is that correct? 23 CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes. 24 COMMISSIONER McADAM: And they're not 25 asking for a change in zone.

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 227
2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Correct.
3	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay. So they're
4	putting one part of the building on one in
5	one zoning area, and then parking in another
6	area.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Basically. Page 194

8

COMMISSIONER McADAM: Okay. So what I'm

9	trying to get what I'm trying to get to is
10	whether this is as-of-right. It's
11	as-of-right the way it's zoned now, but it's
12	not as-of-right if he decides if they
13	decide to change the zone.
14	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, I think
15	that's well, sure.
16	COMMISSIONER McADAM: Is that correct?
17	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: The only
18	question is whether or not as-of-right, in my
19	mind this was an issue that came up,
20	actually, previously in the Town of
21	Brookhaven, is that
22	John, if you could just go to the site
23	pl an, pl ease.
24	MR. CORRAL: (Complying)
25	DEPUTY DIRECTOR GULIZIO: I apologize,
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 228
2	but just to answer the question.
3	This portion of the property is zoned
4	for retail (indicating). This two-thirds of
5	the western section is zoned for office
6	(indicating). All the parking for the retail
7	use is actually on the office zoning portion
8	of the property. This is a technical issue
9	but from a technical standpoint, retail
10	parking is not permitted accessory use in a
11	J-4 office zoning district. That allows, in

11 04 09_Planning.txt effect, for this retail use to be much wider than it would typically be if this were just for retail use as well as the retail parking.

So whether or not it's -- as-of-right use is an interpretation from -- for the town, I believe, but in looking at it, it would seem to me that if the perm- -- if the retail use is not permitted on the office district, and the accessory parking for the retail is also not permitted on the office district across from the property, then the result of that is that the retail use then becomes much larger then it would normally be as-of-right.

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 229
CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Thank you, Dan.
Constantine.

VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: Yes, just to get back to Vince's point here.

While I don't want to undermine in any way -- in fact, I want to do everything we can to encourage these plans, what we can't do is -- well, at least I believe we can't do is let individual property owners bear the burden for a municipality's failure to implement the plan adequately in going through the necessary steps to change the zone, giving them time for public hearings, times for comment, the entire process. So I don't want to undermine that process, as Page 196

	_
17	well. So, for me, I have difficulty in this
18	case in denying it because it's not
19	consistent with the plan, since it is, to a
20	certain degree, consistent with the existing
21	zoni ng.
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
23	I want a show of hands, I want to take a
24	poll in terms of who is still you know, is
25	on the local determination side at this
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 230
2	point.
3	COMMISSIONER POTTER: With the comment.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: With the comment.
5	Wait, the comment was
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: The comment was
7	essentially the
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: That
9	COMMISSIONER POTTER: the reason why
10	we're
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Brookhaven deal
12	with yourself.
13	COMMISSIONER POTTER: deal with
14	yoursel f.
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right. Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER HORTON: If Constantine
17	made a motion that reflected what he said,
18	that is what I would be supporting. He
19	articulated very clearly what
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. And I don't

Page 197

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt think that was inconsistent with what Job
22	said; is that correct?
23	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I would just add
24	to his comment, if it wasn't there, that we
25	would prefer to seeing the town follow
	·
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 231
2	through on the plan and make the appropriate
3	zone changes.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. That's fine,
5	and just act consistent with the plan.
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Yeah.
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay.
8	Again, poll on local determination with
9	that comment.
10	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Well,
12	we're not going to get anywhere because I
13	don't we're 5-5. So if anyone wants to
14	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: What happens if
15	it's 6-5?
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: No, nothing, we need
17	8 votes.
18	Again, show of hands on local
19	determination with that comment, please.
20	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Let's see, 3, 4, 5
22	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Can I ask what
23	happens
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: 5, 6
25	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: I'm sorry. Page 198

1

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 232

2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: 7.
3	Yes, Vince. What happens is that it
4	goes back with a local determination oh,
5	no, I'm sorry, it goes back as a no action,
6	which has the same effect as a local
7	determination, and, of course, there's no
8	comments or anything.
9	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: With no comments.
10	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.
11	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Well, I think
12	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Linda.
13	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I think if it
14	comes to that
15	(Overlapping conversations)
16	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. I
17	recognized Linda. Linda first, then Vince.
18	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I think if it
19	comes to that and there are 7 people who want
20	to do local determination with these comments
21	that are quite germane, I would be in favor
22	of that.
23	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thank you, Linda.
24	Vi nce.
25	COMMISSIONER TALDONE: Me too.
1	Suffelk County Dlanning Commission 11/4/00 200
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 233
2	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. You too.
	Page 199

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt Okay. I'll entertain a motion for local
4	determination with the comment as described,
5	which is the Town of Brookhaven needs to move
6	towards adopting its zoning to ratify or be
7	consistent with its plan.
8	Who's making that motion?
9	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating)
10	VICE CHAIRMAN KONTOKOSTA: (Indicating)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Mr. Kelly, seconded by
12	the vice chairman.
13	All in favor, raise your hand.
14	(WHEREUPON, the members voted)
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think that's
16	unani mous.
17	Okay. Thank you, and our last item is
18	from Rocky Point.
19	(Discussion held off the record)
20	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Okay. Here we go.
21	Go John.
22	MR. CORRAL: Okay. The applicants are
23	seeking Town Planning Board approval for a
24	47 lot residential subdivision on a 34-acre
25	parcel in the hamlet of Rocky Point. Our
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 234
2	jurisdiction for this application is State
3	Road 25A, Route 25. State I'm sorry,
4	State Route 25A which is North Country
5	Road North County Road. The applicant
6	the subject parcel fronts on that road.
7	Also, New York State land is just to the
	Page 200

south, it's within 500 feet of the subject parcel.

Currently, to the -- directly to the north of the -- is a LIPA right-of-way and then residential land use; to the east and west is a mix of commercial and residential land use; and to the south is Route 25A, it fronts on slightly, but there's also -- the majority of the subject parcel is removed from Route 25A by approximately 400 feet, and there's a variety of separately owned commercial uses on the north side of 25A, south of the subject parcel.

The land itself -- there's pretty significant topography on the land. It's primarily wooded and it's been disturbed by sand -- a sand mining operation.

This view is looking at it from the east

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 235 (indicating). There's one vacant lot on the property, but -- one vacant residential structure on the property, but predominantly wooded.

The zoning for the parcel is Residential B-1, which allows 22,500-square-foot lots for -- for development.

Okay. The subdivision is a 47-lot subdivision. The majority of the lots are 22,500 square feet or slightly larger.

11 04 09_PI anni ng. txt There's a few larger lots, one in the 12 northeast corner (indicating). 13 There's also a recharge basin that's 74,000 square feet. 14 15 They propose access via New York State Route 25A and Hallock Landing Road with a 16 40-foot paved -- 40-foot paved roadway that 17 18 loops with a small cul-de-sac to divide 19 access to all the 47 lots. Our three kind of major issues that our 20 21 department looked at with this property is

22

23

2425

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

Our three kind of major issues that our department looked at with this property is the significant slopes -- the significant slopes, the coordination of the commercial lots directly to the south of the subject parcel, and also conformance with three of

Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 236
the Suffolk County Planning Commission
Guidelines; affordable housing, energy
efficiency, and public safety.

So, specifically, our recommendations for this application is approval subject to the following conditions, and those conditions relate to those three kind of broad principles.

One being recommendation of clearing limited to slopes less than ten percent;

Consideration given to clustering the proposed lots away from the steepest slopes, and also balancing the cutting and grading on the site. There's a -- as proposed, a significant amount of grading and removal of Page 202

17	material from the site;
18	And related to the steep slopes and the
19	topography is the concern to make sure that
20	all stormwater runoff remains on-site.
21	That's another condition;
22	Because of the commercial uses to the
23	south and that this has a small frontage on
24	Route 25A which has a sidewalk, we wanted a
25	condition that a sidewalk be added along with
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 237
2	the proposed access from Route 25A to better
3	facilitate access to the commercial uses to
4	the south. And also to work with consider
5	coordination with cross accesses to the
6	commercial uses;
7	And then the three last conditions we
8	had one is that the applicant shall be
9	directed to consult the Suffolk County
10	Planning Commission's adopted Energy
11	Efficiency and Public Safety Guidelines;
12	Consistent with the Suffolk County
13	Planning Commission Affordable Housing
14	Guidelines that's five lots in this
15	subdivision shall be set aside as affordable
16	in accordance with the Suffolk County
17	Planning Commission Guidelines and Affordable
18	Housi ng;
19	And also, that the town ensures that
20	this proposed subdivision shall be in

21	11 04 09_Planning.txt conformance with the New York State Long
22	Island Workforce Housing Act.
23	So that's the staff
24	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Thanks, John.
25	And you gave one comment on LIPA which
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 238
2	is makes sense.
3	MR. CORRAL: Right.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, we're done
5	we're done now.
6	Tom, any thank you, John.
7	Anything from the Brookhaven
8	perspective, Michael?
9	COMMISSIONER KELLY: No.
10	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Job.
12	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I assume as it's
13	drawn, it's consistent with the town code
14	subdivision requirements. It's amazing to me
15	that there's no required reserve area in this
16	day and age. This really looks like a 1960s
17	plan. So I would like to if we're going
18	to approve it, if it's consistent with
19	zoning, request some reserve area. I'm
20	thinking about kids who would be living in
21	here, the possibility of having sidewalks on
22	this at least on one side of the internal
23	road, as well. And then the other thing is,
24	if they're going to do a reserve, if there's
25	any way they can put a small recreation area Page 204

1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 239
2	or pocket park in here to be used by the
3	resi dents.
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: I think we could add
5	those maybe as comments.
6	COMMISSIONER POTTER: All right.
7	COMMISSIONER KELLY: (Indicating)
8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yeah, Mike.
9	COMMISSIONER KELLY: In terms of the
10	pocket park, I know the town over the past
11	two years was just trying to inventory the
12	amount of parks that they had, and they
13	couldn't figure out what they had in
14	inventory. So, you know, in terms of the
15	internal pocket parks, while it sounds nice,
16	I think it might be overreaching for us.
17	COMMISSIONER POTTER: Can I just
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Go ahead, Job.
19	COMMISSIONER POTTER: I only want to
20	respond to that in a sense that I'm talking
21	about something that's within walking
22	distance to the residents, this looks like
23	someplace families would be
24	But my real reaction is just I'm
25	surprised that the subdivision code would
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 240
2	allow a plan that looks like this. That's

3	11 04 09_Planning.txt just
4	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Right.
5	Any other thoughts or comments?
6	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Can we say something a
8	little more neutral with regard to Michael's
9	concern with just that the that there
10	be some consideration that there be some
11	reserve space and just leave it open as a
12	I mean, this is a comment and I I think it
13	was more
14	Job, if I'm correct, this is more just
15	throwing it out there and putting it on the
16	town for
17	COMMISSIONER POTTER: It is.
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Which is fine. Which
19	is fine, I think.
20	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: (Indicating)
21	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Yes, Charla.
22	COMMISSIONER BOLTON: I just have a
23	really quick question.
24	Are the roads that are being proposed to
25	access this subdivision where they meet
4	
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 241
2	current public roads, are those legal width?
3	MR. CORRAL: Yeah, they're 40 they're
4 5	40-foot paved roads within a 60-foot wide
6	right-of-way. COMMISSIONER BOLTON: Oh, okay. Thank
7	you.
,	Page 206

8	CHAIRMAN CALONE: All right. Any other
9	thoughts, comments, questions?
10	(WHEREUPON, there was no response.)
11	CHAIRMAN CALONE: If not, I'll entertain
12	a motion to approve the staff report with the
13	one comment added that Job suggested.
14	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: (Indicating)
15	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Moved by
16	Commissioner Holmes.
17	COMMISSIONER POTTER: (Indicating)
18	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Seconded by
19	Commissioner Potter.
20	All in favor, please raise your hand.
21	(WHEREUPON, the members voted.)
22	CHAIRMAN CALONE: That's 12 to 0, I
23	think, or 11.
24	Thank you, all. Two last
25	First, thank you, John.
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 242
2	So it's 11 to 0 to 0.
3	Two last things.
4	I don't think we have anything on the
5	comprehensive plan, you know, other than what
6	I mentioned earlier.
7	The other thing on the task force
8	process, we'll keep that moving forward and
9	get suggestions to the Commission over the
10	next month or two.
11	If anyone's interested in officerships
	Page 207

Page 207

12	11 04 09_Planning.txt for next year, we have the Nominating
13	Committee now. So you can reach out to Tom
14	McAdam or
15	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Did we appoint the
16	Nomi nati ng
17	CHAIRMAN CALONE: Well, I guess I kind
18	of did it by default.
19	So, without objection, if we can appoint
20	Tom and Sarah as the Nominating Committee,
21	and maybe Barbara will join them. I think it
22	would be wise
23	SECRETARY ESPOSITO: But they're not
24	here, who nominates them?
25	CHAIRMAN CALONE: There you go, all in
1	Suffalk County Dianning Commission 11/4/00 242
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 243
2	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating
2	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you
2 3 4	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go.
2 3 4 5	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it
2 3 4 5	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out.
2 3 4 5 6 7	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor (WHEREUPON, this meeting of the Suffolk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor (WHEREUPON, this meeting of the Suffolk County Planning Commission was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor (WHEREUPON, this meeting of the Suffolk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor (WHEREUPON, this meeting of the Suffolk County Planning Commission was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	favor of adding Barbara to the nominating Commission, without objection there you go. All right, guys, thanks for sticking it out. Motion to adjourn by Commissioner Chartrand and seconded by the Vice Chairman. All in favor (WHEREUPON, this meeting of the Suffolk County Planning Commission was adjourned at

17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	Suffolk County Planning Commission 11/4/09 244
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE
5	
6	I, THERESA PAPE, a Shorthand Reporter and
7	Notary Public of the State of New York, do hereby
8	certi fy:
9	That the foregoing is a true and accurate
10	transcription of the stenographic notes taken
11	herei n.
12	I further certify that I am not related to
13	any of the parties to this action by blood or
14	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the
15	outcome of this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17	hand this 4th day of November 2009.
18	
19	
20	

21	11 04 09_PLanning. txt
21	THERESA PAPE
22	THE NEW YORK
23	
24	
25	